# Summary of Inshore Scallop Workshop

**Deirdre Boelke NEFMC Staff** 

Scallop Advisory Panel and Committee Meeting March 22/23, 2015



## **Background**

- Council hosted a workshop on Feb 22/23, 2016 to discuss concerns raised about the consequences of inshore scallop fishing pressure.
- The Fisheries Leadership & Sustainability Forum was hired to facilitate discussions.
- Steering Cmte worked with the Fisheries Forum to recommend meeting logistics and agenda.



## **Workshop Objectives**

- Share concerns and perspectives related to inshore fishing pressure and resulting impacts on the resource and the different segments of the fishery;
- **2. Understand, define and frame the issue(s);** including scope, scale, consequnces, and influencing factors;
- Generate and discuss ideas that may alleviate the concerns and issue(s) identified;
- 4. Understand each fleet's operational realities, incentives and expectations, and consider how each fleet's vision for the fishery aligns; and
- 5. Foster collaboration and communication among user groups, scientists, fishery managers, and the general public.



#### **Attendance**

- Registration required About 120 individuals
- PDT, AP, and Council specifically invited and travel compensated
- Several outreach efforts
- Handful that did not register, and over 30 "no-shows"
- About 90 participants
- From pre-registrants
  - LA (30%); LAGC (25%); Both (10%); Council/PDT (25%); and Other (10%)
  - About 55% from Mass, another 15-20% from other New England states, and remaining 25-30% from Mid-Atlantic



# **Agenda**

- I.5 days in length
- 4 presentations: management background, fishery trends, two presentations on experiences in other regions
- 3 break-out group topics:
  - I. General fishery goals and expectations
  - 2. Identifying the issues and concerns
  - 3. Discussing ideas or opportunities to address concerns



# Overarching Themes (I)

- Everyone wants a successful fishery.
- Lots of commonalities in goals and expectations; however, there
  was not general agreement on the problem that is trying to be
  addressed.
- Both segments want fishery to be "fair and equitable".
- Speakers expressed a desire/need to work together.
- When available biomass is reduced, both segments feel the pinch
- Improving management of open areas would benefit both segments.
- There is a sense of frustration:
  - LAGC fishermen feel that their concerns aren't being acknowledged and that they are at a disadvantage;
  - LA fishermen feel like there is not a clear problem and don't want to see something being taken away from one fleet and given to the other.

# Overarching Themes (2)

- What does "inshore" mean? Lacks clarity.
- The characteristics, needs and constraints of the LAGC segment vary geographically and have changed over time.
- LAGC fishery allocation is not spatially based.
- Background concern potential for highgrading.
- Fishery management measures should not only be implemented for social engineering objectives.
- Reconcile problems with appropriate tools.
  - If concerns stem from business planning challenges, a management response may not be appropriate.
  - A widespread solution may not be appropriate for a geographically isolated/defined problem.



#### **Final Take-Homes**

#### No consensus on recommendations for next steps

#### 4 Potential Pathways:

- Directly address concerns consider measures
- 2. Acknowledge concerns but do not respond with specific measures
- 3. <u>Indirectly address</u> concerns across the board improvements (recruitment, LPUE, etc)
- 4. <u>Bigger picture</u> other ways to support the goals and expectations raised more general about success of the fishery overall

Group agreed there is value to these workshops – these conversations are important to have.

Strong commitment to work together to keep this fishery a success.



#### Attachment I – specific input

- Staff kept notes in each break-out session.
- Bullets summarized by sub-topic for each session (pages 4-12).
- All comments may not have been captured, but hopefully provides a more detailed picture of the simultaneous conversations.
- For example:

what does a successful fishery look like;

what are the needs and constraints of your business;

what are your concerns;

what options should and should not be explored; and additional thoughts.



#### So Now What?

