


# Monkfish Fishery Management Plan

## Amendment 6



### **Public Scoping Comments** **Written comments – 2 of 2** **December 2010 – February 2011**

New England Fishery Management Council and the  
Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council  
in consultation with the  
National Marine Fisheries Service



From: Lorraine Aguilar <yogaflowstudio@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 10:08:59 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Lorraine Aguilar  
409 Littleworth Lane  
Sea Cliff, NY 11579  
516-674-3768



From: Thomas Reynolds <1941Train@nycap.rr.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 10:09:55 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Thomas Reynolds  
66 New Salem South road  
66 New Salem South Road  
Voorheesville, NY 12186-4927  
518 765 2685

**From:** Dave McGowan <mcgowanx@earthlink.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 10:11:02 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Dave McGowan  
42 Highland Ave  
42 Highland Ave  
Madison, NJ 07940

From: Joyce Pusel <jpusel@mindspring.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 10:13:02 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Joyce Pusel  
102 Emerald Cir  
102 Emerald Circle  
Durham, NC 27713  
919-475-1014

**From:** Natasha Finnegan <nefinnegan87@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 10:13:11 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Natasha Finnegan  
10903 Orleans Way  
Kensington, MD 20895  
240-423-8565

From: Daniel Ream <platzcha333@hotmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 10:17:03 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Daniel Ream  
126 Cherrydell Rd.  
Apt. B  
Catonsville, MD 21228  
(443) 341-6517

From: Karl Volk <karljvolk@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 10:19:38 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Karl Volk  
43 Whittier Blvd  
Poughkeepsie, NY 12603  
845-345-9484

**From:** Martin Moretto <martinmoretto@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 10:19:42 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Martin Moretto  
616 Jersey Ave  
Jersey Ave.  
Jersey City, NJ 07302  
973-517-2407

From: William Vickstrom <wrvick@aol.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 10:23:49 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

William Vickstrom  
11 Ashberry St  
Plymouth, MA 02360



From: Marilyn Kiely <mirmurs@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 10:27:42 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Marilyn Kiely  
3357 Oak West Drive  
Ellicott City, MD 21043  
2402810443

From: Frank Moore <fjmoorecpa@yahoo.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 10:31:43 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Frank Moore  
530 Alden Bridge Dr.  
Cary, NC 27519  
919-372-1545

From: Margaret Coppenrath <caris2@aol.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 10:32:37 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Margaret Coppenrath  
24 Spectacle Lake Drive  
24 Spectacle Lake Drive  
Nesconset, NY 11767

**From:** Ruth Miller <ruthmiller@mac.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 10:34:32 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Ruth Miller  
1819 Billabong Lane  
Chapel Hill, NC 27516

From: Craig Kaspark <ckaspark@provcomlib.org>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 10:37:16 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Craig Kaspark  
68 Spring Garden St  
68 Spring Garden St  
Warwick, RI 02888  
401-461-3284

From: Ed Silverman <esilverman@charter.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 10:38:57 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Ed Silverman  
107 5th St.  
Black Mountain, NC 28711  
(828) 669-6588

**From:** Sarah Johnson <tiarella2@earthlink.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 10:39:42 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Sarah Johnson  
86 N Midland Ave  
Nyack, NY 10960  
2122484900

From: Chris Gorton <volkayc@yahoo.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 10:41:04 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Chris Gorton  
401 Monroe Street  
Hoboken, NJ 07030



From: Mary Lorio <glorio@mksisters.org>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 10:41:38 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Mary Lorio  
10 Pinesbridge Rd.  
Maryknoll, NY 10545  
9149417575

From: Elisabeth Walcott <beedub\_@excite.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 10:45:53 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Elisabeth Walcott  
201 N. Sierra Ave.  
Solana Beach, CA 92075  
858-626-2136

From: Chuck Donegan <chucknet@optonline.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 10:47:40 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Chuck Donegan  
231 N. Evergreen Dr.  
Selden, NY 11784

From: Bracha Shafir <brachashafir@yahoo.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 10:47:57 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Bracha Shafir  
625 finch ave w  
31 Carlton Rd  
Toronto, ON M2R 3W1  
416-454-7090

From: Peter Parsons <peter\_parsons@comcast.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 10:49:59 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Peter Parsons  
37 Washington St (4)  
Gloucester, MA 01930-3550

From: Carla Jaszczerski <carla.jaszczerski@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 10:50:00 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Carla Jaszczerski  
48 Northfield Road  
Glen Cove, NY 11542  
51630237839

From: Nancy Mayo <nan1479@juno.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 10:50:15 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Nancy Mayo  
4390 SR 12  
New Hartford, NY 13413  
315-796-4445

From: Kurt Forman <cvf1@bluefrog.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 10:51:37 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Kurt Forman  
243 Faas Rd.  
Palmyra, NY 14522



From: rashida paul <rdapaul@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 10:52:05 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

rashida paul  
48 Johnstown Rd.  
Sloatsburg, NY 10974

**From:** Nicholas Cortale <ncortale@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 10:55:31 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Nicholas Cortale  
76 Statesir Pl  
Red Bank, NJ 07701  
908-461-8973

From: daryl walker <dfwalker99@hotmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 10:58:57 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

daryl walker  
402 friendly pooch la  
pittsboro, NC 27312

**From:** Brian Carr <brianhcarr@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 11:00:26 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Brian Carr  
100 Ridge Street  
Apt. 218  
Charlottesville, VA 22902-5581  
434-295-9468

From: Roxana Shaw <rxnshw@aol.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 11:00:41 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Roxana Shaw  
6 Walnut Court  
New City, NY 10956  
845 638-0039

From: Evan Fulmer <ekfulmer@msn.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 11:04:45 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Evan Fulmer  
9 Wren Ct  
9 Wren Ct  
Merrimack, NH 03054  
603-429-1852

From: Anthony Meoni <tmeoni@yahoo.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 5:20:24 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Anthony Meoni  
14125 Clarksville Pike  
Highland  
Highland, MD 20777  
3018540777

From: LORETTA BOBER <lmbober@optonline.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 5:21:28 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

LORETTA BOBER  
17 S BAY CT  
BRIGHTWATERS, NY 11718-2007  
6316475288



From: Nora Devin <blueskydog1@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 5:21:31 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Nora Devin  
306 Privateer Rd  
Manahawkin, NJ 08050-1702  
8456166611

From: Joe Cundari <cundari\_8@msn.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 5:21:31 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Joe Cundari  
3433 W. Florimond Rd.  
552 Saint Pauls Ave.  
Phoenix, NJ 07010

**From:** Sharon Berger <enchanted40@nycap.rr.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 5:22:47 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Sharon Berger  
68 Eycleshymer Road  
Johnsonville, NY 12094

From: Petra Jenkins <jenki006@msn.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 5:22:49 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Petra Jenkins  
1 Wayland Ave  
Providence, RI 02906

From: michael baker <mdowbaker@comcast.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 5:23:04 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

michael baker  
1 Atlantic St.#3  
1 Atlantic St.#3  
lynn, MA 01902  
781 842-2304

**From:** Emily Nowak <emilynnowak09@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 5:23:18 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Emily Nowak  
225 Alta avenue  
Boston, MA 02215  
210-683-8118

**From:** Ronald Broder <ronaldbb55@msn.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 5:12:23 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Ronald Broder  
51 Ferndale Ave.  
Kenmore, NY 14217

From: linda millman <mlm37@phreego.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 5:12:30 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

linda millman  
54 walden pond drive  
nashua, NH 03064  
603 886-1617



From: William Davis <rees@hvc.rr.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 5:13:46 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response. Death to the corrupt and fascist corporatocracy! Jail the thieving suits!

William Davis  
129 Wittenberg Rd  
129 Wittenberg Rd  
Bearsville, NY 12409  
8456794678

From: Margaret Loomis <mloom@mac.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 5:13:51 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Margaret Loomis  
10206 Day Ave.  
Silver Spring, MD 20910

**From:** LYNDA STRECKER <lyndaeearthsong@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 5:13:56 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

LYNDA STRECKER  
190 CANTERBURY DRIVE  
190 CANTERBURY DRIVE  
RIDGE, NY 11961  
6312284907

From: Aaron Kendrick <awkendrick@tds.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 5:16:09 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Aaron Kendrick  
238 Conant Street  
Conant Street  
Manchester, NH 03102  
0000000000

From: Megan Franco <francom@dickinson.edu>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 5:16:33 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Megan Franco  
Florister Dr  
Hamilton Sq NJ, NJ 08690

From: Lea McLaughlin <zangeressa@cs.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 5:17:10 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Lea McLaughlin  
P.O. Box 5031  
Charlotte, NC 28299

From: Judith Ranney <jdr3105@aol.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 5:19:03 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Judith Ranney  
43 Roosevelt St., Apt.1  
Apt. 17  
Braintree, MA 02184  
781-848-3616

From: James Lowenstern <zuboguy@aol.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 5:19:29 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

James Lowenstern  
3450 Terrace Ct #1044  
#1044  
Alexandria, VA 22302  
703 820 0168



**From:** Carol Berkeley <carolberkeley@verizon.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 5:20:09 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Carol Berkeley  
10 Camelot Dr.  
Boxford, MA 01921  
978-887-5008

**From:** Brian Moscatello <b.moscatello@comcast.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:57:51 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Brian Moscatello  
745 Goshen Road  
Cape May Court House, NJ 08210  
609-465-7996

From: Barry Greenstein <whpcs@yahoo.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 5:01:42 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Barry Greenstein  
PO Box 1411  
Toms River, NJ 08754

**From:** Radam Schwartz <radam\_schwartz@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 5:03:24 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Radam Schwartz  
21 Berkeley Heights Park  
Bloomfield, NJ 07003  
973 566-0347

From: Len Jacobs <lenjacobs@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 5:03:48 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Len Jacobs  
230 Bayville Road  
Lattingtown, NY 11560  
516759-3387

From: Richard Mendes <rm3m@optonline.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 5:04:07 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Richard Mendes  
30 Peter's Lane  
Pound Ridge, NY 10576

From: Aurelie Ward <health@wardgroup.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 5:04:30 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Aurelie Ward  
1409 Forest Park Drive  
Statesville, NC 28677  
7048711954

From: Julie Parisi Kirby <juliepkirby@earthlink.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 5:04:40 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Julie Parisi Kirby  
36 Purdy Hollow Road  
...  
Woodstock, NY 12498  
845



From: Dee Morris <kittyhawk12348@earthlink.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 5:07:30 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Dee Morris  
39 Russell Street  
Medford, MA 02155-1740  
781 391 1696

From: Wayne Rossignol <waynecrossignol@yahoo.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 5:09:12 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Wayne Rossignol  
30 Concord Ave  
Hamilton, NJ 08619

From: Dina Willner <starrcat@optonline.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 5:09:21 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Dina Willner  
115 Vreeland CT  
Mahwah, NJ 07430-2022  
201-934-6092

From: Stephen Scott <stevescott@usa.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 5:09:45 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Stephen Scott  
548 Overlook Street  
GREENSBORO, NC 27403

From: Lisa Reisboard <lreisboard@aol.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 5:09:48 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Lisa Reisboard  
46 Martin Road  
Livingston, NJ 07039  
973-994-0499

From: Lisa Martin <lisamartin@gwi.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 5:09:48 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Lisa Martin  
1007 Jackson Road  
Monroe, ME 04951

From: Linda Sutherland <lindasutherland1@me.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 5:10:53 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Linda Sutherland  
521 Elm Avenue  
521 Elm Avenue  
Takoma Park, MD 20912  
301 8911031

**From:** margaret touw <mkinch58@aol.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:46:59 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such,

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

margaret touw  
1708 logwood circle  
Richmond, VA 23238



**From:** Dan Rynberg <drynberg@msn.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:47:51 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Dan Rynberg  
64 Newell Rd.  
Yarmouth, ME 04096

**From:** F Robert Wesley <frw2@cornell.edu>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:47:53 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

F Robert Wesley  
212 Hill Road  
Ithaca, NY 14850-8602

**From:** Adam Meier <uhuru.a.meier@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:48:44 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Adam Meier  
76 Doll Rd.  
Bloomingburg, NY 12721  
84580084573

**From:** Ralph Hitchcock <rhitchcock@erols.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:49:33 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Ralph Hitchcock  
1135 Ocean Parkway, #113  
Unit 113  
Berlin, MD 21811-1722

**From:** Garret McCarty <garret.mccarty@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:49:59 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Garret McCarty  
198 Oxford St  
Apt 6  
Rochester, NY 14607  
3154088605

**From:** Alan Jasper <alj2727@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:50:52 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Alan Jasper  
110 Hampton Way  
Merrick, NY 11566-5025  
5165465090

**From:** Licia Caldwell <sundogblal@earthlink.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:51:52 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Licia Caldwell  
P.O. Box 67  
Piney Ridge Rd.  
Hatteras, NC 27943

**From:** Takisha Settle <tsettle8@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:52:09 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Takisha Settle  
PO Box 274  
Ruffin, NC 27326  
336-584-8368



**From:** Hank Wollman <hanker2427@hotmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:53:05 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Hank Wollman  
752 Newman Springs Rd  
Lincroft, NJ 07738  
7326732529

**From:** Megan Krout <elaira\_sedda86@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:53:15 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Megan Krout  
5108 N 23rd RD  
Arlington, VA 22207-2608  
7034050885

From: arlene coulter <arlenecoulter@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 4:53:20 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

arlene coulter  
7 oyster shores road  
7 oyster shores road  
east hampton, NY 11937  
631-324-6173

**From:** Gloria Hatrick, Esq. <glorihat@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:54:08 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Gloria Hatrick, Esq.  
33A School Street  
33A School Street, Brunswick, ME 04011  
Brunswick, ME 04011  
207-373-0858

**From:** mike hannen <growboy22@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:55:47 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

mike hannen  
171 laburnam crescent  
171 laburnam crescent  
rochester, NY 14620  
585-256-1124

**From:** George Wurster <gwurster@plsnny.org>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:56:58 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

George Wurster  
98 PINE ST.  
Plattsburgh, NY 12901

**From:** Thomas Reyer <tomas.reyer@gimmecoffee.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:57:31 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Thomas Reyer  
198 Eastman Hill Rd.  
Willseyville, NY 13864-1226  
607 227 7750

**From:** David Fisher <davidfisher@comcast.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:37:28 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

David Fisher  
419 Grant Ave  
Pitman, NJ 08071



**From:** Kathleen B. Ray <kray16@roadrunner.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:37:57 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Kathleen B. Ray  
9361 Lake Shore Road  
Angola, NY 14006  
716-479-6518

**From:** NICHOLAS SELVAGGIO <nselvagg@suffolk.lib.ny.us>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:38:17 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

NICHOLAS SELVAGGIO  
171 CEDRUS AVE  
171 CEDRUS AVE  
EAST NORTHPORT, NY 11731  
6312666247

**From:** Jack Cogswell <jackcogswell@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:38:57 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Jack Cogswell  
43 grove st  
43 grove st  
Fairhaven, MA 02719

**From:** Klara Seddon <alligator\_pear@juno.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:39:07 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Klara Seddon  
6 High St  
Cold Spring, NY 10516  
845 2659632

**From:** Jamie Metzler <jtmetzler@juno.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:39:35 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Jamie Metzler  
1435 Boyle St  
1435 Boyle St  
Baltimore, MD 21230  
410-938-3428

**From:** William Voorhies <billyv27@roadrunner.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:39:47 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

William Voorhies  
38 Clark Point Rd.  
West Tremont, ME 04612  
2072449226

**From:** Kristin King <kingkristin@hotmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:39:56 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Kristin King  
Hearth Ct  
Baltimore, MD 21212

**From:** Margaret Dodge <mdodgel@rochester.rr.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:41:06 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Margaret Dodge  
31 Academy Pl  
Canandaigua, NY 14424  
585-394-9337



**From:** Greta Gundersen <gjpyq@aol.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:41:08 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Greta Gundersen  
7 Knights Street  
none  
Belchertown, MA 01007  
I don't give my

**From:** Vivian Orlowski <vorlowski@verizon.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:42:09 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Vivian Orlowski  
P.O. Box 278  
Great Barrington, MA 01230

**From:** NASCENT PING <nascentping@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:42:26 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

NASCENT PING  
99 schoolhouse rd  
Port Jervis, NY 12771  
9087647725

**From:** marvin brickner <tomar27313@aol.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:42:30 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

marvin brickner  
2 b truro drive  
monroe twp, NJ 08831  
609-860-0479

**From:** William Eichhold <WJEichhold@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:43:30 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

William Eichhold  
1089 Braxton St  
Hempstead, NY 11553  
6178234704

**From:** Melbia Andrews <melbiav@aol.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:45:07 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Melbia Andrews  
H4 Olde Carriage Hse  
Clinton, NY 13323  
315-85

**From:** Terri Hastings <terrihastings@charter.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:45:54 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Terri Hastings  
2686 Camelot Drive  
Newton, NC 28658

**From:** Renee Eli <elir@uncw.edu>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 7:46:14 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Renee Eli  
3918 1/2 Wrightsville Ave  
Wilmington, NC 28403



**From:** Gerald Bowman <gerald@geraldbowman.de>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 7:48:49 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Gerald Bowman  
4703 Wythe Avenue  
Richmond, VA 23226  
804.306.8034

**From:** Sheila Ehrens <sheil10@verizon.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 7:50:07 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Sheila Ehrens  
78 Marlboro st  
78 marlboro street  
Newburyport, MA 01950  
9784621996

**From:** Dorothy Anderson <gramdot@hotmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 7:49:35 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Dorothy Anderson  
125 River St  
No Weymouth, MA 02191

**From:** Eugene Martin <gmart@roadrunner.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 7:52:37 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Eugene Martin  
7508 Derby Road  
Derby, NY 14047  
7169474459

**From:** Maria Grill <kennang@myfairpoint.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 7:54:34 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Maria Grill  
267 Bald head rd  
Arrowsic, ME 04530

**From:** Virginia Citrano <vcitrano@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 7:53:01 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Virginia Citrano  
68 Newman Ave.  
Verona, NJ 07044

From: Katherine Zeng <kathyzg@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 5:57:29 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Katherine Zeng  
304 New Parkside Dr.  
Chapel Hill, NC 27516

From: Muriel Doyne <mdoynel@nycap.rr.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 5:57:36 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Muriel Doyne  
1619 Van Hoesen Road  
Castleton, NY 12033  
(518) 336-4444



From: Ferris Lyle <flyle35@charter.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 5:58:39 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Ferris Lyle  
26 Whitetail Drive  
Weaverville, NC 28787

From: Sarah Johnson <tiarella2@earthlink.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 5:59:37 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Sarah Johnson  
86 N Midland Ave  
Nyack, NY 10960  
2122484900

From: Jon Kowal <jonmkowal@aol.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 5:59:43 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Jon Kowal  
125 Cole Rd  
125 Cole Rd  
Flemington, NJ 08822  
9088063717

**From:** Connie North <connie.north@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 5:59:58 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Connie North  
6811 Westmoreland St.  
Takoma Park, MD 20912

From: Elizabeth P Keenan <polly.finbar@comcast.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 6:01:45 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Elizabeth P Keenan  
708 Valley Rd  
Apt #1  
Montclair, NJ 07043  
973 337 6607

From: Augusta Hemann <augustazoe@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 6:02:21 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Augusta Hemann  
2620 S. Veitch St. #108  
#108  
Arlington, VA 22206  
703 577.9896

From: Ross Adams <rossexo@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 6:03:55 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Ross Adams  
Sand Hill Rd.  
Peterborough, NH 03458

From: Justin Wharton <jkwharton@comcast.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 6:04:12 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Justin Wharton  
Ridgewood  
ORLEANS, MA 02653  
508-240-1120



From: Joseph Maurici <kerniraelflord@netscape.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 6:05:13 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Joseph Maurici  
104 Chili Wheatland Townline Rd  
Scottsville, NY 14546

From: Jordan Seltzer <jordanseltzer@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 6:07:30 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Jordan Seltzer  
1400 S. Edgewood Street # 517  
Arlington, VA 22204

From: nadia mykolayevych <nadiykal@yahoo.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 6:07:35 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

nadia mykolayevych  
3 crawford st  
cambridge, MA 02139  
617 876 7205

From: Bryan Carlson <bfcarlson@earthlink.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 6:07:58 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Bryan Carlson  
6112 Cobblestone Drive H-3  
Cicero, NY 13039-9024  
315-403-8049

From: Sue-Ellen Hershman-Tcherepnin <suellen3@hotmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 6:09:14 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Sue-Ellen Hershman-Tcherepnin  
96 Russell Ave.  
Watertown, MA 02472

From: Russell Hobbs <Hobbsrm@comcast.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 6:09:15 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Russell Hobbs  
1166 Washington St.  
Gloucester, MA 01930  
978-281-6422

From: Marissa Guijarro <marissarose\_g@yahoo.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 6:10:00 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Marissa Guijarro  
12 Hereford Ln  
New City, NY 10956

From: Peter Zabriskie <ZComp2@aol.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 6:10:29 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Peter Zabriskie  
408 Franklin Street  
Ogdensburg, NY 13669



From: Leah Richards <leah.kolarcik@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 5:49:00 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Leah Richards  
1989A Ellis Hollow Road  
Ithaca, NY 14850  
607-339-3493

From: Ed Jocz <edjocz@verizon.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 5:49:23 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Ed Jocz  
152 Old Post Road  
152 Old Post Road  
Freehold, NJ 07728-3149  
732-780-3144

From: Patricia Frederick <piano.fred@juno.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 5:50:29 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Patricia Frederick  
15 Water Street  
Ashburnham, MA 01430  
978-827-6232

From: Mel Masi <mamasi@comcast.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 5:51:08 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Mel Masi  
33 Spruce St.  
West Barnstable, MA 02668  
5083759899

**From:** Heather Caveny <thandiwe77@hotmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 5:51:23 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Heather Caveny  
312 Greenfield St  
Wilmington, NC 28401

**From:** Mary Jo Stanley <jojostanley@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 5:52:05 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Mary Jo Stanley  
PO Box 60563  
Florence, MA 01062

From: John Light <johandmol@verizon.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 5:52:47 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

John Light  
1138 N. Taylor Street  
Arlington, VA 22201  
(703) 243-3552

From: Ann Egge <mstherapy@cox.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 5:53:29 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Ann Egge  
2231 Westover Ave  
2231 Westover Ave  
Roanoke, VA 24015



**From:** colleen keating <tomiokakeating@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 5:55:35 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

colleen keating  
323 Franklin Ave.  
Ridgewood, NJ 07450  
917-847-4039

From: Jeremy Nathan Marks <thecavesofaltamira@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 5:57:12 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Jeremy Nathan Marks  
13911 Flint Rock Road  
Rockville, MD 20853-2650

From: Garry Williams <gdwill@earthlink.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 5:57:16 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Garry Williams  
209 Ramblewood Dr, Apt 83  
Raleigh, NC 27609

From: Michael Kelly <kokoek6@yahoo.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 5:57:27 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Michael Kelly  
808 south pine street  
808 south pine street  
Horseheads, NY 14845  
607 731 2208

From: Sherry Hester <sherryhester1782@comcast.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 5:34:16 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Sherry Hester  
1782 Sherry Lane  
Harrisonburg, VA 22801  
540-433-3231

From: Katherine Childs <kachilds@verizon.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 5:38:36 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Katherine Childs  
115 Chapel St.  
Penn Yan, NY 14527

From: Glen Benjamin <soulroll@comcast.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 5:38:46 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Glen Benjamin  
140 Court Street apt 209  
140 Court Street apt 209  
Portsmouth, NH 03801-4443

From: Carla Shuford <cshuford2@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 5:41:43 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Carla Shuford  
116 Pitch Pine Lane  
Chapel Hill, NC 27514  
919-942-3995



From: Inge Jacobsen <inge\_jacobsen\_nj@yahoo.de>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 5:42:35 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Inge Jacobsen  
14 Poplar Ave.  
14 Poplar Ave.  
North Brunswick, NJ 08902

From: Dennis Finn <gridley@twcny.rr.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 5:42:46 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Dennis Finn  
5187 Greig Road  
Greig, NY 13345  
315-348-8376

From: Jim Panzer <bagheeraphoto@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 5:43:17 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Jim Panzer  
1615 Thetford Rd  
Towson, MD 21286

From: Carl & Donna Ochs <toxicarl@verizon.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 5:45:15 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Carl & Donna Ochs  
524 Cherry St  
Roeboling, NJ 08554

From: George Fargo <fargo\_barnes@verizon.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 5:45:37 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

George Fargo  
76 Whitefield, Unit 302  
Ocean Grove, NJ 07756

From: Marilyn Klaiber <goofylupie@yahoo.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 5:46:13 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Marilyn Klaiber  
72 Algonquin Rd  
Clifton Park, NY 12065  
518-371-7529

**From:** Hannah Buckbee <hannahbanana4028@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 6:20:09 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Hannah Buckbee  
1400 Washington Ave.  
Warwick, NY 10990

**From:** Emily Smith <emilys7@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 6:20:14 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Emily Smith  
1209 Village Crossing Dr.  
Chapel Hill, NC 27517  
3362134350



From: Carel Hedlund <cthedlund@msn.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 6:21:36 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Carel Hedlund  
1006 Malvern Avenue  
Towson, MD 21204

From: Christine McGathey <Mitro4@aol.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 6:22:24 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Christine McGathey  
35 Upland Rd  
35 Upland Rd  
Watertown, MA 02472  
617-513-7209

From: Ginger Comstock <gkcomstock@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 6:23:40 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Ginger Comstock  
93 Park St  
Arcade, NY 14009  
5854922810

From: erin smithers <exsmithers@yahoo.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 6:24:42 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

erin smithers  
45 rutherford ave  
warwick, RI 02886

**From:** Joan M Fay <jomafa@comcast.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 6:24:57 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Joan M Fay  
12 Manamok Circle  
Sandwich, MA 02563  
508-420-5282

From: Edmund Schlee <EddieHavoc@yahoo.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 6:27:44 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Edmund Schlee  
18 Ronan Rd.  
Glen Cove, N.Y., NY 11542

From: Helena Donovan <fhdonovan@yahoo.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 6:29:05 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Helena Donovan  
27 Montague Road  
Amherst, MA 01002

**From:** Laura Schafer <lschafer01@mail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 6:29:05 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Laura Schafer  
14 Maple Ave.  
North Brunswick, NJ 08902



From: Michael Serrano Jr <mikeywow2k@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 6:29:06 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Michael Serrano Jr  
133A Prospect Ave  
Bayonne, NJ 07002-8672

From: Amy Segnit <amy.segnit@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 6:30:22 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Amy Segnit  
Grandview Avenue  
Cornwall on Hudson, NY 12520

**From:** Karen Stickney <kstick@roadrunner.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 6:30:33 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Karen Stickney  
4 Arbania St.  
Auburn, ME 04210  
207 782-0270

From: Mira Scott <mjaneys@comcast.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 6:30:54 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Mira Scott  
583 Hancock st.  
Apt. 2  
Quincy, MA 02170  
7812236086

**From:** Margie Phillips <marjodancer@aol.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 6:32:11 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Margie Phillips  
131 Laplante Circle  
Easthampton, MA 01027-2123  
413-527-0565

From: David Laing <davidlaing@aol.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 6:33:11 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

David Laing  
12 Cape Jellison Rd  
Stockton Springs, ME 04981  
207 567 3700

**From:** Raymond Intemann <rminte698@verizon.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 6:33:32 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Raymond Intemann  
698 Grove Avenue  
Cliffside Park, NJ 07010

From: kyra panchenko <kyrasp@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 6:34:04 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

kyra panchenko  
73 bright street  
jersey city, NJ 07302  
201-209-9273



From: Suzanne Hill <iammariel@mindspring.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 6:35:27 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Suzanne Hill  
84 Governors Way  
Barnstable, MA 02630

From: Fred Lavy <fred-cheryl@msn.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 6:36:10 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Fred Lavy  
524 East Wolfe St  
Harrisonburg, VA 22802  
540-433-1461

From: David Loiselle <dave.loiselle@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 6:36:17 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

David Loiselle  
402 North English Hill  
402 North English Hill  
Hillsborough, NC 27278  
9199331935

From: Barbara Sanders <elemtak3@frontier.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 6:38:00 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Barbara Sanders  
5336 Revere Rd  
5336 Revere Rd  
Durham, NC 27713  
9193614360

From: Debra Naumovitz <psychopractor@aol.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 6:38:15 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Debra Naumovitz  
6015 Johnston Rd  
Slingerlands, NY 12159

From: Joyce Lahna <knocky93@aol.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 6:38:22 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Joyce Lahna  
454 Loring road  
Barre, MA 01005

From: Galen Trembath <granville\_hall@frontiernet.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 6:38:44 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Galen Trembath  
6747 State Highway 206  
Sidney Center, NY 13839

**From:** Laura Johnston <wavering@hotmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 6:11:35 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Laura Johnston  
6161 Forest Creek Lane  
Springfield, VA 22152



From: Susan Mead <susansmead@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 6:13:22 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Susan Mead  
219 W. Lincoln St.  
219 W. Lincoln St.  
Ithaca, NY 14850-3560  
607-272-5320

From: Enrico Pelausa <ricop@comcast.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 6:16:18 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Enrico Pelausa  
107 Manassas dr.  
107 Manassas dr.  
Manassas Park, VA 20111  
703 361-4939

From: Amitava Das <amitabho.das@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 6:17:53 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Amitava Das  
109 Pebble Springs Rd  
Chapel Hill, NC 27514  
Chapel Hill, NC 27514

From: Bill Newman <williamnewman@hotmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 6:17:53 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Bill Newman  
512E 18th Ave.  
Lake Como, NJ 07719

From: Vivian Turnbull <vvspicegirl@earthlink.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 6:18:32 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Vivian Turnbull  
629 Ritchie Avenue  
629 Ritchie Avenue  
Silver Spring, MD 20910

From: Margaret Read-Weiss <mossygreen1@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 6:18:51 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Margaret Read-Weiss  
33 Barberry Rd  
33 Barberry Rd  
Lexington, MA 02421

From: Ellen Weiss <stelmax3@cox.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 6:18:57 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Ellen Weiss  
4700 Leehigh Court  
Fairfax, VA 22030

From: Martin Curlik <ebhmaine@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 5:48:34 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Martin Curlik  
P.O. Box 1223  
114 Rue de la Grange  
Blue Hill, ME 04614  
9085783364



From: John Pelletier <jjpell127@netscape.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 5:48:35 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

John Pelletier  
94 Back River Rd  
03820  
Dover, NH 03820  
6037421802

From: chris reid <cmreid1313@YAHOO.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 5:23:28 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

chris reid  
p.o. box 255  
tappan, NY 10983  
845-359-0033

From: Ruth Brighton <rabrighton@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 5:23:42 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Ruth Brighton  
141 Pickpocket Rd  
Brentwood, NH 03833  
(603) 895-9460

From: Jane Hardy <jane\_hardy@shs.org>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 5:23:59 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Jane Hardy  
142 Irving St.  
Watertown, MA 02472

From: Joel V. Elio <duncanmak@verizon.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 5:25:10 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Joel V. Elio  
86 Maple Ave.  
Shirley, NY 11967-1914

From: Geoffrey Garrett <ggarrett@worchester.edu>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 5:29:19 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Geoffrey Garrett  
11 Hanna Rd  
11 Hanna Rd  
Worcester, MA 01602-1423  
508 756-2867

From: brian truax <btsunpower@frontiernet.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 5:29:19 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

brian truax  
10991 Campground Rd.  
Forestport, NY 13338-2214

From: Bennie Smith <benniesmith1@cox.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 5:30:42 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Bennie Smith  
1458 Laurens Road  
POB28a  
Gloucester Point, VA 23062-281  
8046422464



From: molly jennings <shells@branchmills.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 5:31:32 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

molly jennings  
1 shuman  
windsor, ME 04363

From: Helen Sohne <helenruth@optonline.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 5:32:17 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Helen Sohne  
284 River Road  
284 River Road  
Shirley, NY 11967  
631 772-7951

From: Jeff Schwefel <jrschwefel@yahoo.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 5:32:53 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Jeff Schwefel  
64 Allston Street, Apt. #3  
Allston, MA 02134

From: M. Angarola <M@hvc.rr.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 5:33:11 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

M. Angarola  
82 Trail One  
Wurtsboro, NY 12790  
8456444049

From: Richard Bibeault <fangdaddy@comcast.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 5:33:28 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Richard Bibeault  
35 Wequaquet Avenue  
Centerville, MA 02632

From: Lucy Almeida <lualmeida@verizon.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 5:34:11 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Lucy Almeida  
178 garner avenue  
Bloomfield, NJ 07003

**From:** kurt carlson <kcarlson@portjersey.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 6:44:00 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

kurt carlson  
14 sycamore lane  
toms river, NJ 08753

From: SHERRIE KIRBY <IMAGINE@PSKNET.COM>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 6:45:22 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

SHERRIE KIRBY  
4841 WHISPERING PINES LANE  
PULASKI, VA 24301  
540-994-9239



**From:** Karen Moses <bestbeginnings@frontiernet.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 6:44:02 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Karen Moses  
168 Chelmsford Rd  
Rochester, NY 14618  
585 271-6721

From: cristine van dyke <cris\_vandyke@yahoo.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 6:46:52 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

cristine van dyke  
344 Barretts Mill Rd  
Concord, MA 01742

From: robert Cooley <BobCooley@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 6:50:55 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

robert Cooley  
73 Hemenway St Apt 305  
Boston, MA 02115

From: Elaine Radiss <eradiss@roadrunner.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 6:47:41 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Elaine Radiss  
12 Blue Hill Lane  
12 Blue Hill Lane  
Great Barrington, MA 01230

From: Tracy Ford <tmford625@yahoo.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 6:59:43 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Tracy Ford  
1937 Monument Dr  
Virginia Beach, VA 23464

**From:** Christina Jordan <bonesjordan@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 7:07:59 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Christina Jordan  
35 Catherine Street Apt 5  
Lyons, NY 14489  
305-587-0245

**From:** Martha Huggins <marthaohuggins@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 7:04:37 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Martha Huggins  
108 Stepp Pond, Trl.  
Hendersonville, NC 28792  
828 685 8105

From: Mary Bost <mbost27@yahoo.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 7:08:00 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Mary Bost  
20 Wendell st #36e  
apt 36e  
Hempstead, NY 11550



**From:** Cassandra Wilson <zoochic135@hotmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 7:12:48 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Cassandra Wilson  
380 Old Hwy 109  
Lexington, NC 27292

From: Kristina Johnson <krjohnson@davidson.edu>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 7:10:03 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Kristina Johnson  
PO Box 664  
Cornelius, NC 28036

From: Vicky Ferland <archeart1@yahoo.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 7:18:42 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Vicky Ferland  
7700 DEVAUL RD TRLR 74  
Kirkville, NY 13082

**From:** Mary Schor <maryschor@hotmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 7:21:26 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Mary Schor  
10320 Westlake Dr., Apt. 305  
apt 305  
Bethesda, MD 20817-6444  
301-767-0912

From: Barbara Ryland <barbara.ryland@yahoo.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 7:20:06 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Barbara Ryland  
69 Kaler Road  
69 Kaler Road  
South Portland, ME 04106

From: Melissa Burke <mwolff@su.edu>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 7:22:26 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Melissa Burke  
176 Saddleback Lane  
Winchester, VA 22602

From: Elena Day <elena.day@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 7:23:52 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Elena Day  
151 Buckingham Circle  
Charlottesville  
Charlottesville, VA 22903  
434-296-2494

**From:** Ruth Lanton <ruth811@hotmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 7:24:28 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Ruth Lanton  
15 Mitchell Ave  
Plainview, NY 11803  
5166812414



From: Evelyn Way <eway731@aol.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 7:27:51 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Evelyn Way  
9800 Beaver Lane  
Spotsylvania, VA 22551

**From:** Julie Erickson <julieeee321@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 7:35:09 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Julie Erickson  
2708 Albemarle Dr  
Alexandria, VA 22303

**From:** Lori Tyman <ltyman@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 4:58:18 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Lori Tyman  
978 Hicks Rd  
Youngsville, NC 27596  
919-556-6227

From: Anne Carey <amcarey10@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 5:01:42 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Anne Carey  
44 1st Ave.  
Highland Park, NJ 08904

From: Elizabeth Neiman <e.neiman@web.de>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 5:15:59 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Elizabeth Neiman  
5509 South Bend Rd.  
Baltimore, MD 21209

**From:** Deborah Spencer <kodashilal@verizon.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 5:23:27 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Deborah Spencer  
12 Newport Drive  
Newport Drive  
Billerica, MA 01821  
617-771-7968

**From:** kerri neill <benandkerk@aol.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 5:18:43 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

kerri neill  
sheldon st  
cranston, RI 02905

From: Lola McDonald <LolaM9259@aol.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 5:29:28 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Lola McDonald  
7704 Kingston drive  
Waxhaw, NC 28173



**From:** Martha Lindsay <mdlindsayfive@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 5:37:55 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Martha Lindsay  
55 franklin street  
concord, NH 03301

**From:** Justin Shaw <jrs112\_fl@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 5:39:47 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Justin Shaw  
22 Butler St.  
Oneonta  
Oneonta, NY 13820  
607-432-2503

**From:** Margo Nielsen <margon@clearwire.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 5:48:31 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Margo Nielsen  
P.O. Box 220  
1501 Myrtle Street  
Fulton, TX 78358-0220  
361-729-3257

From: Louis Taterka <ltate@ptd.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 5:54:19 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Louis Taterka  
26 Hampton Hts Rd  
Lafayette, NJ 07848  
973 383 2615

**From:** Amy Ruschaupt <Amy.Ruschaupt@fcps.edu>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 5:52:20 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Amy Ruschaupt  
700 Bennett St.  
Herndon, VA 20170  
703-810-2200

**From:** Leticia Smith <leticia.smith@maine.edu>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 5:54:51 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Leticia Smith  
1455 Congress Street  
Apt. 2  
Portland, ME 04102  
207-773-1917

From: pat mace <patwithcats@hotmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 6:21:06 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

pat mace  
25965 acorn road  
#202  
easton, MD 21601  
3133034804

From: Helene Pecora-Montalto <merdock111@verizon.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 7:29:09 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Helene Pecora-Montalto  
10 Aetna Place  
Merrick, NY 11566-3303  
516- 868-0898



**From:** Allison Willins <allisonwillins@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 7:30:20 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Allison Willins  
2882 Hosta Drive  
2882 Hosta dr  
Charlotte, NC 28269  
704-737-9015

From: Janet Hamilton <wordwing@yahoo.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 7:30:20 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Janet Hamilton  
1 Maverick Rd. Apt. 7  
1 Maverick Rd. Apt. 7  
Woodstock, NY 12498  
845-332-6777

**From:** Jim Gross <JimG1127@Gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 7:31:32 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Jim Gross  
7 Francine Dr. N.  
Francine Dr. N.  
Massapequa, NY 11758

From: Janet Hamilton <wordwing@yahoo.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 7:30:20 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Janet Hamilton  
1 Maverick Rd. Apt. 7  
1 Maverick Rd. Apt. 7  
Woodstock, NY 12498  
845-332-6777

From: Warren Bagby <WDBagby@aol.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 7:34:10 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Warren Bagby  
2803 Sydney Ave  
2803 Sydney Ave., Forestville, MD 20747  
Forestville, MD 20747  
(301) 736-4775

From: Elizabeth Bryson <sweetblueseas@verizon.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 7:35:20 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Elizabeth Bryson  
7992 Millstream Ct  
Elkridge, MD 21075

**From:** Frank Scanzillo <frankscanzillo3@comcast.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 7:36:09 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Frank Scanzillo  
69 Barry Lane  
69 Barry Lane  
South Dennis, MA 02660  
508-360-4662

From: Ruth van Veenendaal <peaceturtle1942@yahoo.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 7:37:47 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Ruth van Veenendaal  
335 Boulevard Avenue  
Pitman, NJ 08071  
856 589 6486



**From:** Kristen Van Tassell <kristenand4@verizon.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 7:38:55 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Kristen Van Tassell  
6913 Confederate Ridge Ln.  
Centreville, VA 20121  
571 224-6705

From: Arlene Esan <seabreez5@aol.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 7:38:55 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Arlene Esan  
501 92nd St  
Niagara Falls, NY 14304  
716 283 0937

From: Diana Waller <dianawaller1@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 7:41:39 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Diana Waller  
714 Kenosha ave.  
Norfolk, VA 23509  
757-962-5631

From: Lee Fremault <Leemo1952@aol.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 7:42:38 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Lee Fremault  
46 Pine Street  
Apt. 321  
Attleboro, MA 02703

**From:** Deborah Swenson <debswenson@verizon.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 7:42:41 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Deborah Swenson  
958 Sea View Dr.  
Oyster Bay NY  
Oyster Bay, NY 11771

From: Gabrielle Kayser <gkayser@hotmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 7:42:41 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Gabrielle Kayser  
2 Pine Street  
Hicksville, NY 11801  
5169336051

**From:** Roberta Zuckerman <zuckerman.roberta10@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 7:44:49 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Roberta Zuckerman  
372 Preble St.  
372 Preble St. South Portland, ME  
South Portland, ME 04106  
207 761-2952

**From:** Sarah Frostick <sbflythe@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 7:47:47 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Sarah Frostick  
303 S. Pine st  
Richmond, VA 23220



From: bob coleman <namelocrpl@frontier.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 7:52:54 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

bob coleman  
103 candlelight ct.  
durham, NC 27707  
9194906876

From: courtney banks <cmbanks@yahoo.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 7:52:58 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

courtney banks  
4009 everett street  
kensington, MD 20895  
301.933.5661

From: Elizabeth Schenkel <betsysch@windstream.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 7:53:43 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Elizabeth Schenkel  
927 Skyuka Rd.  
Columbus, NC 28722

From: Karen Ross <MMTwinKid@aol.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 7:53:58 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Karen Ross  
111 Tall Grass Ln  
La Plata, MD 20646

From: Nancy Ellis <nanellis179@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 7:29:08 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Nancy Ellis  
179 Roseland Ave  
Caldwell, NJ 07006  
9738681958

From: Colette Corwin <colnstash@att.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 7:26:59 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Colette Corwin  
24 utopia Road  
Asheville, NC 28805  
828-254-3515

From: Andrejs jansons <jansonsa@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 7:07:26 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Andrejs jansons  
73 Glenwood Ae  
73 Glenwood Ave  
Leonia, NJ 07605  
201 944-1273

From: Lisa Knight <lisalknight@verizon.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 7:07:59 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Lisa Knight  
9284 Old County Road  
Suite 411  
Grottoes, VA 22801



From: Louise Frontiero <louisefr@comcast.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 7:09:31 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Louise Frontiero  
178 Essex Ave  
Gloucester, MA 01930  
978-283-0290

From: Ralph Gertz <ralphgertz@hotmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 7:09:39 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Ralph Gertz  
7800 Beach Road  
P.O. Box 2134  
Chesterfield, VA 23832  
703-209-9026

From: john Chrystal <jmchrystal@yahoo.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 7:09:40 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

john Chrystal  
569 Chambers Point Road  
Belhaven, NC 27810  
252-964-3389

**From:** Dina Hosnjak <dinahosnjak@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 7:11:21 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Dina Hosnjak  
New Oak Lane  
New Oak Lane  
Bowie, MD 20716  
240-245-3473

From: Carol Dewey <cgdewey@verizon.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 7:13:33 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Carol Dewey  
3751 Parliament Rd SW  
Roanoke, VA 24014

From: Kevin Smith <tubalove@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 7:15:25 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Kevin Smith  
56 K St, Apt 1  
Turners Falls, MA 01376

From: Peter Yoerg <henyoel31@yahoo.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 7:15:56 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Peter Yoerg  
Shirley Ave.  
shirley ave.  
Buffalo, NY 14215  
716-834-6316

From: Barbara Butera <bjb4825@aol.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 7:18:56 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Barbara Butera  
4825 Willett Parkway  
4825 Willett Parkway Chevy Chase,  
Chevy Chase, MD 20815  
301-656-4018



From: kj Chase <kjchase@bellsouth.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 7:23:18 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

kj Chase  
2304 Richardson Dr.  
Reidsville, NC, NC 27320

From: am palacios <ampalace@yahoo.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 7:23:18 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

am palacios  
4400 east west  
bethesda, MD 20814

From: John Bernard <johndbernard@earthlink.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 7:24:46 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

John Bernard  
56 Mildred St.  
South Portland, ME 04106  
207-767-2917

From: Sarah Forbes <katylab@hotmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 7:24:37 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Sarah Forbes  
1 Clinton Street  
Mansfield, MA 02048  
508-339-7652

From: Jennifer Palmere <jphorn36@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 7:26:44 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Jennifer Palmere  
4313 Penn Ave  
4313 Penn Ave  
Baltimore, MD 21236  
410-227-6657

From: Franklin Edelstein <f.h.edelstein@att.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 6:54:13 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Franklin Edelstein  
69 Manor Crescent  
69 Manor Crescent  
New Brunswick, NJ 08901  
732-545-4553

From: Ira Landgarten <iralanda@yahoo.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 6:54:45 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Ira Landgarten  
561 1st St., suite 1  
suite 1  
Hoboken, NJ 07030  
201 420 0365

From: Logan Adsit <AdsitL@hartwick.edu>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 6:55:00 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Logan Adsit  
818 County Road 42  
South Otselic, NY 13155



From: M A Gresko <Oksergm@aol.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 6:55:13 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

M A Gresko  
R D # 1  
R. D. # 1  
Highland Mills, NY 10930  
8455343088

From: Jessica Osit <josit7@yahoo.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 6:55:56 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Jessica Osit  
121 Riddell St  
Greenfield, MA 01301

From: Joel Hencken <jhencken@rcn.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 6:55:57 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Joel Hencken  
45 Lincoln St  
Watertown, MA 02472  
6179240241

From: Blake Bentley <Blake3B@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 6:56:25 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Blake Bentley  
150 Mill Lane  
Christiansburg, VA 24073

**From:** Katharina Nordmann <kat329@optonline.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 6:56:28 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Katharina Nordmann  
237 Glen Cove Ave  
Carle Place, NY 11514  
5169934700

From: William Hinrichs <williamhinrichs2@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 6:57:22 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

William Hinrichs  
100 Capner st BLDG 11 APT 4-B  
true  
FLEMINGTON, NJ 08822  
9083288408

From: Edward Fahs <edfahs@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 6:58:40 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Edward Fahs  
32 Williams Avenue  
Wellsville, NY 14895  
585 593-3397

**From:** JoAnne Perdue <stcroixjo@hotmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 6:59:33 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

JoAnne Perdue  
3941 N.E. 3rd. Ave.  
Apt. 4  
Oakland Park, FL 33334  
9547095646



From: Laren Leonard <larenleonard@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 6:59:33 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Laren Leonard  
31 Williams Dr.  
Annapolis, MD 21401  
410/266/5434

From: Colleen Foody <cfoody@fairpoint.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 6:59:33 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Colleen Foody  
Squirrel Crossing  
Stephentown, NY 12168

From: P. Henry <crazyivan181@yahoo.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 7:02:37 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

P. Henry  
300 Park Terrace Dr  
-  
Stoneham, MA 02180  
6176050042

From: Ryan Robertson <robertson2002@hotmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 7:01:03 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Ryan Robertson  
4800 University Dr. Apt.11E  
4800 University Dr. Apt.11E  
Durham, NC 27707  
2176534903

**From:** P Gretrix Winthrop <weatie181@verizon.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 7:03:58 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

P Gretrix Winthrop  
453 Shirley St  
-  
Winthrop, MA 02152  
6176050043

From: michelle vorob <michelle.vorob@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 7:04:07 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

michelle vorob  
187 paterson ave.  
midland park, NJ 07432

From: George Mendoza <Aaroundmyworld@aol.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 7:06:30 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

George Mendoza  
2 Indian Trace  
Kings Park, NY 11754  
631 663-3051

From: Ben Pelto <glacierpelto27@yahoo.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 6:39:29 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Ben Pelto  
215 Goodale Street  
West Boylston, MA 01583-1003  
5088352727



From: Edward Catterton <kestrel91846@yahoo.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 6:39:43 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Edward Catterton  
386 Water St.  
Apt. 3  
Skowhegan, ME 04976-1721

From: Susan Zeiger <susanzeiger@optonline.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 6:40:32 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Susan Zeiger  
63 Beacon Hill Rd.  
Ardsley, NY 10502

**From:** Susan Raisner <raisner1217@verizon.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 6:41:19 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Susan Raisner  
38 Brookside Drive  
Cranston, RI 02910  
401-781-5021

**From:** Noreen Wheller <redwolf91@mindspring.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 6:43:58 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Noreen Wheller  
125 Avalon Circle  
Smithtown, NY 11787

From: martha leahy <martha638@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 6:44:48 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

martha leahy  
39 lockeland rd  
39 lockeland rd  
winchester, MA 01890  
781-729-4286

From: Carroll Arkema <arkemac@verizon.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 6:47:28 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Carroll Arkema  
221 Ringwood Ave - A3  
Pompton Lakes, NJ 07442  
2014449484

From: Janice Dlugosz <gjjak52@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 6:48:17 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Janice Dlugosz  
409 Compass Ave  
Beachwood, NJ 08722

From: Arthur Taplinger <regnilpat@earthlink.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 6:49:19 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Arthur Taplinger  
330 Windsor Road  
Englewood, NJ 07631  
201-567-3396



**From:** Corrine Mohnasky <cmohnasky@hotmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 6:50:06 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Corrine Mohnasky  
360 Dameron South  
Laurel, MD 20724  
301-512-6976

From: Cynthia Howard <cynthiahoward@alum.MIT.edu>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 6:50:09 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Cynthia Howard  
P.O. Box 19, 10 Elizabeth Rd.  
Biddeford Pool, ME 04006  
207 282 2166

From: Richard Vultaggio <richvultaggio@hotmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 6:50:09 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Richard Vultaggio  
220 Stage Rd  
Vestal, NY 13850  
607-786-0010

From: Michael Stickel <mstick@comcast.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 6:50:49 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Michael Stickel  
90 Quincy Shore  
Quincy, MA 02171

From: Marie Tremblay <vtrel42@hotmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 6:50:54 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Marie Tremblay  
504 columbus ave  
504 Columbus Ave  
Boston, MA 02118  
7032837669

From: Cory Smith <cory\_smith73@hotmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 6:52:26 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Cory Smith  
12 Old forge  
Woodstock, NY 12498

From: Tammy Graves <tamartmilo@yahoo.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 6:52:29 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Tammy Graves  
2005 Cty Hwy 22  
Richfield Springs, NY 13439

**From:** Lumina Greenway <luminag@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:38:40 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Lumina Greenway  
17 Camden Court  
Wakefield, RI 02879  
401 284 2828



**From:** Caroline Zane <czane@rochester.rr.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:39:00 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Caroline Zane  
110 Commodore Parkway  
Rochester, NY 14625

**From:** Irene Syphers <isyphers@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:39:08 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Irene Syphers  
15 Boody St  
Brunswick, ME 04011  
2078417350

**From:** Monica Downs <monicarose86@hotmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:39:59 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Monica Downs  
Evening Bird Lane Laurel, MD 20723  
Laurel, MD 20723

**From:** Faith Vigeant <faithv@comcast.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:40:03 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Faith Vigeant  
16 Pine Street  
Chelmsford, MA 01824-3554

**From:** Richard Perras <perras62@hotmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:31:32 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Richard Perras  
15 Marville Drive  
Albany, NY 12211

**From:** Ken O\\'Connell <kmoconnell@smcm.edu>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:31:49 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Ken O\\'Connell  
26302 Skyview drive  
Hollywood, MD 20636  
240 895-3116

**From:** Helene Wallis <liz@walliscorp.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:32:24 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Helene Wallis  
236 Darwin Lane  
Stuart, VA 24171

**From:** michelle Wilkins <barefootworksmichelle@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:32:27 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

michelle Wilkins  
83 brightwood st  
patchogue, NY 11772



**From:** sandrine cortet <scortet@optonline.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:32:59 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

sandrine cortet  
232 newman street  
19b-3 redfield village edison nj08837  
metuchen, NJ 08840  
(732) 494-8952

**From:** Catherine Hunt <cchunt205@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:33:30 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Catherine Hunt  
309 Rivercrest Drive  
Piscataway, NJ 08854  
Phone

**From:** Sandra Polk <sjgpolk863@embarqmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:34:18 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Sandra Polk  
135 Cherryville Hollow Rd  
Flemington, NJ 08822

**From:** Sandra Pesce <sandy0203@verizon.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:34:35 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Sandra Pesce  
317 Ocean Ave  
Massapequa Park, NY 11762

**From:** Maura Gregory <mgregorylaw@optonline.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:35:03 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Maura Gregory  
3422 Fenimore Ave  
Mohegan Lake, NY 10547  
914-374-0860

**From:** Suzanne Kuffler <suzannekuffler@hotmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:35:27 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Suzanne Kuffler  
49 Gosnold Road  
Woods Hole, MA 02543

**From:** Nancy Tomlinson <ntomlins@twcny.rr.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:36:10 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Nancy Tomlinson  
3051 st rt 48  
3051 state route 48  
Oswego, NY 13115

**From:** Nancy Frisbie <nancyjmu@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:36:32 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Nancy Frisbie  
818 Shore Rd  
Spring Lake Heights, NJ 07762



**From:** Debrah Siarkowski <Debsrabbit@aol.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:36:59 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Debrah Siarkowski  
13444 Clopper Rd.  
Hagerstown, MD 21742  
301-797-5084

**From:** Laurie Puca <rc1p@optonline.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:36:59 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Laurie Puca  
16 Thornwood Drive  
New City, NY 10956

**From:** Joan Willoe <willoeja@gwi.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:37:52 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Joan Willoe  
21 Beech Hill Rd  
Northport, ME 04849

**From:** David Way <omeganalpha@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:38:01 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

David Way  
971 US Highway 9  
Parlin, NJ 08859

**From:** Margaret Miller <mtarpley@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:27:47 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Margaret Miller  
116 Park St  
Phillips, ME 04966

**From:** J. T. Kruse <jtkruse@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:27:55 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

J. T. Kruse  
2720 Armfield rd.  
Hillsborough  
Hillsborough, NC 27278  
9192107825

From: wendy henry <wen6969@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 3:28:29 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

wendy henry  
59 eaton st  
manchester, NH 03109

**From:** Florence Carnahan <fjcarnahan@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:28:32 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Florence Carnahan  
5626 State Highway 51  
Burlington Flats, NY 13315  
607-965-7955



**From:** Melanie Steinberg <mmsteinberg@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:28:39 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Melanie Steinberg  
302 Clinton Street  
Penn Yan, NY 14527

**From:** Karen Hall <khall13721@windstream.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:29:43 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Karen Hall  
3721 VerPlank Road  
3721 VerPlank Road  
Clay, NY 13041  
3156955834

**From:** Kate Cloud <kcloud@rcn.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:29:56 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Kate Cloud  
42 Walnut St.  
Address Line 2  
Somerville, MA 02143  
617-776-4704

**From:** Robert Gammon <rgammon@umd.edu>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:30:31 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Robert Gammon  
4107 Clagett Rd.  
4107 Clagett Rd.  
University Park, MD 20782-1136  
301-779-8936

**From:** George Berton Latamore <bertlatamore@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:30:40 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

George Berton Latamore  
164 Blue Sky Rd.  
Linden, VA 22642-5738  
(703) 340-8396

**From:** Stuart Kerner <kmail3@verizon.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:31:03 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Stuart Kerner  
5215 Shoal Creek Road  
Suffolk, VA 23435  
757 484 1668

From: Patricia Hutchins Brglez <lepalipizzaner@yahoo.co.uk>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 3:31:16 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Patricia Hutchins Brglez  
704 Dennis Aven  
Raleigh, NC 27604  
919 832-3280

**From:** Walter Kneibert <jetmec99@optonline.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:31:20 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Walter Kneibert  
26 Beatrice Ave.  
West Islip, New York, NY 11795-1503  
631-587-8919



**From:** Tricia Hamilton <trish20@optonline.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:31:30 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Tricia Hamilton  
10 Maple Lane  
Shirley, NY 11967  
631 399 5838

**From:** Toby Klein <rwfklein@myfairpoint.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:20:53 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Toby Klein  
325 Thorne Road  
Sullivan, ME 04664  
2074229118

**From:** Kristin Bergstrom <bergstrom.i.k@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:21:26 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Kristin Bergstrom  
15 Jones Quarry  
Woodstock, NY 12498  
845 679 5055

**From:** Kathleen Basiewicz <wolfydog71177@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:21:26 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Kathleen Basiewicz  
PO Box 1204  
PO Box 1204  
Dana, NC 28724-1204  
828-685-0547

**From:** Katie Mazzocco <klmazzocco@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:21:48 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Katie Mazzocco  
432 Council Bluffs Court  
Lusby, MD 20657  
412 498 4270

**From:** Lindsey Gordon <LindseyGee217@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:22:15 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Lindsey Gordon  
217 Rollingfield Rd.  
Catonsville, MD 21228  
443-452-7361

**From:** Mary T Harvey, RSM <mharveyrsm@optonline.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:23:06 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Mary T Harvey, RSM  
325 Rose Hill Rd.  
Water Mill, NY 11976  
631-726-6542

**From:** Ernest Pop95 <pop95@rochester.rr.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:23:44 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Ernest Pop95  
7998 Lookout knob  
7998 Lookout knob  
Victor, NY 14564-8918  
585 924-7004



**From:** Tara Bradman <TaraEBradman@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:24:37 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Tara Bradman  
1102 Halls Corners RD  
Attica, NY 14020

**From:** Joyce Dupuis <joycedupuis@hotmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:25:35 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Joyce Dupuis  
924 Union Rd  
Waldoboro, ME 04572  
207 832 5554

**From:** Marc Kurnick <mkmarcus3@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:25:50 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Marc Kurnick  
45 Foxboro Road  
Lovell, ME 04051  
207-925-6202

**From:** Joan Higgins <here4joan@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:27:37 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Joan Higgins  
99 Union Road Apt. L-66  
99 Union Road Apt. L-66  
Spring Valley, NY 10977  
8454252651

**From:** Susan Lasala <Susan61@mac.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:27:45 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Susan Lasala  
621 oakhurst rd  
Mamaroneck, NY 10543  
914-777-1266

From: Linda Hartman <namtrahadnil@yahoo.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 6:06:31 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Linda Hartman  
1316 Glen Avon  
Grand Island, NY 14072

From: Karl Hunting <khunting65@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 6:17:17 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Karl Hunting  
E3 Riverview Drive  
South River, NJ 08882  
732-955-2033

**From:** Andrew Cardno <pandall1762@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 6:27:02 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Andrew Cardno  
222 Clark Blvd  
Massapequa Park, NY 11762



**From:** Marguerite Cambria <mysteel19@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 6:31:45 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Marguerite Cambria  
16 Pebble Avenue  
Winthrop, MA 02152

From: Mary Rand <glassymarbles@yahoo.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 6:23:45 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Mary Rand  
1205 Old Coats Rd  
Lillington, NC 27546

From: James Wilder <jwilder6@rochester.rr.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 6:32:03 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

James Wilder  
10 Manhattan Square Drive  
Apt 6E  
Rochester, NY 14607-3983  
5857307526

From: Mary I. Arlin <arlin@ithaca.edu>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 6:35:14 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Mary I. Arlin  
623 Utica Street  
623 Utica Street  
Ithaca, NY 14850  
607 272-3825

From: Michael Graef <michaelgraef@cox.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 6:32:41 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Michael Graef  
3871 Indian Trail #3F  
Destin, FL 32541  
8506855593

From: nancy richards-marcus <nirm@berkshire.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 12:59:27 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

nancy richards-marcus  
95 alford road  
great barrington, MA 01230  
413 644 3290

**From:** Reina Lichtman <Rrobindawn@Verizon.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 1:06:36 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Reina Lichtman  
7 Vincent rd  
Spring valley, NY 10977  
8453565004

From: Theresa Everett <xenrenfan@aol.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 1:08:23 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Theresa Everett  
500 High Cliffe Lane  
500 High Cliffe Lane  
Tarrytown, NY 10591-5202  
914-524-0544



**From:** Victor Escobar <sydbarrett74@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 1:27:48 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Victor Escobar  
11747 N Briar Patch Dr  
11747 North Briar Patch Drive  
Midlothian, VA 23113-2366  
8043781673

From: Richard Griggs <griggs\_richard@yahoo.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 1:36:25 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Richard Griggs  
814 West B Street  
Kannapolis, NC 28081

**From:** David Kosterlitz <david.s.kosterlitz@verizon.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 1:40:39 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

David Kosterlitz  
6209 Hollins Dr  
Bethesda, MD 20817  
301-564-5734

From: Lisa Eicher <Lisaeicher@yahoo.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 1:49:06 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Lisa Eicher  
707 fourth ave  
East northport, NY 11731  
6312662730

From: j. yao <jyao23@yahoo.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 2:01:46 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

j. yao  
250 First Street  
250 first street apt.5h  
jersey City, NJ 07302

From: Caroline Darst <kittydarst@comcast.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 2:08:59 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Caroline Darst  
15 Seven Pines Avenue, #1  
15 Seven Pines Avenue, #1  
Somerville, MA 02144-2423  
617-945-1996

From: Theodore Mornel <tbmornel@comcast.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 2:48:04 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Theodore Mornel  
53 Terhune Avenue  
Jersey City, NJ 07305  
2019155204

From: DAVID MONDEJAR <dfmon69@verizon.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 2:57:38 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

DAVID MONDEJAR  
44 Fischer Avenue - Private Road  
PO. Box 517  
Rosendale, NY 12472  
8456587235



From: Diane James <djames77@triad.rr.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 3:05:32 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Diane James  
706 Huffman Mill Rd # D-3  
D-3  
Burlingotn, NC 27215-5109  
336-261-3853

**From:** georgie kettig <georgieket@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 3:09:39 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

georgie kettig  
76 uhlig rd., apt. 108A  
apt 108A  
Middletown, NY 10940  
845-344-3035

**From:** Henry Schwartzman <unhotmail@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 3:11:21 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Henry Schwartzman  
32 E. First St.  
Corning, NY 14830/2621  
607-962-0442

From: Owen Buttolph <ojbuttolph@yahoo.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 4:09:03 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Owen Buttolph  
102 Van Meter Drive  
Amherst, MA 01002  
4132534075

From: Douglas Kinney <1924info@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 3:26:00 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Douglas Kinney  
P.O. Box 463  
Otego, NY 13825

**From:** Lance Michel <nabalom007@comcast.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 4:23:08 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Lance Michel  
60 Wayne St  
Apt #1  
Jersey City, NJ 07302  
5516970425

From: annick baud <annickbaud@yahoo.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 4:54:48 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

annick baud  
po box 49  
malDEN, NY 12453

From: Esther Wolk <wolkeb2005@yahoo.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 11:05:57 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Esther Wolk  
542 Riverside Ave  
Medford, MA 02155  
(optional), MA 02155



From: Kate Kappel Haba <katherine\_kappel@yahoo.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 11:12:06 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Kate Kappel Haba  
5813 31st Place  
5813 31st Place  
Hyattsville, MD 20782-3137  
2026428184

From: Wendy Bienvenu <wsweetp@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 11:12:27 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Wendy Bienvenu  
53 Colorado St A2  
Keene, NH 03431

**From:** Debra Fish <deb@bsfwb.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 11:12:36 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Debra Fish  
PO Box 4862  
Greensboro, NC 27404

From: elliott haines III <hainesiii@yahoo.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 11:12:56 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

elliott haines III  
1722 monument  
1722 monument rd  
myersville, MD 21773  
301-293-2869

From: Charlotte Fremaux <cmfremaux@aol.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 11:13:28 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Charlotte Fremaux  
8809 Sundale Drive  
Silver Spring, MD 20910-5039

**From:** Damon Lapas <damonatthejoint@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 11:16:21 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Damon Lapas  
134 Lake Ellen Dr.  
134 Lake Ellen Dr.  
Chapel Hill, NC 27514  
919-360-3932

From: Bonnie Faith-Smith <whiteowl1@comcast.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 11:19:18 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Bonnie Faith-Smith  
290A Washington Street  
Cambridge, MA 02139  
617-492-3821

From: Gregory Gibson <greg.gibson@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 11:19:35 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Gregory Gibson  
321 Hurley Street  
Cambridge, MA 02141  
415 6013457



From: Olivia S. <ostov13@yahoo.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 11:20:50 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Olivia S.  
St.  
City, NJ 07086

**From:** Daniel Belachew <DBelachew@lycos.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 11:22:57 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Daniel Belachew  
300 Prospect Street #3  
Cambridge, MA 02139

From: Leslie Harris <leggz51@yahoo.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 11:25:23 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Leslie Harris  
po box 717  
Mt Marion, NY 12456

From: Kelly Grindstaff <kelly.grindstaff@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 11:32:44 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Kelly Grindstaff  
29 Pinewood Ave  
Saratoga Springs, NY 12866

From: Alisha Langerman <seastone@maine.rr.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 11:42:32 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Alisha Langerman  
180 Shore Acres Rd.  
Parsonsfield, ME 04047

From: Pat Momich <pmomich@madison.main.nc.us>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 11:46:17 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Pat Momich  
7839 NC 208  
Marshall, NC 28753

From: April Sosenko <lorelaicubed@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 11:48:27 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

April Sosenko  
11 Schubert street  
Binghamton, NY 13905-3511  
6072224049

**From:** Robert DeDomenico <bdedomen@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 12:00:21 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Robert DeDomenico  
3585 Milburn Ave  
3585 Milburn Ave.  
Baldwin, NY 11510  
516 213 8080



From: Vincenza Ercole <maxine434@hotmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 11:50:38 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Vincenza Ercole  
2 Estates Drive  
Port Jefferson Station, NY 11776

From: dawn o <dmarie@nycap.rr.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 12:10:25 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

dawn o  
54 bump hill rd.  
54 bump hill rd  
Greenfield, NY 12833

From: Jeffrey Turner <jturner@alum.rpi.edu>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 12:17:53 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Jeffrey Turner  
125 Lincoln Street  
Pittsfield, MA 01201  
413.442.5989

From: Diane Gaertner <dsg719@aol.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 12:17:11 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Diane Gaertner  
11 Apple Lane  
11 Apple Lane  
Commack, NY 11725  
6318647431

**From:** Ramona Staffeld <ramonanoel@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 12:21:16 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Ramona Staffeld  
633 Sheffield Rd.  
Ithaca, NY 14850

From: Debra Raymond <houseslave01@msn.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 12:24:11 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Debra Raymond  
8318 Smith Road  
Kannapolis, NC 28081  
7049337118

**From:** Annette Cullipher <Annette@JourneyintoWholeness.org>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 12:34:26 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Annette Cullipher  
800 Stillpoint Way  
Balsam Grove, NC 28708  
828-877-4448

From: Jacquie Hoggard <o2bsafe@cox.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 12:38:17 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Jacquie Hoggard  
40 Ridgewood Pkwy  
Newport News, VA 23608  
757-874-2297



From: Peter Skipas <thunderbox22@netscape.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 12:38:57 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Peter Skipas  
11 Winnecomac Circle  
Kings Park, NY 11754-4608  
6315445403

From: Robert Keller <keller4384@aol.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 12:42:43 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Robert Keller  
430 Allentown Road  
Parsippany, NJ 07054  
9738874384

From: richard collins <rgcnuex@yahoo.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 12:44:39 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

richard collins  
11 oak ridge dr  
maynard, MA 01754

**From:** Ann Smith <ausmoo@aol.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 12:46:30 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Ann Smith  
692A Plymouth Dr  
Lakewood, NJ 08701

From: Ruth Kubierschky <ruthkubi@earthlink.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 12:48:06 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Ruth Kubierschky  
11 Magnolia Street  
NORTH READING, MA 01864

From: glenn prince <glnp75@aol.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 4:17:14 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

glenn prince  
23 maplewood dr  
little egg harbor, NJ 08087  
609-548-2116

**From:** David DeLitta <d.delitta@verizon.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:18:39 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

David DeLitta  
208 Serpent Lane  
Manahawkin, NJ 08050-2036  
6094890234

**From:** Sherry Resch <angusfinn3@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:18:40 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Sherry Resch  
Bloom Rd  
Westminster, MD 21157



**From:** David Day <thedday@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:18:42 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

David Day  
21 Fern Street  
21 Fern St  
Auburndale, MA 02466  
(617) 969-0758

**From:** Cinnamon Rinzler <cinrinzler@hvc.rr.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:18:49 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Cinnamon Rinzler  
2359 Glasco turnpike  
2359 Glasco Turnpike  
Woodstock, NY 12498  
8456795271

**From:** Robin Schafer <rschafer01@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:18:51 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Robin Schafer  
610 Kennebec Ave.  
Takoma Park, MD 20912  
3018320415

**From:** Ellen McConnell <cats4all@optonline.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:19:06 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Ellen McConnell  
14 Winsor CT  
Sayreville, NJ 08872  
7326130132

**From:** debbie meritsky <debmychef1@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:19:44 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

debbie meritsky  
pleasant valley road  
hammondsport, NY 14840  
607-569-3767

**From:** Stacey Benben <stacey2bens@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:20:29 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Stacey Benben  
11 Shepley St  
Portland, ME 04101

**From:** John Davis <jheathcotedavis@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:22:09 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

John Davis  
489 Belmont St  
Belmont, MA 02478

**From:** Donald DeRosa <donder@bu.edu>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:22:11 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Donald DeRosa  
Albany St.  
Boston, MA 02218



**From:** Pete Heitzman <alcove\_pete@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:22:22 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Pete Heitzman  
POB 335  
Oneida, NY 13421

**From:** jean schwartz <jensown@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:22:38 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

jean schwartz  
21 seven oaks lane  
brewster, NY 10509

**From:** Nancy Henderson <delfine7@comcast.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:22:42 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Nancy Henderson  
6 Giles Road  
Lincoln, MA 01773-5109  
781 259 0455

**From:** Carla Davis-Castro <carlayasmin.daviscastro@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:23:29 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Carla Davis-Castro  
412 Hickory Dr  
Chapel Hill, NC 27517  
9196361458

**From:** Tracy Frisch <tracyf@fastermac.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:23:35 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Tracy Frisch  
1293 McClay Road  
Greenwich, NY 12834

**From:** Robert Jonas <ynoke@aol.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:25:49 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Robert Jonas  
55 Otterhole Road  
West Milford, NJ 07480-4119  
973-697-5326

**From:** Thomas V. Connor <TConnor@hvc.rr.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:26:13 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Thomas V. Connor  
17 DuBois Street  
17 Dubois Street  
Wallkill, NY 12589  
845-541-0893

**From:** Linda Johnson <lj1015@charter.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:26:21 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Linda Johnson  
15 Academy St  
Asheville, NC 28803



**From:** Thomas Cali <tomcali@rochester.rr.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:03:43 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Thomas Cali  
319 Britton Road  
Rochester, NY 14616  
5856970053

**From:** Judy Allen <jdylln@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:03:55 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Judy Allen  
6320 US Highway 17 North  
Vanceboro, NC 28586-7826  
252-244-1772

**From:** Henry Gluckstern <glucklaw@aol.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:03:56 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in New Jersey coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, quickly driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by large private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Henry Gluckstern  
41 Park Road  
41 Park Road, Maplewood, N.J.  
Maplewood, NJ 07040-2215  
973-403-3144

**From:** Dierdre Freamon <freamodi@shu.edu>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:05:08 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Dierdre Freamon  
935 Broad St. #7a  
Bloomfield, NJ 07003

**From:** Bettie Osterhoudt <bettosthrhdt279@aol.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:05:46 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Bettie Osterhoudt  
212 Mill Road  
Olive Bridge, NY 12461

**From:** Lisa Vaughan <lvntoto2@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:06:08 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Lisa Vaughan  
1302 N Rolling Road  
Baltimore, MD 21228

**From:** valerie madeska <madeskv@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:06:58 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

valerie madeska  
10 fordham pl  
bay shore, NY 11706-8043  
6319685186

**From:** Jason Romanowski <jason\_romanowski@hotmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:07:53 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Jason Romanowski  
21 Kemper St., #16  
#16  
Quincy, MA 02170



**From:** Pete Swanson <swannydowneast@roadrunner.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:08:33 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Pete Swanson  
730 Oak pt Rd  
Oak Pt. Rd.  
Trenton, ME 04605  
207 610 1743

**From:** James Mauldin <6340@roadrunner.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:08:49 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

James Mauldin  
758 E. Pine St.  
Mount Airy, NC 27030

**From:** Brenda Samuel <bsamuel@newagedigital.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:09:43 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Brenda Samuel  
318 N. Arch Rd.  
Suite 100  
Richmond, VA 23236  
804-340-1300

**From:** David Via <dvia357@aol.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:10:16 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

David Via  
16852 Tree Crops Lane  
Round Hill, VA 20141

**From:** Stacy Hortaridis <brnsstacy01@comcast.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:10:30 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Stacy Hortaridis  
396 Nantasket Ave  
Hull, MA 02045

**From:** Rosemary Hewett <hewett\_rosemary@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:10:35 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Rosemary Hewett  
24 Birch Road  
Hamilton, MA 01982

**From:** nancy carrasco <staarborn@aol.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:10:36 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

nancy carrasco  
95 horizon view drive  
farmingville, NY 11738

**From:** Denise Larson <buddhettel3@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:10:57 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Denise Larson  
622 Log Barn Rd  
Pittsboro, NC 27312  
9195452822



**From:** traci hamilton <mcnham@clearwire.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:11:14 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

traci hamilton  
6138 Candlewood Dr  
Charlotte, NC 28210  
704 5538455

**From:** Adriana Totaro <candatotaro@optonline.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:11:22 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Adriana Totaro  
12 Hover Drive  
Mt. Arlington, NJ 07856

**From:** Michael Sodos <mike.sodos@gmx.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:12:12 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Michael Sodos  
7095 Catalpa Rd.  
Frederick, MD 21703

**From:** joyce Lahna <knocky93@aol.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:12:34 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

joyce Lahna  
454 Loring Road  
Barre, MA 01005

**From:** Tara Escudero <taraescudero@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:12:39 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Tara Escudero  
72 Day  
Fredonia, NY 14063  
6314286794

**From:** Galen Davis <neorenfield@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:13:07 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Galen Davis  
9114 8th Ave NE  
Seattle, WA 98115

**From:** DONALD WATSON <DBW49@COMCAST.NET>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:13:33 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

DONALD WATSON  
28001 BARNES RD.  
28001 BARNES RD.  
MONROVIA, MD 21770  
301-831-9283

**From:** Karen Hodges <khodges@jungiananalyticpraxis.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:14:01 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Karen Hodges  
2641 Palm Avenue  
2641 Palm Avenue, Charlotte, NC 28205  
Charlotte, NC 28205



**From:** Andrew Woitkoski <whoj2001@hotmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:14:31 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Andrew Woitkoski  
35 kensington ave  
35 Kensington Ave  
Pittsfield, MA 01201  
4134647655

**From:** Thomas Mueller <aneeor@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:15:49 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Thomas Mueller  
6624 Brawner ST  
McLean, VA 22101  
703-462-8875

**From:** John Hunter <jhunter1@twcny.rr.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:16:28 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

John Hunter  
56 Rt 54 East Lake Road  
56 Rt 54  
Penn Yan, NY 14527  
315 694 7347

**From:** Sandra Stein <sgs2@cornell.edu>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:16:32 PM

I strongly oppose the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Sandra Stein  
11 Cornell Walk  
Ithaca, NY 14850

**From:** Anthony Mangiameli-Evans <mangiamelievans@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:57:02 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Anthony Mangiameli-Evans  
13505 Michael Lynn Rd.  
Charlotte, NC 28278

**From:** C. Kasey <omni\_girl@hotmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:57:27 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

C. Kasey  
9317 Guenevere Pl.  
9317 Guenevere Pl.  
Mechanicsville, VA 23116  
804-555-1212

**From:** Patricia Stucker <123eps@optonline.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:59:00 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Patricia Stucker  
34 Wisse St #9  
34 Wisse St  
Lodi, NJ 07644-3811

**From:** Doris Carey <carey57@verizon.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:00:43 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Doris Carey  
11 North Riding Drive  
Cherry Hill, NJ 08003  
856 428 5621



**From:** Andy Barton <asb76@hotmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:00:58 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Andy Barton  
808 N. 27th St.  
Richmond, VA 23223  
8043538524

**From:** Rebecca Nelson <rebeccalouise.nel@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:02:02 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Rebecca Nelson  
634 Meadow Rd.  
Hampden, ME 04444  
207.862.4807

**From:** Barbara Rose <barbwired00@comcast.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:02:03 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Barbara Rose  
140 spring st  
watertown, MA 02472

**From:** Margo Alexander <burganderfarm@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:02:04 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Margo Alexander  
5585 Searsburg Rd.  
Trumansburg, NY 14886  
607 387-5080

**From:** june stanich <junestanich@verizon.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:02:15 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

june stanich  
9021 hamilton dr  
fairfax, VA 22031

**From:** Kim Monroe <ilandgrl@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:02:36 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Kim Monroe  
9 Baylor Drive  
Smithtown, NY 11787  
6319263244

From: MAGGIE DELIA <maggie@biaero.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 4:02:50 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

MAGGIE DELIA  
21 Cold Springs Road  
Califon, NJ 07830  
908 4394613

**From:** Eleanor Kemp <ellie408@verizon.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:53:19 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Eleanor Kemp  
408 Main St.  
Stevensville, MD 21666  
410-604-1981



**From:** Adam Zuckerman <zmaineman@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:53:36 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Adam Zuckerman  
372 Preble Street  
South Portland, ME 04106

**From:** Allison Hamilton-Rohe <Ahamiltonrohe@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:54:05 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Allison Hamilton-Rohe  
126a Magnolia Ave  
Jersey City, NJ 07306  
2013772406

**From:** Mary Neddermeyer <amba@boone.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:54:27 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Mary Neddermeyer  
984 Little Laurel Rd  
Boone, NC 28607

**From:** Nicholas Mantas <nickmantas@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:54:29 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Nicholas Mantas  
372 Wilson Avenue  
Twp. of Washington, NJ 07676  
2016641146

**From:** Elna Benbow <elnabenbow@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:54:51 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Elna Benbow  
200 Bordeaux ln  
cary, NC 27511  
919-379-0762

**From:** Patricia Jenatsch <pjenatsch@aol.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:54:52 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Patricia Jenatsch  
51 WINDING WAY  
MORRIS PLAINS, NJ 07950  
201 736-3030

**From:** Mary McHugh <marymchugh@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:55:06 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Mary McHugh  
1015 Batts Lane  
Cape May, NJ 08204  
609 898-8863

**From:** Chantal Birdsong <birdsongchantal@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:55:36 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Chantal Birdsong  
124 Petty Plain Rd  
Greenfield, MA 01301



**From:** Christianne Schoedel <kideyes42@mac.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:56:00 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Christianne Schoedel  
4243 Wickford Rd  
Baltimore, MD 21210  
410-366-4708

**From:** Sassy Smallman <gogreen@gwi.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:56:00 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Sassy Smallman  
1 Florence Circle  
1 Florence Circle  
Kennebunk, ME 04043  
450-7770

**From:** Vincent Ferri <advocatevaf@earthlink.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:56:03 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Vincent Ferri  
555 Scotchtown-Collabar Road  
Middletown, NY 10941-3634  
845 692-6019

**From:** Sarah B Stewart <sarahbstewart@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:56:08 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Sarah B Stewart  
207 Appleton St.  
Cambridge, MA 02138  
(617) 876-6735

**From:** Cathy Nieman <cnieman@charter.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:56:14 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Cathy Nieman  
312 Ivy Hill Road  
Weaverville, NC 28787

**From:** Jeremy Crytzer <jeremy@jcrytzerwoodworking.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:46:06 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Jeremy Crytzer  
8202 Route 96  
Interlaken, NY 14847

**From:** Noelle Bridgman-Wile <nebridg@hotmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:46:46 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Noelle Bridgman-Wile  
14913 Ritchie Rd  
Centreville, VA 20120

**From:** Ellen Levine <lev617@verizon.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:46:56 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Ellen Levine  
221 Mt. Auburn St.  
Cambridge, MA 02138-4850  
6174926236



**From:** Ken Ward Jr. <kward3@nycap.rr.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:47:03 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Ken Ward Jr.  
140 Bleecker St. Apt. 1  
Apt. 1  
Gloversville, NY 12078-2317

**From:** kenny howell <kennyrhowell@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:47:27 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

kenny howell  
347 Ledford Road  
Ellenboro, NC 28040

**From:** Chris Schwamb <schwamb1@verizon.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:47:48 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Chris Schwamb  
704 Gravelly Hollow Rd.  
704 Gravelly Hollow Rd.  
Medford, NJ 08055  
609-654-4825

**From:** Fleur Palau <rabbitartist@metrocast.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:48:12 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Fleur Palau  
P.O. Box 637  
Wolfeboro, NH 03894

**From:** Ann Brochhagen <ann.brochhagen@henryschein.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:49:01 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Ann Brochhagen  
11 Van Buren St  
Massapequa Park, NY 11762

**From:** Harvey Kaiser <kcsoundhv@verizon.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:49:41 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Harvey Kaiser  
50 Mohonk Rd  
50 Mohonk Rd  
High Falls, NY 12440-5206  
8456879866

**From:** Patricia Murningham <pmurn@att.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:49:51 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Patricia Murningham  
4043 Plum Street  
4043 plum Street  
Conover, NC 28613

**From:** William Risano <brisano@comcast.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:50:05 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

William Risano  
714 Bergen Street  
#3  
Harrison, NJ 07029-2012  
9734814332



**From:** Pam Scoville <michael799@optonline.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:51:04 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Pam Scoville  
1554 Greenwood Lake Tpke  
Hewitt, NJ 07421  
973 657-0560

**From:** Lea Morgan <kleam@verizon.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:51:33 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Lea Morgan  
135 Allengate Ave.  
Pittsfield, MA 01201  
4138226727

**From:** Joy Layman <baronessjoy@optonline.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:51:55 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Joy Layman  
750 Jerusalem Ave K7  
750 Jerusalem Ave K7  
Uniondale, NY 11553  
5164853090

**From:** Ralph Higdon <Reowulf@optonline.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:52:53 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Ralph Higdon  
100 Madison Ave. Apt. 617  
Westwood, NJ 07675-1734

**From:** Elizabeth Rich <liddydonnelly@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:53:05 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Elizabeth Rich  
6 Meeting House Hill Rd  
Dover, MA 02030  
508 785-1173

**From:** James Devine <devinedayspa@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:40:32 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

James Devine  
320 Westridge Dr  
Raleigh, NC 27609

**From:** judith nerbetski <mayakalia@aol.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:40:32 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

judith nerbetski  
140 Highland Ave  
NJ, NJ 07032  
2019552105

**From:** George Sidoti <Georgesidoti@aol.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:41:14 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

George Sidoti  
99 Lipson Avenue  
East Northport, NY 11731/3447  
631-368-1224



**From:** Linda Gazzola <scamp57@aol.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:41:23 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Linda Gazzola  
500 High Cliffe Lane  
Tarrytown, NY 10591-5202  
914 524-0544

**From:** Shamus Nicholson <shpni@juno.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:42:30 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Shamus Nicholson  
716 County Rt. 41  
Mexico, NY 13114

**From:** Carl Doll <boatdoll@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:43:40 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Carl Doll  
5943 Crooked Creek Dr.  
Manassas, VA 20112

**From:** ashley scott <firenexttime@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:43:51 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

ashley scott  
po box 848  
durham, NC 27702  
7044888102

**From:** Tim Fuller <ruefish@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:44:04 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Tim Fuller  
Anderson  
Portland, ME 04101  
207-505-0227

**From:** C Ortiz <clcortiz@prodigy.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:44:06 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

C Ortiz  
491 Hamilton PL  
Hackensack, NJ 07601

**From:** Tia Bednar <tiabednar@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:45:01 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Tia Bednar  
19 Majestic Ave  
19 Majestic Ave  
Asheville, NC 28806  
828.215.4684

**From:** Raun Norquist <raun@optonline.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:45:21 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Raun Norquist  
11 Cove Ave E  
11 Cove Ave E  
Sag Harbor, NY 11963



**From:** Raun Norquist <raun@optonline.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:45:21 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Raun Norquist  
11 Cove Ave E  
11 Cove Ave E  
Sag Harbor, NY 11963

**From:** Beverly Faulkner Pinckney <eirebybf@eznet.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:45:36 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Beverly Faulkner Pinckney  
2389 Widow Hill Rd.  
Penn Yan, NY 14527  
315 536 4296

**From:** M. Kathleen Chesnut <dewspirit@dewspirit.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:45:36 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

M. Kathleen Chesnut  
110 Oakland Ave.  
Gloversville, NY 12078

**From:** annette bailey <Scorpioncastle20002000@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:45:43 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

annette bailey  
753 james st  
753 james st  
syracuse, NY 13203  
315 218-5796

From: Beth Schultz <cbzs@optonline.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 6:52:34 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Beth Schultz  
188 Lakeside Blvd  
Hamilton, NJ 08610  
609-585-8384

**From:** Elizabeth Vega <lizvega38@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:40:17 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Elizabeth Vega  
8 Common St  
Apt 3A  
Waltham, MA 02451  
7812448898

**From:** Katharine Tussing <kathytussing@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:40:21 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Katharine Tussing  
148 Crestwood Ave  
Crestwood Ave, Apt.11  
Buffalo, NY 14216  
716-874-9730

**From:** Christen Leonard <cjsleonard23@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:40:29 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Christen Leonard  
1919 Byrd Rd.  
Vienna, VA 22182



**From:** Ann Green <veganchik@hotmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:40:35 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Ann Green  
54 Cumbres Dr  
Candler, NC 28715

**From:** Karen Atchison <Klahechts1@aol.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:40:47 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Karen Atchison  
9908 Barstow Ct  
Potomac, MD 20854-2001

**From:** Rodolfo Cardona <rcardona56@comcast.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:41:29 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Rodolfo Cardona  
6 Gerry Road  
6 Gerry Road  
Chestnut Hill, MA 02467

**From:** Kirstie Schraffenberger <kirstie.schraf@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:41:29 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Kirstie Schraffenberger  
3116 Grindon Avenue  
Baltimore, MD 21214-3227  
4104445475

**From:** Stella Krawiec <stellakrawiec@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:35:36 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Stella Krawiec  
356 Drew St.  
Baltimore, MD 21224

**From:** Laura McGowan <eartheluvrlaura@aol.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:35:57 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Laura McGowan  
P.O. Box 118  
1046 Marlboro Road  
Lothian, MD 20711

**From:** Marissa Dupont <marissa.dupont@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:36:22 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Marissa Dupont  
169 Piscassic Road  
Apt 203  
Newfields, NH 03856  
6036743033

**From:** Rhoda Forte <Rhoda.Forte@verizon.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:36:48 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Rhoda Forte  
1 arcadia drive  
New City, NY 10956



**From:** Sherry Gordon <sherrydgordon@earthlink.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:36:52 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Sherry Gordon  
5 Horizon Road #1601  
Fort Lee, NJ 07024

**From:** Holly Shisler <hshisler@comcast.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:37:12 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Holly Shisler  
217 Bynum Ridge Rd  
217 Bynum Ridge Rd, Apt 2  
Forest Hill, MD 21050  
410-838-3768

**From:** Andrew Codispoti <acodispo@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:37:18 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Andrew Codispoti  
PO Box 1345  
Londonderry, NH 03053

**From:** susan west <ridgeroad@earthlink.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:37:37 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

susan west  
P. O. Box 52  
buxton, NC 27920  
252-995-4131

**From:** paul breeden <paulbreeden@roadrunner.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:38:16 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

paul breeden  
19 Willowbrook Lane  
Sullivan, ME 04664

**From:** R Tippens <rebecca\_tippens@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:38:44 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

R Tippens  
68 Van Nuys Rd  
Colrain, MA 01340

**From:** Charles Courant <ccourant@roadrunner.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:39:09 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Charles Courant  
59 Cedar St  
Belfast, ME 04915-6304

**From:** Rosalie Paul <gaia@gwi.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:39:09 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Rosalie Paul  
Marsh Road  
Georgetown, ME 04548



From: Catherine Winters <WINTERSC@HARTWICK.EDU>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 2:39:13 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Catherine Winters  
Hartwick Drive  
Oneonta, NY 13820

**From:** Kathy Smart <smartkathy@hotmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:39:45 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Kathy Smart  
208 Kersey Lane  
Boyce, VA 22620

**From:** Gretel Munroe <zgmunroe@earthlink.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:40:13 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Gretel Munroe  
9 Leyden Ave.  
Medford, MA 02155-6634  
781-391-7578

**From:** Paige Johnson <paigejohnsonis@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:32:35 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Paige Johnson  
5400 Pine Drive  
Raleigh, NC 27606

**From:** Dorianne Willis <gimmeabreak85@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:32:45 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Dorianne Willis  
89 Highland Avenue  
Unit 4  
Mansfield, MA 02048

**From:** B. Greene <greeneburton@cs.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:32:56 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

B. Greene  
125 Duncan Ave.  
Jersey City, NJ 07306

**From:** Emily Rideout <emrideout@hotmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:33:11 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Emily Rideout  
209 Chestnut St  
Cambridge, MA 02139

**From:** Jeanne Roche <jeannerochel@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:33:15 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Jeanne Roche  
3 Cedar Point Road  
Durham, NH 03824



**From:** Amy Buckler <bucamy2@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:33:19 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Amy Buckler  
1628 West Grace Street  
AptB  
Richmond, VA 23220

**From:** Phil Neal <philneal@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:33:26 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Phil Neal  
20 Dog Island Road  
Harborside, ME 04642

**From:** Tim House <house.calls@verizon.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:33:30 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Tim House  
92 Elm Street  
Upton, MA 01568  
508-529-6107

**From:** Nancy Berger <noberger@aol.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:33:32 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Nancy Berger  
3219 Regatta Pointe Court  
Midlothian, VA 23112

**From:** Lori Stokes <lori.stokes@comcast.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:33:42 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Lori Stokes  
15 Lennon Road  
15 Lennon Road  
Arlington, MA 02474  
7816484178

**From:** jean Hughes <jahughes81@verizon.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:34:49 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

jean Hughes  
1204 Blakeley Rd.  
1204 Blakeley Rd.  
East Aurora, NY 14052  
716-652-1305

**From:** Kenna Sommer <Guerakiki2@aol.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:35:20 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Kenna Sommer  
74 Crestmont Avenue  
74 Crestmont Avenue  
Asheville, NC 28806  
8287132885

**From:** Hilary Roschke <hilary.m.roschke@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:35:21 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Hilary Roschke  
117 Coral Reef Terrace  
Gaithersburg, MD 20878  
301-947-0806



From: Claudia Sherwood <claudiasherwood@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 2:28:16 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Claudia Sherwood  
51 Marquette Rd  
Montclair, NJ 07043  
917-902-6765

**From:** Richard Eng <nalle54@tds.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:28:34 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Richard Eng  
3359 Roods Creek Rd.  
3359 Roods Creek Rd.  
Hancock, NY 13783

**From:** Kimberly McDonald <kimba104@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:28:37 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Kimberly McDonald  
1456 Dermott Ave  
Virginia Beach  
Virginia Beach, VA 23455  
757 3484324x

**From:** Sandie Rotberg <Sandie\_r@comcast.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:29:48 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Sandie Rotberg  
Indian neck  
wellfleet, MA 02667

**From:** maureen cartwright <maureencartwright@myfairpoint.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:29:51 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

maureen cartwright  
13 garfield street  
calais, ME 04619

**From:** Amber Thompson <athompson001@ne.rr.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:29:56 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Amber Thompson  
6 Spring St  
#6  
Groveton, NH 03582

**From:** Harriet Rosen <harrietrose@optonline.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:30:25 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Harriet Rosen  
115 Polifly Rd. 3D  
Hackensack  
Hackensack, NJ 07601

**From:** paki wieland <paki43@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:30:32 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

paki wieland  
3 langworthy rd.  
Northampton, MA 01060-1212  
413-585-9314



**From:** Mimi Sexton <miriamsexton@hotmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:30:37 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Mimi Sexton  
18189 Willa Way  
Ft Myers, FL 33917  
239-543-3469

**From:** Daniel Lipson <dnlipson@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:30:39 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Daniel Lipson  
10 Holland Lane  
New Paltz, NY 12561  
845-255-1395

**From:** Racheal Morris <rachealemorris@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:30:45 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Racheal Morris  
2137 Washington Rd  
Waldoboro, ME 04572  
207-790-2064

**From:** Zanna Worzella <suzanne.worzella@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:31:24 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Zanna Worzella  
2300 Washington Blvd  
Apt 105  
Arlington, VA 22201  
2036238429

**From:** John Lynn <tricyrtis@verizon.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:31:26 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

John Lynn  
8 Banks Court  
East Hampton, NY 11937

From: caleb wallace <wallace@zebis.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 2:31:27 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

caleb wallace  
P.O.Box 1151  
P.O.Box 1151  
York Harbor, ME 03911  
2398102464

**From:** pat wilkins <patw@thermoplasticseng.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:31:36 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

pat wilkins  
437 blossom street  
fitchburg, MA 01420

**From:** natalina giugni <giugni@optonline.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:31:55 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

natalina giugni  
71 west dorsey lane  
71 west dorsey lane  
hyde park, NY 12538  
845-849-1237



From: Sarah Davol <hornandobo@aol.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 9:57:33 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Sarah Davol  
536 John Street  
Teaneck, NJ 07666

From: Gary Schwartz <garyawake@yahoo.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 9:58:32 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Gary Schwartz  
16 Finalee Avenue  
Asheville, NC 28803  
828 253-9451

From: Pamela Sheridan <pasheridan8@aim.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 9:59:07 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Pamela Sheridan  
112 West Grant  
Woodstown, NJ 08098

From: Penny Dunning <pendunning@aol.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 10:02:12 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Penny Dunning  
5 Alexander Drive  
Rockport, ME 04856

**From:** John Bartolini <WA2YPB@COMCAST.NET>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 10:05:42 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

John Bartolini  
PO Box 324  
PO Box 1086  
Carmel, NY 10512  
9144976646

**From:** Kelly Moltzen <kellym41122@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 10:05:48 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Kelly Moltzen  
14 Arlington Drive  
Harriman, NY 10926  
8453041521

From: Shirley Mitchell <mountaineer41@msn.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 10:07:47 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Shirley Mitchell  
1922 Irish Path  
Harrisonburg, VA 22802  
540-833-5255

From: naddina c la corte <gardinsprite13@aol.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 10:08:41 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

naddina c la corte  
825 C Merrimon Ave  
# 285  
Asheville, NC 28804  
321-206-4653



**From:** Alison Seidenfrau <aseidenfrau@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:25:55 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Alison Seidenfrau  
40 Holly Place  
Briarcliff Manor, NY 10510

**From:** Matthew Franck <cnjmatt@optonline.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:26:29 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Matthew Franck  
119 Livingston Ave Apt 5G  
119 Livingston Ave Apt 5G  
New Brunswick, NJ 08901-2456  
7323090520

**From:** Melissa Murray <rigell1017@aol.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:27:04 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Melissa Murray  
2961 Hickok Road  
Corning, NY 14830  
607-937-8252

**From:** Gene Early <gene.early@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:27:16 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Gene Early  
1016 Chestnut Drive  
Harrisonburg, VA 22801  
540-746-4363

**From:** Karen Watkins <katusha@main.nc.us>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:27:51 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Karen Watkins  
201 Sang Branch Rd  
201 Sang Branch Rd.  
Burnsville, NC 28714  
828-682-9263

**From:** Peter Thompson <Peter.Thompson@aslf.org>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:28:09 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Peter Thompson  
658 West Onondaga Street  
Syracuse, NY 13204  
3154751170

**From:** Pamela Cooper <pcoop125@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:36:49 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Pamela Cooper  
2099 RidgeRd  
Scotia, NY 12302  
518-461-5659

From: John Ebel <jackebel@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 9:43:22 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

John Ebel  
184 Main St. #409  
Nashua, NH 03060



From: diana artemis <artemdi@yahoo.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 9:43:23 PM

I oppose development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. Our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

diana artemis  
2930 marshall st  
falls church, VA 22042  
703-228-3205

From: Kenneth Moyer <kmoyer@snip.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 9:44:00 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Kenneth Moyer  
4 GreenBriar Lane  
Cinnaminson, NJ 08077

From: Nancy Hicks <hicksnancy510@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 9:45:15 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Nancy Hicks  
169 Knight Ave  
Apt.110  
Attleboro, MA 02703  
508-222-7240

From: Emma Cornelius <emcornelius@windstream.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 9:47:32 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Emma Cornelius  
4211 Suttle Place  
Matthews, NC 28104-5177

From: Howard Pinhasik <hpinhasik@yahoo.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 9:48:22 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Howard Pinhasik  
2701 Palisade Ave  
Union City, NJ 07087  
2019511201

From: Ian Hyde <the.famous.adventurer@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 9:48:42 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Ian Hyde  
26901 Libby Lane  
Evans Mills, NY 13637

From: semena curlik <scurlik@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 9:50:13 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

semena curlik  
po box 1223  
blue hill, ME 04614  
908 591-2755

From: Jay Lees <jaylees1@verizon.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 9:51:29 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource. A level field between local fishermen and corporate fishereies must be maintained.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Jay Lees  
21414 Lee Drive  
Lexington Park, MD 20653  
3018638281



From: Kevin Leonte <kleonte@optonline.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 9:53:28 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Kevin Leonte  
100 Jacobus Ave  
Little Falls, NJ 07424

From: Jonathan Rizzo <rizz7604@verizon.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 9:53:34 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Jonathan Rizzo  
304 George Urban Boulevard  
Cheektowaga, NY 14225  
716 8649175

From: Melissa Jackson <maj2@cornell.edu>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 9:55:27 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Melissa Jackson  
1028 Coddington Rd.  
1028 Coddington Rd.  
Ithaca, NY 14850  
607-319-4103

**From:** Adam Matar <maytayi@hotmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 9:56:44 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Adam Matar  
83 Herron Ave.  
San Diego  
Asheville, NC 28806  
619-222-2222

From: Nico st <ntstarling@yahoo.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 9:57:28 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Nico st  
2515 Boston Street  
#305  
Baltimore, MD 21224  
515.229.1313

**From:** Ronald Powell <rbpowell1@verizon.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:26:47 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Ronald Powell  
24 Melvin Ave.  
Cortland, NY 13045

**From:** James Wilder <jwilllder6@rochester.rr.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:27:25 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

James Wilder  
10 Manhattan Square Drive  
Apt 6E  
Rochester, NY 14607-3983

**From:** Marilyn Voorhies <lynny04@roadrunner.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:27:35 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Marilyn Voorhies  
38 Clark Point Rd.  
West Tremont, ME 04612  
2072449226



**From:** Judith Blatchford <wildkatz@comcast.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:27:47 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Judith Blatchford  
72 Smith Street  
West Harwich, MA 02671  
6462283415

**From:** Richard Tarr <rtarr@twcny.rr.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:27:52 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

The last thing this nation needs is more government programs to extend "corporate welfare" for the rich corporate executives.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Richard Tarr  
330 S James St  
CARTHAGE, NY 13619  
315 4931743

**From:** Martha Simonsen <marthabyrle@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:29:46 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Martha Simonsen  
3316 Journey Ave.  
Statesville, NC 28677

**From:** Pat Pascual <peapat36@hotmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:30:12 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Pat Pascual  
1216 Rt. 311  
none  
Patterson, NY 12563  
845-878-6537

**From:** Ross Mazur <ross.mazur1@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:30:22 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for your time!  
Ross Mazur

Ross Mazur  
81 Bertrand Dr.  
Princeton, NJ 08540

**From:** Patricia Tehan <patricia.tehan@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:30:38 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Patricia Tehan  
6378 Willow Lane  
Marcy, NY 13403-2519  
3157359448

**From:** John Lord <guten\_tag119@hotmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:31:27 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

John Lord  
227 Winstead Road  
Rochester, NY 14609

**From:** Daniel Friday <noble.carp@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:31:32 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Daniel Friday  
124 Reed Rd  
Asheville, NC 28805



**From:** Laura Jones <jonesparg@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:32:34 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Laura Jones  
507 Cayuga Heights Road  
Ithaca, NY 14850-1421  
607-257-6674

**From:** Gary Wortman <garywortman@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:34:10 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Gary Wortman  
11809 Triple Crown Rd  
11809 Triple Crown Rd  
Reston, VA 20191

**From:** Robin Shepherd <gardengoddess0320@bellsouth.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 4:35:15 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Robin Shepherd  
308 Old Lytle Cove Rd  
Swannanoa, NC 28778  
828-337-7716

From: Janet Jaidi <jjaidi@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 4:36:40 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Janet Jaidi  
130 Wrexham Rd  
Bronxville, NY 10708

From: Denise Lytle <centauress6@live.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 12:56:22 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Denise Lytle  
73 Poplar St.  
Fords, NJ 08863

**From:** Roberta Zur <rdpz1125@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:01:53 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Roberta Zur  
PO Box 793  
S Freeport, ME 04078

**From:** Johanna brackney <redinabox@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:02:29 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Johanna brackney  
13 Pisgah Rd.  
13 Pisgah Rd.  
Huntington, MA 01050

**From:** Joseph Lutsky <josephrlutsky@hotmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:02:38 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such:

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Joseph Lutsky  
7 Slope Drove  
7 Slope Drive  
Hackettstown, NJ 07840  
908 852 4389



**From:** Sharon Bort <sebort18@hotmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:03:11 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Sharon Bort  
5 Sweeney Ct.  
Green Brook  
Green Brook, NJ 08812  
7327358020

**From:** Anne Cavaliere <jandacavaliere@aim.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:03:37 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Anne Cavaliere  
3 Foxboro Lane  
Glen Head, NY 11545-2005  
516 6764285

From: C. Glick <buddylove51@mac.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 3:03:49 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

C. Glick  
3278 San Amadeo.  
Unit "P"  
Laguna Woods,, CA 92637  
9494611519

**From:** Ronald and Mary Lockwood <anteater11@verizon.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:04:06 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Ronald and Mary Lockwood  
7110 Bensville Road  
White Plains, MD 20695

**From:** Chris Voit <cvoit@optonline.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:04:12 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Chris Voit  
141 Bellmore road  
East Meadow, NY 11554  
516-731-4824

From: Gertrude Battaly <merlin@pipeline.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 3:04:42 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Gertrude Battaly  
112 Chelsea Road  
White Plains, NY 10603

**From:** Alex Wilson <alpwilson@hotmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:05:01 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Alex Wilson  
82 Union St.  
Newark, NJ 07105

**From:** Joseph DeMarco <jandb74@optonline.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:05:09 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Joseph DeMarco  
708 Cornelia Street  
Boonton, NJ 07005-1618  
(973) 335-1279



**From:** Richard Marcello <remarcel@uncg.edu>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:59:47 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Richard Marcello  
710 Morehead Ave.  
apt. 5  
Greensboro, NC, NC 27401  
828.734.5427

**From:** Joseph Parambil <Bobawy@aol.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:59:57 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Joseph Parambil  
7605 Tarpley Drive  
Derwood, MD 20855  
301-963-5926

**From:** Anne Rhodes <arf1945@hotmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:59:57 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Anne Rhodes  
91 Ed Hill Rd.  
Freeville, NY 13068

**From:** Judith Mudrak <reversemydisease@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:00:31 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Judith Mudrak  
58 Cranberry Run  
Southampton, NJ 08088  
609 859 3828

**From:** Cindy Abernethy <cinaber@bellsouth.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:00:56 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Cindy Abernethy  
574 Broken Arrow Trail  
Address Line 2  
Boone, NC 28607  
8282629874

**From:** Robin Bettencourt <rbettencourt@comcast.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:01:20 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Robin Bettencourt  
90 Gates St  
Portsmouth, NH 03801  
603-436-3070

**From:** Beth Tuckey <beth.tuckey@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:01:24 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Beth Tuckey  
277 Putnam Ave #2  
#2  
Cambridge, MA 02139

**From:** Richard Fullerton <rsfullerton@earthlink.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:01:26 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Richard Fullerton  
2315 Frederick Drive  
Winston-Salem, NC 27103-5504  
336-768-3579



**From:** JULIANNA BENEFIELD <kajbene@bellsouth.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:01:34 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

JULIANNA BENEFIELD  
104 Willoughby Lane  
104 Willoughby Lane  
CARY, NC 27513  
919 460-9720

**From:** Rene Specht <rene0823@morrisbb.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:01:46 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Rene Specht  
602 Beechwood Lakes Dr.  
Hendersonville, NC 28792

**From:** Richard Riggs <richriggs@att.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:57:03 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Richard Riggs  
143 Cedar Grove Rd  
Somerville, NJ 08876  
9087225812

**From:** Daniel J. Shields <Dshields888@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:57:47 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Daniel J. Shields  
31 Myrtle Ave  
Keansburg, NJ 07734

**From:** Laraine Reedy <lunarmusic@msn.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:57:57 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Laraine Reedy  
PO Box 556  
PO Box 556  
Blairstown, NJ 07825  
908-878-7734

**From:** Barbara Stolarz <stolarzb@middletownk12.org>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:57:59 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Barbara Stolarz  
592 Irving PLace  
Long Branch, NJ 07740  
7322911380

**From:** Larry Scripp <larry.scripp@necmusic.edu>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:58:00 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Larry Scripp  
31 Matchett St.  
Brighton, MA 02135  
617 905 7366

**From:** Steven Yao <syao@hamilton.edu>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:58:11 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Steven Yao  
52 Oxford Rd.  
New Hartford, NY 13413



**From:** George L. Trigg <GeorgeLTrigg@alum.wustl.edu>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:58:27 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen, and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment, and I look forward to your response.

George L. Trigg  
143 W. Franklin Ave.  
Apt. 316  
Pennington, NJ 08534  
6097304209

**From:** connie moses <connie@portraitswithhorses.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:46:49 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

connie moses  
141 gunstock hill rd  
Gilford, NH 03249  
603 528-1531

**From:** James Zizzo <jzizzo@ec.rr.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:47:47 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

James Zizzo  
2304 Wrightsville Ave.  
Ste. 106  
Wilmington, NC 28403  
910-762-6218

**From:** Donna Greenwell <dgreenwell@nycap.rr.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:48:07 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Donna Greenwell  
46 Waterbury St.  
Saratoga Springs, NY 12866-1221  
5185870933

**From:** Shirley Davis <shirley.davis@umit.maine.edu>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:48:40 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Shirley Davis  
64 Gardner Road  
Orono, ME 04473  
207 866-4785

**From:** Rebecca Gough <jdgrlnspg@aol.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:49:04 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Rebecca Gough  
149 Delacy Avenue  
North Plainfield, NJ 07060  
908 754-7828

**From:** Di Anna Kruse <krusedianna@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:49:22 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Di Anna Kruse  
2720 Armfield Rd.  
HILLSBOROUGH  
HILLSBOROUGH, NC 27278  
9192104850

**From:** Lynn Biddle <lynnbiddle@comcast.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:49:43 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Lynn Biddle  
48 Rice St #1  
Cambridge, MA 02140-1817  
617 491-2406



**From:** Elissa Menconi <dej@tiac.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:50:35 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Elissa Menconi  
1241 Adams St.  
1241 Adams St.  
Dorchester, MA 02124  
617-298-7065

**From:** Vikram Sikand <viksikand@mac.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:51:15 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Vikram Sikand  
12 Liberty Place B2  
B2  
Weehawken, NJ 07086  
201-867-7970

**From:** R. Susan Woods <swsws@verizon.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:52:00 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

R. Susan Woods  
43 West Street, Hadley, MA 01035  
Hadley, MA 01035

**From:** Stephanie Story <sdstory2000@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:52:15 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Stephanie Story  
1047 Angler Lane  
Virginia Beach, VA 23451

**From:** Ronald Buchanan <muggs1@comcast.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:52:27 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Ronald Buchanan  
95 Martensen St Apt 314  
Quincy, MA 02169-8012  
617-481-2282

**From:** Lauren Bernardo <Lbb2468@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:52:30 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Lauren Bernardo  
252 American Legion Hwy.  
Westport, MA 02790  
5089161412

**From:** Larry Siegel <lrrysgl@aol.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:53:36 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Larry Siegel  
2113 Fox Run Drive  
2113 Fox Run Drive  
Plainsboro, NJ 08536  
(609) 750-1923

**From:** William Rigby <wdr@nc.rr.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:53:47 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

William Rigby  
141 berry patch lane  
chapel hill, NC 27514



**From:** Robert Frey <Robert.frey2@verizon.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:55:23 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Robert Frey  
876 Palmer Avenue  
Mamaroneck, NY 10543  
9143466019

**From:** Glenn Lyons <birdman273@aol.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:55:47 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Glenn Lyons  
206 West End Ave  
Hopatcong, NJ 07843

**From:** David Rissenberg <david@bmm-nyc.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:55:54 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

David Rissenberg  
71 Ohayo Mountain Rd  
Woodstock, NY 12498  
845-684-5017

**From:** Bob Bousquet <bousquetr@comcast.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:56:14 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Bob Bousquet  
PO Box 101  
Bryantville, MA 02327

**From:** Edith Simpson <edithsimpson@aol.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:56:35 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Edith Simpson  
1325 Spring Avenue  
Wynantskill, NY 12198

**From:** Barbara Dow <bardobrook24@myfairpoint.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:56:42 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Barbara Dow  
24 Hales Woods Road  
Brooklin, ME 04616

From: Linda Layne <ltervlet@yahoo.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 2:56:56 PM

I am writing to express my STRONG OPPOSITION to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares. WE DON'T NEED MORE INDUSTRIALIZATION OF YET ANOTHER FOOD RESOURCE! ANYTIME ANYTHING IS PRIVATIZED, THE ONLY PEOPLE WHO GET TO DO ANYTHING ARE THOSE WITH LOTS OF MONEY TO BUY THEIR WAY IN. THIS LEAVES THOSE WITHOUT THE MONEY (SMALL FISHING ENTERPRISES) OUT IN THE COLD WITH NO WAY TO SUPPORT THEIR FAMILIES AND COMMUNITIES.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But OUR FISHERIES ARE A PUBLIC TRUST RESOURCE AND MUST BE MANAGED AS SUCH!

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Linda Layne  
14403 Brook Drive  
14403 Brook Drive Woodbridge, VA 22193  
Woodbridge, VA 22193-1429  
7036157018

**From:** Marjorie Crockett <Yogawithmarjorie@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:57:01 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Marjorie Crockett  
15 Manchester Rd  
Winchester, MA 01890



**From:** Bosley Hiss <bosleyh@buddhamail.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:41:51 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Bosley Hiss  
99 Auburn St.  
199 Auburn St., Cambridge MA 02139  
Cambridge, MA 02139

**From:** Danielle Altschuh <danielle370@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:42:10 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Danielle Altschuh  
517 E77 St #4D  
New York, NY 10075  
917-417-3806

**From:** Dawn Walker Cinco <xwhiteswan@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:42:14 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Dawn Walker Cinco  
101 Hobart Avenue  
Bayonne, NJ 07002

**From:** Kellie Smith <kelf.nh@live.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:42:37 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Kellie Smith  
13 Brandy Lane  
Deering, NH 03244

**From:** Judith Graper <judy\_graper@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:42:50 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Judith Graper  
4322 Angela Way  
ewqg Angela Way, Canandaigua NY 14424  
Canandaigua, NY 14424  
585-394-4215

**From:** kate mason <hughnkate@zoominternet.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:42:52 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

kate mason  
2174 williams rd  
2174 Williams Rd  
Addison, NY 14801  
6074585584

**From:** Philip Grossman <pgphilgro@hotmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:43:01 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Philip Grossman  
134 Newport Ave  
Tappan, NY 10983-1608  
845 359-4811

**From:** Gerard Swainson <gswainson@verizon.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:43:20 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Gerard Swainson  
9343 Athens Rd  
fairfax  
Fairfax, VA 22032-1213  
7039784265



**From:** Val DeGrace <vndgrace@adelphia.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:43:21 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Val DeGrace  
74 Old Lake Colby Rd  
Tupper Lake, NY 12986  
5183320798

**From:** Jessica Heironimus <blackdove628@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:44:11 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Jessica Heironimus  
913 W. Morgan Street  
Raleigh, NC 27603  
919-740-2831

**From:** Marie Kullman <mariekullman@hotmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:44:40 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Marie Kullman  
171 Lisbon ave.  
Buffalo, NY 14214  
7162286711

**From:** Kristina Fedorov <kmfedorov@aol.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:44:55 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Kristina Fedorov  
6920 St. Hwy 7  
Maryland, NY 12116  
6074324588

**From:** Laurie Burke <laurie.burke@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:45:37 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Laurie Burke  
1 Dudley Extension  
PO Box 216  
Whitinsville, MA 01588  
6175486241

**From:** maureen sayer <maybesayes@aol.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:45:42 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

maureen sayer  
80 ridge road, lowell  
lowell  
lowell, MA 01852  
978 452 7596

**From:** Sandra Teeter <deniteeter@aol.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:45:42 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Sandra Teeter  
4956 CR 4  
Burdett, NY 14818

**From:** Asia Mahon <asiamahon@charter.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:46:34 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.  
Sincerely, Asia Mahon

Asia Mahon  
76-A N Lexington Ave  
Asheville, NC 28801  
828-242-1841



From: Lisa Keuchen <lckeuch103@yahoo.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 2:46:36 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Lisa Keuchen  
103 Old Coutry Road  
East Quogue, NY 11942

From: Tom Westheimer <tom@westheimers.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 8:04:18 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Tom Westheimer  
18 Antrim Rd  
Hancock, NH 03449  
603-525-7733

**From:** Maureen OConnor <maujskt@hotmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 8:08:25 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Maureen OConnor  
305 Dutton Street  
Apartment 310  
Lowell, MA 01854  
508-560-1650

From: Kathryn Barry <kcabarry@optonline.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 8:09:02 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Kathryn Barry  
9 Victory Rd.  
Suffern, NY 10901

From: Donald Cronin <donald.cronin@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 8:11:44 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Donald Cronin  
251 Summer Street #1  
Somerville, MA 02143

From: Kate D <kd55142p@pace.edu>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 8:12:44 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Kate D  
Westchester  
Westchester County, NY 10533  
9145917639

From: Kim Fugel <kifugel@hotmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 8:12:44 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Kim Fugel  
10 Thomas Court  
10 thomas court  
lincoln park, NJ 07035

From: Nancy Fitzpatrick <kfitzpatrick@frontiernet.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 8:12:51 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Nancy Fitzpatrick  
14 Broadview Lane  
Milan, NY 12571  
845-758-1567



From: lana and richard rothchild <lanabell@verizon.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 8:13:05 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

lana and richard rothchild  
7 dianne crest  
7 dfiasne crest  
huntington sta, NY 11746

**From:** Patricia O'Leary <psoleary@msn.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:18:26 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Patricia O'Leary  
6010 Westchester Park  
COLLEGE PARK, MD 20740

**From:** Judith W. Walcott <jwalcott1@comcast.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:18:36 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Judith W. Walcott  
9 Page Street  
Gloucester, MA 01930  
978-283-8144

**From:** Maria caputi <Scaputis@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:18:45 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Maria caputi  
2568 tree garden way  
virginia beach, VA 23456

**From:** Scott Belton <thebeltons@optonline.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:18:49 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Scott Belton  
51 Valley Vale Drive  
Old Bridge, NJ 08857

**From:** Michael Silvia <rfwd1960@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:19:00 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Michael Silvia  
P.O.Box 1361  
Rockland, ME 04841

**From:** Tony Alberico <nofunctionart@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:19:24 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Tony Alberico  
15 Rondout Hbr.  
Port Ewen, NY 12466  
(845) 242-2064

**From:** Donna Austin <billaus376@aol.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:19:44 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Donna Austin  
12 Queen Anne Lane  
12 Queen Anne Lane  
Hingham, MA 02043  
781-749-8778



**From:** cornelia hoskin <corneliahoskin@hotmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:19:49 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

cornelia hoskin  
16 Meehan St. #1  
Jamaica Plain, MA 02130

**From:** Curtis Paine <cjpaine@buffalo.edu>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:20:12 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Curtis Paine  
32 Briarhurst Dr.  
Tonawanda, NY 14150

**From:** Molly Hauck <mollyhauck@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:20:30 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions,

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Molly Hauck  
4004 Dresden St.  
4004 Dresden St.  
Kensington, MD 20895  
301-949-0178

**From:** Richard Tidd <downthehatch1@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 7:56:09 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Richard Tidd  
24 Palmer Road  
East Greenbush, NY 12061-3501  
5184790170

From: Maurice Emma <me072@yahoo.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 7:56:30 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Maurice Emma  
17 DeHart Pl  
Elizabeth, NJ 07202  
908-289-3417

**From:** Beth Woogen <bwoogen@optonline.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 7:57:49 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Beth Woogen  
PO Box 85  
Crompond, NY 10517-0085

From: David Strong <elyziadavid@yahoo.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 7:57:54 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

David Strong  
61 Barton Hts  
Greenfield, MA 01301

From: Lawrence Tetenbaum <emtlarry@aol.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 8:02:54 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Lawrence Tetenbaum  
38 Summit Ct  
Plainview, NY 11803  
5163498838



**From:** Andrew Schmiedicke <andrew@schmiedicke.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:13:24 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Andrew Schmiedicke  
577 Richardson Road  
Strasburg, VA 22657  
6168551951

**From:** Esther Racoosin <elr605@verizon.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:13:36 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Esther Racoosin  
112 Roat Street  
Ithaca, NY 14850  
607-257-3999

**From:** Tina Carr <jacsam78@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:13:41 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Tina Carr  
Packers Falls Rd.  
Newmarket, NH 03857  
603-988-7704

**From:** Kate Namous <kairmid@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:14:21 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Kate Namous  
70 Russell Street  
Boston, MA 02129

**From:** terry pierce <terrence.pierce@consultant.volvo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:14:25 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

terry pierce  
628 Lippincott Ave  
riverton, NJ 08077  
609-694-2038

**From:** Lascinda Goetschius <lascindag@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:15:54 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Lascinda Goetschius  
13-21 6h Street  
13-21 6th street  
Fair Lawn, NJ 07410  
201 803-3518

**From:** lisa mead <flourishingtree@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:16:37 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

lisa mead  
81 Pleasant St.  
Rockland, ME 04841  
2075967977

**From:** Michael Heller <mx6976crew@comcast.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:16:38 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Michael Heller  
1633 Brimfield Circle  
Eldersburg, MD 21784



**From:** Ernest J.P. Muhly <ecorslve@earthlink.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:16:43 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Ernest J.P. Muhly  
P.O. Box 400  
Walkersville, MD 21793

**From:** lisa paseltiner <bagsnthings101@hotmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:16:48 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

lisa paseltiner  
565 foxhurst rd  
baldwin  
baldwin, NY 11510  
516-946-3093

**From:** MaryAnna Foskett <maryanna@foskettco.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:16:53 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

MaryAnna Foskett  
101 Brantwood Road  
Arlington, MA 2476  
7816465882

**From:** Theresa Kardos <Tekardos@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:17:37 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Theresa Kardos  
26 Montrose Station Rd.  
Cortlandt Manor, NY 10567  
9147375005

**From:** Reggie Osborn <reggieo@fastmail.us>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:17:45 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Reggie Osborn  
284 danforth st.  
PORTLAND, ME 04104  
207-772-8598

**From:** Wren Barnett <wren.saluda@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:18:20 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Wren Barnett  
P.O. Box 220  
Saluda, NC 28773  
828-329-6397

**From:** Jason Kaas <lawjak@hofstra.edu>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:18:23 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Jason Kaas  
15 N Indiana Ave  
15 N Indiana Ave  
Lindenhurst, NY 11757  
631-875-0635

**From:** Jeff Hibbard <jchibbard@earthlink.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:09:57 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Jeff Hibbard  
100 Fred Vinson Road  
Otto, NC 28763  
828.765.4427



**From:** Padma Dyvine <dyvinepadma@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:09:57 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Padma Dyvine  
39 Huschke Rd  
Hurleyville, NY 12747

**From:** Ann Breeden <annbreeden@roadrunner.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:10:15 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Ann Breeden  
19 Willowbrook Lane  
19 Willowbr4ook Lane  
Sullivan, ME 04664  
207-422-3007

**From:** Augie Abel <augieabel@frontiernet.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:10:18 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Augie Abel  
Adams Ave  
Middletown, NY 10940-6529

**From:** Mary Bridges <maryhuot@nc.rr.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:10:38 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Mary Bridges  
902 E. Mulberry St.  
Goldsboro, NC 27530  
919-735-2064

**From:** Marie Amabile <mramabile@hotmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:10:51 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Marie Amabile  
572 Chocalog Road  
Uxbridge, MA 01569

From: Star Star <grammystar@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 3:11:02 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Star Star  
21 Linden St.  
Apt. A  
Somersworth, NH 03878

From: Eric Beeche <beeche996@earthlink.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 3:11:16 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Eric Beeche  
119 Meadowbrook Dr.  
Slingerlands, NY 12159  
(518) 253-5710

**From:** Marve Ward <maevew@comcast.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:11:41 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Marve Ward  
22 Carver Road  
22 Carver Road  
Newton, MA 02461  
617-527-5331



**From:** Ben Villnave <benvillnave@lavabit.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:11:59 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Ben Villnave  
22 Tempo Drive  
Danville, NH 03819  
12139733421

From: tracey flannery <yecartflannery@verizon.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 3:12:04 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

tracey flannery  
41 spruce st  
acton, MA 01720

**From:** Elizabeth Denier <a.denier@verizon.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:12:05 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Elizabeth Denier  
211 Central St.  
211 Central St.  
Saugus, MA 01906  
781-231-9247

From: Jean Keller <jkeller@maine.rr.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 3:12:59 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Jean Keller  
,  
South Portland, ME 04106

**From:** Karen Schreiber <needlepro@earthlink.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:05:14 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Karen Schreiber  
86 Packers Falls Rd  
Durham, NH 03824

**From:** Dave Balkema <davebalkema@mac.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:05:31 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Dave Balkema  
94 newhall  
conway, MA 01341  
413 369 4718

**From:** Marylynn Grimes <marylynngimes@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:05:47 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Marylynn Grimes  
459 Gifford Rd  
459 Gifford Rd  
Schenectady, NY 12304  
(518) 928-5626

**From:** Ondrea Lynn <Bodyandsoulbyondrea@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:05:50 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Ondrea Lynn  
428 Plutarch Rd.  
Highland, NY 12528  
845-471-1140



**From:** Vivian Werner <vivianwerner@jwdp.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:06:32 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Vivian Werner  
6 Main St #4081  
#408  
Lenox, MA 01240

**From:** Gayle B. Rosenberry <gayler52@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:06:33 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Gayle B. Rosenberry  
703 Gorsuch Ave.  
Baltimore, MD 21218-3525  
(410)243-8932

**From:** Adam Graffunder <mork.the.delayer@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:07:14 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Adam Graffunder  
43 wood st  
providence, RI 02909  
(206) 432-6071

**From:** joceyn jackman <jhjackman@hotmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:07:16 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

joceyn jackman  
19 fairchild place  
buffalo, NY 14216

**From:** DOUGLAS DRAKE <papasmurfs24@aol.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:07:22 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

DOUGLAS DRAKE  
151 OLD BEEKMAN RD  
MONMOUTH JUNCTION  
MONMOUTH JUNCTION, NJ 08852  
7329601390

**From:** Barry Plaxen <barry@dhcanvas.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:07:40 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Barry Plaxen  
297 Stone Schoolhouse Road  
Bloomington, NY 12721  
845-733-4979

**From:** Jane Francis <jane@doubledeckerbusmusic.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:08:12 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Jane Francis  
6361 Thom Rd.  
Graham, NC 27253  
336 376-5782

**From:** William Farkas <wfarkas@wsc.ma.edu>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:08:17 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

William Farkas  
14 Mountain Laurel Path  
Florence, MA 01062  
413 584-1323



**From:** Wilma Bradbeer <wilmabee2@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:08:27 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Wilma Bradbeer  
130 Stribling Ave.  
130 Stribling Ave.  
Charlottesville, VA 22903  
434 293 2640

**From:** Sylvia Williams <ebonyangell1@embarqmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:09:41 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Sylvia Williams  
307 Brookwood Ave  
Fayetteville, NC 28301  
910-323-3452`

**From:** Pamela Levitan <pamlevitan@sbcglobal.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 3:09:46 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Pamela Levitan  
322 Arbona Circle  
Sonora, CA 95370  
209 533-4799

From: Jeanette Sherbondy <jsherbondy2@verizon.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 9:24:19 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Jeanette Sherbondy  
116 School Road  
Chestertown, MD 21620

From: Bradley Kennedy <bradley.c.kennedy@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 9:35:33 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Bradley Kennedy  
6009 River Dr.  
Lorton, VA 22079

From: berry lee <xbor8@aol.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 9:36:31 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

berry lee  
213 dadian  
durham, NC 27701  
919-682-2506

From: mary depouiloff <muddog@midmaine.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 9:41:29 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

mary depouiloff  
po box 1101  
provincetown, MA 02657

From: Carol williams <carolwill1984@yahoo.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 9:41:48 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response. Where there is a will there is a way...large scale commercialism has been proven time and again to be against the consumer, against those with a personal stake in sustainability.

Carol williams  
105 Kensington Ct.  
Livonia, NY 14487  
585-346-2623



From: Ann Mathews <branmathews@comcast.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 9:42:41 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Ann Mathews  
128 Dunkirk Road  
Baltimore, MD 21212-1750  
410-377-6262

**From:** Kelly Choi <kellymchoi@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 9:43:22 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Kelly Choi  
12 N Oak Ct  
madison, NJ 07940  
973-845-6320

From: John Ebel <jackebel@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 9:43:22 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

John Ebel  
184 Main St. #409  
Nashua, NH 03060

**From:** Elaine Fischer <efischer@workmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 9:24:47 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Elaine Fischer  
2514 Sharmar Rd.  
Roanoke, VA 24018

From: Jon Sabel <jonsabel@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 9:17:24 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Jon Sabel  
18 Cedar Lk E  
Denville, NJ 07834

From: Mark Sentesy <marksentesy@fastmail.fm>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 9:18:44 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Mark Sentesy  
205 Davis Ave  
Brookline, MA 02445

From: Laura Dickey <ledickey@juno.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 9:23:20 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Laura Dickey  
8 Cathy Lane  
WALDWICK, NJ 07463

**From:** Cathy Bertinuson <cathybert@me.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 9:23:21 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Cathy Bertinuson  
36 North Hillside Road  
South Deerfield, MA 01373



From: Mary Jo and Charles Nicholson <cpname@earthlink.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 9:05:12 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Mary Jo and Charles Nicholson  
2441 Sddison Blvd  
High Point, NC 27262

From: kate bodley <katebodley@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 9:06:03 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

kate bodley  
902 walnut st  
902 Walnut St  
pocomoke, MD 21851  
757-894-4225

From: Maria Gail <mariagail@myfairpoint.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 9:06:42 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Maria Gail  
1070 East Waldo Road  
Belfast, ME 04915  
207 338-2886

From: Sharon S Whitmore <sharonwhitmore1@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 9:09:59 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Sharon S Whitmore  
7500 New Sharon Church Rd  
7500 New Sharon Church Rd  
Rougemont, NC 27572  
919-732-6427

From: Donna Nowlan <donna.nowlan@rcn.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 9:12:24 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Donna Nowlan  
9 Old Colony Rd.  
Arlington, MA 02474

From: Leah Sly <leah.sly@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 9:15:44 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Leah Sly  
2630 William Short Cir  
Apt 205  
Herndon, VA 20120  
703 266-9233

From: Angela Brandao <angela.brandao.psi@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 9:17:24 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Angela Brandao  
19 Bellflower st  
Lexington, MA 02421

From: Kelley Scanlon <rynn30@aol.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 9:17:24 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Kelley Scanlon  
281 Norwood Avenue  
Syracuse, NY 13206



From: Jim Hunt <bostonjim@live.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 8:46:10 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Jim Hunt  
324 Chestnut Hill Avenue  
#9  
Brighton, MA 02135-6023  
617-202-9557

From: Matt Cardin <Mattjc98@aol.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 8:47:25 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Matt Cardin  
1555 Beacon Street  
Brookline, MA 02446

From: p McMahon <pam@heartspace.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 8:48:03 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

p McMahon  
925 McEntire Road  
Tryon, NC 28782

From: doreen johnston <isadora312@aol.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 8:51:15 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

doreen johnston  
1165 millstone rd  
millstone rd  
sag harbor, NY 11963  
5169945947

From: Caitlyn Ramsey <ramseycaitlyn@yahoo.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 8:51:15 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Caitlyn Ramsey  
4130 Weeping Willow Ct  
Chantilly, VA 20151

From: Charlene Smith <aurorastonehenge44@yahoo.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 8:51:15 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Charlene Smith  
106 Cliff Street  
Walden, NY 12586  
8023453147

From: Jeannette Hoffenkamp <ajhoffenkamp@gwi.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 8:54:04 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Jeannette Hoffenkamp  
38 High St.  
38 High St.  
Kennebunk, ME 04043

**From:** Joanna Cutting-Brady <galaborn@msn.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 8:57:14 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Joanna Cutting-Brady  
854 Mammoth  
Dracut, MA 01826  
978-957-9230



From: Jan Nelson <dogstar3@optonline.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 8:57:28 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Jan Nelson  
6040 Kennedy Blvd. East  
Apt. 19G  
West New York, NJ 07093  
201-869-3259

From: Constance Fortenbaugh <cfortenbaugh@yahoo.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 8:58:13 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Constance Fortenbaugh  
617 East Ave  
Bay Head, NJ 08742

From: Lynne Lightowler-Buck <buck134doe@frontiernet.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 8:59:58 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Lynne Lightowler-Buck  
134 Nabob Row  
134 Nabob Row  
Bainbridge, NY 13733  
607-967-4434

From: Ed Bender <ebender7@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 9:03:17 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Ed Bender  
137 Shepard St  
Rochester, NY 14620  
5855207752

From: Rick Wood <rwood@siteduck.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 9:04:52 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Rick Wood  
10 Jacob Gates Rd  
Harvard, MA 01451

From: Heidi Witthoeft <hrw\_nc@yahoo.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 8:28:12 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Heidi Witthoeft  
6714 Riesman Lane  
Charlotte, NC 28210  
704 643 4217

From: Elisa Evett <duccio44@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 8:28:12 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Elisa Evett  
298 Bald Hill Rd.  
298 Bald Hill Rd.  
Brooktondale, NY 14817-9716  
607 539-7777

**From:** keith gelber <kgelber@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 8:28:57 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

keith gelber  
411 coast street  
willits, CA 95490



**From:** Pamela Daly <dalybam@verizon.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 8:31:32 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Pamela Daly  
178 Pinewood Road, Apt. 47  
Hartsdale, NY 10530  
(914) 428-0318

From: Mary Ann Smale <maryann\_62@live.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 8:32:34 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Mary Ann Smale  
37 Dyers Bay Rd.  
Steuben, ME 04680  
207-546-8981

From: Kimberly Cresic <kcresic@yahoo.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 8:32:44 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Kimberly Cresic  
203 Kauffman Road  
Parkton, MD 21120

From: Dori Grasso <dcgrasso1@yahoo.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 8:33:39 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Dori Grasso  
12207 Happy Hollow Road  
Cockeysville, MD 21030  
4105611278

From: Lorelee Clark <loraleel3@verizon.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 8:36:32 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Lorelee Clark  
3616 Nelms Ln  
Williamsburg, VA 23185  
(757) 258-3254

From: Luc Le Noir <luc020@hotmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 8:38:49 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Luc Le Noir  
210 Main St.  
Morristown, NJ 07976

From: Paul Netusil <pdpnet@aol.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 8:38:50 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Paul Netusil  
9 Lachmund Court  
Old Tappan, NJ 07675-7237  
201-955-9767

From: Alex Sugerman <abg717@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 8:40:47 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Alex Sugerman  
---  
Boston, MA 02114



From: Virginia Hodgkins <goodforyou@hughes.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 8:42:28 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Virginia Hodgkins  
425 Goose Hill Road  
425 Goose Hill RD  
Jefferson, ME 04348  
2075497264

From: Trina Paulus <trinapaulus@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 8:42:59 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Trina Paulus  
86 Elm Street  
Montclair, NJ 07042-3207  
9737468715

From: Sharon Peralta <slperalta@metrocast.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 8:43:48 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Sharon Peralta  
82 Stanley Road  
Springvale, ME 04083

**From:** Jennifer Pruett <belle31971@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 8:44:35 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Jennifer Pruett  
900 Barnegat Blvd N 904  
Barnegat, NJ 08005

**From:** Luther Livermon <lulivermon@embarqmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 8:44:35 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Luther Livermon  
6818 Bradshaw Quarry Rd  
6818 Bradshaw Quarry Rd  
Mebane, NC 27302-8237  
9199284363

From: Linda Minisce <Lelindal@verizon.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 8:46:10 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Linda Minisce  
47 Mechanic Street  
Wakefield, RI 02879

From: Rachel McDavid <rmcdavid@mindspring.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 8:13:15 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Rachel McDavid  
235 Elizabeth Street  
#10  
NY, NY 10012

From: Nancy Hart <nghart12@aol.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 8:13:32 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Nancy Hart  
8317 Rollling Road  
Manassas, VA 20110  
703 365 9424



From: Jerry Cox <johnjohn660@yahoo.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 8:14:26 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Jerry Cox  
315 E. Cliff St  
Wallace, NC 28466  
910-431-3784

From: Yvonne Pratt <mindandbody@optonline.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 8:16:37 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Yvonne Pratt  
2 Frank St  
Patchogue, NY 11772  
6317587827

From: Marcia Migdal <Marmig60@aol.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 8:16:38 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Marcia Migdal  
1302 Perth Rd  
Hagaman, NY 12086  
518-882-9865

From: Jonathan Langmuir <jblangmuir118@yahoo.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 8:18:03 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Jonathan Langmuir  
73 Garfield Ave.  
Easthampton, MA 01027  
413 5277778

From: Carolyn Corliss <cmcorliss@pobox.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 8:20:01 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Carolyn Corliss  
25596 Limequat Ct  
Bonita Springs, FL 34135-6423  
239-947-5126

From: Karen Bonatti <kbonatti@beld.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 8:22:13 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Karen Bonatti  
19 Wilkins Rd  
Braintree, MA 02184

From: Joan whitacre <dakini19@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 8:22:39 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Joan whitacre  
14 mansfield ave  
nyack, NY 10960

From: Sue Scanlin <scanlin@verizon.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 8:22:49 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Sue Scanlin  
3686 Bower Rd., SW  
3686 Bower Rd., SW  
Roanoke, VA 24018  
540-989-0497



From: tom vigue <kiwihill@fairpoint.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 8:22:49 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

tom vigue  
127 robinson rd  
sidney, ME 04330  
207 547-3000

From: patti marquardt <pmarquardt222@yahoo.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 8:24:10 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

patti marquardt  
267 Jacobs Road  
macedon, NY 14502  
585-330-9367

**From:** Kendall Huntley <whispersholler@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 8:24:54 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Kendall Huntley  
5 Ugly Creek Trl  
Arden, NC 28704

From: John Kresser <jkresser@twcny.rr.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 8:27:54 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

The income made by the smaller fishermen will stay in their communities and benefit the economic climate.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

John Kresser  
4425 Adlai Dr.  
Syracuse, NY 13215  
4425 Adlai Dr.

From: William Branigan <innkeeper.lion@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 8:27:54 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

William Branigan  
14130 Riverdowns North Terrace  
Millothian, VA 23113  
804-897-0078

**From:** Bob Ellenbogen <bob@musicweb.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 2:46:42 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Bob Ellenbogen  
40 Mohawk Trail  
slingerlands, NY 12159



**From:** Johanna Kolodny <jk929@nyu.edu>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 1:02:41 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Johanna Kolodny  
107 University Pl. #3G  
New York, NY 10003  
212 674-2451



**From:** Natasha Sherman <nzscoach@verizon.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 1:03:12 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Natasha Sherman  
742 Estates Blvd # 110  
Mercerville, NJ 08619

**From:** Tamara Friedler <tfluvsuva@hotmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 1:17:00 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Tamara Friedler  
4905 Tarheel Way  
Annandale, VA 22003  
703 425-7130

**From:** Doris Burtman <dburtman@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 1:20:41 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Doris Burtman  
99 westover Parkway  
Norwood, MA 02062

From: Edward Walker <medcu@aol.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 1:21:12 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Edward Walker  
21 Mark Bradford Dr  
Holden, MA 01520

**From:** Pat Morrison <pamorrison@charter.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 1:23:34 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Pat Morrison  
66 Old Farm Road  
Sturbridge, MA 01566  
508-347-9689

From: Nan Nelkin <nelkinn@yahoo.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 1:23:06 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Nan Nelkin  
10 Lyman Av  
Easthampton, MA 01027

**From:** Jennifer Robin BLACKman <loesida@mac.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 1:26:41 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Jennifer Robin BLACKman  
5622 Bloomingdale Ave.  
Richmond, VA 23228  
515-8769

**From:** Elena Martsen <lmartsen@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 1:29:56 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Elena Martsen  
304 New Parkside Dr.  
Chapel Hill, NC 27516  
919-636-4624



From: christine rai <sunny\_rai@verizon.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 1:28:26 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

christine rai  
17320 Whitaker Rd.  
Poolesville, MD 20837

From: William Liddle <maximosabor@yahoo.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 1:33:58 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

William Liddle  
327 Columbia Turnpike  
MPD  
Rensselaer, NY 12144  
5184496853

**From:** Elika Etemad <elika.politics@inkedblade.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 1:35:05 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Elika Etemad  
4A Hoffman Ave  
4A Hoffman Ave  
Warren, NJ 94114

**From:** don & mary faulkner <dmf633@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 1:38:37 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

don & mary faulkner  
606 marshall st  
606 marshall st  
lexington, virginia, VA 24450  
540-463-5462

From: Richard Pecha <sniffals@optonline.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 1:37:17 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Richard Pecha  
5 Millburn Drive  
Lake Hopatcong, NJ 07849  
973 663 6095

From: Janet Scott <swingjan@hotmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 1:42:28 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Janet Scott  
3209 E 10th St  
Bloomington, IN 47408

From: Brandon Ballinger <Brandonb@tidalcreek.coop>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 1:39:34 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Brandon Ballinger  
401 Peachtree Ave.  
1311 41st. street  
Wilmington NC, NC 28403  
910-784-1492

**From:** Elaine Hamil <bmf@main.nc.us>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 1:39:07 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Elaine Hamil  
15 John Myra Ave  
Black Mountain, NC 28711  
828-669-4003



**From:** Joan Budd <Joanbudd@hotmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 1:42:58 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Joan Budd  
48-6 Foxwood Drive  
Pleasantville, NY 10570  
914-747-9232

**From:** Jessica Renner <jaygebase@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 1:52:10 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Jessica Renner  
109 N Mont Valla Ave  
Hagerstown, MD 21740  
240-845-1121

**From:** Daniel Cadzow <cadzow@buffalo.edu>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 1:46:09 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Daniel Cadzow  
82 Humboldt PKWY  
82 Humboldt PKWY  
Buffalo, NY 14214-2605  
7163524500

**From:** Dawn Beagan <thefirstjones01@hotmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 1:52:30 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Dawn Beagan  
95 Mathewson Street Apt 402  
apt 402  
Providence, RI 02903  
401-744-8683

**From:** Dusty Stepanski <rprim432@comcast.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 1:59:09 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Dusty Stepanski  
432 Mullica Hill Rd PO Bx 97  
Richwood, NJ 08074  
856-589-5387

**From:** James Barrett <jamesmarkbarrett@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 1:54:18 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

James Barrett  
PO Box 1318  
PO Box 1318  
Hightstown, NJ 08520-0318  
6094485425

**From:** Leann Warner <leannwarner@verizon.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 2:15:37 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Leann Warner  
22171 Goldenrod Drive  
Great Mills, MD 20634  
240-298-5305

From: Wyllyn Hodnett <LynH169125@comcast.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 2:04:26 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Wyllyn Hodnett  
14372 Riverside Drive  
Ashland, VA 23005



**From:** Joy White <antanae@comcast.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 2:05:18 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Joy White  
511 Williams Ave.  
Magnolia, NJ 08049  
(856) 566-0086

**From:** Jeni Munn <jenimn1@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 2:31:46 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Jeni Munn  
7020 Folger Dr.  
Charlotte, NC 28270

**From:** Priscilla Chew <pwchew@alum.bu.edu>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 2:18:51 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Priscilla Chew  
1 Fitchburg St  
Somerville, MA 02143

From: Dena Leiter <denaleiter@netscape.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 2:24:38 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Dena Leiter  
154 N. 6th Ave.  
Highland Park, NJ 08904

**From:** Katherine Keating <katherinekeating@hotmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 2:40:41 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Katherine Keating  
31 Kimball Street  
Lebanon, NH 03766

**From:** Robert Webster <zotlocker@verizon.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 2:37:06 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Robert Webster  
7 Johnson Lane  
none  
Oxford, MA 01540  
not necessary

**From:** Alice Mason <armcarver@verizon.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 2:35:26 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Alice Mason  
124 Carver Road  
124 Carver Road  
BALTIMORE, MD 21222  
410-288-9453

**From:** Lillian Landrau <lililand2003@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 2:52:27 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Lillian Landrau  
P.O. Box 205  
Amherst, MA, 01004  
Amherst, MA 01004



**From:** Joyce orenstein <jeoreinstein@optonline.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 2:51:22 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Joyce orenstein  
305 south second avenue  
highland park, NJ 08904  
732 828-0252

**From:** David Randall <dave322@verizon.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 2:40:44 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

David Randall  
5 Longacre Court  
Port Jefferson, NY 11777

**From:** erga shelley <camelmama@yahoo.ciom>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 3:05:27 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

erga shelley  
liberty street  
liberty street  
newburgh, NY 12250-3589

From: Paula Bushkoff <webquit@aol.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 2:54:14 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Paula Bushkoff  
29 Alcott St.  
Acton, MA 01720

**From:** judy pizarro <jxp411@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 2:59:35 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

judy pizarro  
55 e. kings hwy  
apt. 604  
maple shade, NJ 08052

**From:** Denise Dreher <laffmom@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 3:14:29 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Denise Dreher  
352 Granite St. Ext.  
Biddeford, ME 04005

**From:** Kathryn Hardin <kkhardin@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 3:12:03 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Kathryn Hardin  
614 N. Broadway St.  
Saratoga Springs, NY 12866  
518-322-6146

From: Ruflno Pabico <rpabico@rochester.rr.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 3:25:05 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Ruflno Pabico  
5 Windrush Valley Road  
Fairport, NY 14450-3911  
585-739-9202



**From:** Patricia Soper-Oakes <patirose@hotmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 3:36:09 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Patricia Soper-Oakes  
303 Seneca Road  
Suite 102  
Hornell, NY 14843

**From:** Catherine Hart <cathy.hart2@comcast.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 3:32:23 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Catherine Hart  
503 Acorn Court  
Mt. Airy, MD 21771

**From:** Maureen Wheeler <maureen\_d\_wheeler@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 3:27:32 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Maureen Wheeler  
304 Marvin Road  
Silver Spring, MD 20901-1725  
301-593-9391

**From:** Deborah Beck <billadeb@netzero.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 3:41:59 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Deborah Beck  
400 decatur avenue  
peekskill, NY 10566  
914-734-9144

**From:** Andrew May <mandrew01@hotmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 3:38:28 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Andrew May  
7901-B Friends Creek Road  
Emmitsburg, MD 21727

**From:** Deborah Beck <billadeb@netzero.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 3:41:58 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Deborah Beck  
400 decatur avenue  
peekskill, NY 10566  
914-734-9144

**From:** Grace Willard <Vuhrukuh@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 3:45:55 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Grace Willard  
110 Blue Spring Road  
Princeton, NJ 08540  
609-577-0958

From: Michael Rosenbaum <mlrosenbaum1@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 3:46:38 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Michael Rosenbaum  
111 West Patent Rd  
Mount Kisco, NY 10549



**From:** Lisa LaDore <lisaladore@hotmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 3:47:06 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Lisa LaDore  
180 Halstead Ave.  
Rye, NY 10528

**From:** Paul Burke - Author Journey Home <journeyhome@cox.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 4:09:02 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Paul Burke - Author Journey Home  
5425 Club Head Road  
Virginia Beach, VA 23455

From: Tara O'Neill <tara65@optonline.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 4:10:45 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Tara O'Neill  
100 Scribner Rd  
Millerton, NY 12546  
518-789-4316

**From:** Stephanie T <steffiel3132@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 4:06:28 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Stephanie T  
Ennis Ave.  
Oswego county, NY 13132

**From:** MAURICIO DE OLIVEIRA <mgoliveira@msn.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 4:42:22 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

MAURICIO DE OLIVEIRA  
2010 HANOVER ST  
SILVER SPRING, MD 20910  
301 589 6111

From: David Shearer <resqp2tv@frontier.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 4:22:37 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

David Shearer  
233 Floyd Jones Rd  
Tuckasegee, NC 28783

**From:** Ralph Tomita <rich.ta57@verizon.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 4:36:23 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Ralph Tomita  
5609 Buckingham Palace Court  
Alexandria, VA 22315  
703-971-2831

**From:** Nan McCabe <nanjim1959@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 4:46:22 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Nan McCabe  
3327 Dorchester Ct  
Lynchburg, VA 24503  
434-384-8454



**From:** Linda Coventry <ljcoventry@verizon.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 4:44:35 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Linda Coventry  
599 randolph st  
abington, MA 02351  
7818781074

**From:** andre cunningham <andre2x70@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 4:47:19 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

andre cunningham  
438 mayview drive  
creedmoor, NC 27522  
919192195562

From: Carol Simon <simoncm@mainelywired.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 5:05:45 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Carol Simon  
924 Oak Hill Rd  
Swanville, ME 04915  
2073381462

From: J Linden <arneanka2@yahoo.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 4:56:10 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

J Linden  
Lantern Ln  
Milford, MA 01757  
7089538728

**From:** Clay McGlamory <claymcglamory@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 4:57:10 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Clay McGlamory  
1245 Westover Ave. A-5  
Norfolk, VA 23507

**From:** Sarah Borron <sborron@fwwatch.org>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 5:14:33 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Sarah Borron  
204 N. Barton St. #2  
Suite 300  
Arlington, VA 22201  
202-669-0753

From: Deborah Brower <djbrower2@earthlink.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 5:24:14 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Deborah Brower  
10201 Grosvenor Place # 611  
Rockville, MD 20852  
301 564-0184

**From:** arby trolinger <arbster2004@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 7:53:25 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

arby trolinger  
box 168  
crowder, OK 74430



**From:** DENNY FINNERAN <FINNJOUR@YAHOO.COM>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 7:56:51 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

DENNY FINNERAN  
133 LAWRENCE ST.  
APT.135W  
SARATOGA SPRINGS, NY 12866-6913  
(518)583-1478

**From:** Elin Betanzo <elwarn@hotmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 7:58:43 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Elin Betanzo  
7406 Jackson  
Takoma Park, MD 20912

**From:** Claudine Beck <claudine-beck@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 7:57:23 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Claudine Beck  
349 Anderson Road  
Siloam, NC 27047

**From:** Rae Jean Ghee <rjchoco@comcast.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 8:04:20 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Rae Jean Ghee  
84 Beth Page Drive  
Monroe Twp., NJ 08831  
7326726681

**From:** Anne McLaughlin <mclaugh@madison.main.nc.us>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 8:08:52 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Anne McLaughlin  
771 Soaring Mountain Rd  
Hot Springs, NC 28743  
828-622-0071

**From:** Jennifer Talarico <jennifertlrc@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 8:07:12 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Jennifer Talarico  
112 Cambridge Ave  
112 Cambridge Ave  
Westmont, NJ 08108

**From:** marc wren <captainandpj@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 8:09:46 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

marc wren  
2060 spring lake road  
defuniak springs, FL 32433

**From:** Alessandra Mikic <alessandra.mikic@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 8:13:52 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Alessandra Mikic  
79 Wheatsheaf Lane  
Princeton, NJ 08540



From: charlotte decker <chalotte.decker@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 8:23:56 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

charlotte decker  
184 union ave  
peekskill, NY 10566  
9147392134

From: Annette Naegel <nettynaegel@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 8:19:18 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Annette Naegel  
59 Masonic St  
Rockland, ME 04841

**From:** Amanda Kirk <tandmkirk@comcast.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 8:26:19 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Amanda Kirk  
535 Dune Rdg N  
St. Joseph, MI 49085

**From:** Nicole Colace <Nicolero94@msn.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 8:32:12 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Nicole Colace  
Sangreal ct  
Mantua, NJ 08051

From: John Loder <kouj60@yahoo.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 8:32:23 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

John Loder  
143 Bryant Street  
none  
Buffalo, NY 14222

From: Heidi Michaels <hmichls@aol.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 8:33:02 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Heidi Michaels  
243 Concord St West  
Portland, ME 04103  
207-809-7429

From: Dr. Scott Whitener <swhiten@rci.rutgers.edu>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 8:39:30 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Dr. Scott Whitener  
21 Buffa Drive  
Somerset, NJ 08873

**From:** Susan Michael <smichael9@nc.rr.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 8:36:59 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Susan Michael  
3509 Wild Harvest Court  
Durham,, NC 27712



From: j clark <j5clark@hotmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 8:41:58 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

j clark  
hedingham  
raleigh, NC 27604

From: Mary Pendergast <marypen211@verizon.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 8:41:59 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Mary Pendergast  
125 Summit St  
Pawtucket, RI 02860  
4017242237

From: Aster White <awhitel7@stny.rr.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 8:44:53 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Aster White  
1791 E Beecher Hill Rd  
Owego, NY 13827-3814  
607-687-7022

From: Kurt J. Himpel <kjhimpel@yahoo.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 8:55:08 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Kurt J. Himpel  
340 South Regent St,  
Port Chester, NY 10573  
9142752720

**From:** Joyce Morgenroth <jdm9@cornell.edu>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 8:55:24 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Joyce Morgenroth  
474 Snyder Hill Rd.  
Ithaca, NY 14850

From: Margaret Xu <mxu10@jhu.edu>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 8:57:21 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Margaret Xu  
110 W. 39th St, Apt 300  
Baltimore, MD 21210

**From:** Marc Santora <santoram@hotmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 8:58:05 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Marc Santora  
151 Pines Lake Drive East  
Unit B  
wayne, NJ 07470  
202 758-2714

**From:** Amanda Benvenuto <amb1314@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 8:58:33 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Amanda Benvenuto  
2403 Broadway Apt. 2  
Apt. 2  
Watervliet, NY 12189  
518-694-1343



From: Nancy Gates <nancyfaygates@aol.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 9:04:34 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Nancy Gates  
Corliss Hill Road  
Haverhill, MA 01830-1615

**From:** Nicholas Prychodko <prychdk@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 9:00:25 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Nicholas Prychodko  
PO Box 2138  
Bridgehampton, NY 11932

**From:** Rose Dwyer <yogabyrose@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 9:00:49 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Rose Dwyer  
oakland ave  
Warwick, NY 10990

From: Mark Westfield <markwestfield@yahoo.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 9:05:25 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Mark Westfield  
1100 20th St S  
Arlington, VA 22202

**From:** Robert Duffy <robertfduffy@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 9:11:08 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Robert Duffy  
441 Main St.  
441 Main St.  
West Townsend, MA 01474  
9785970552

**From:** Christopher Welsh <cjwelsh@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 9:16:35 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Christopher Welsh  
43 Moore Ave  
Binghamton, NY 13903

From: Ear Wajenberg <earlwajenberg@comcast.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 9:18:07 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Ear Wajenberg  
103 Center St  
Goffstown, NH 03045

**From:** Susan Becker <susanbecker@comcast.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 9:21:07 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Susan Becker  
557 Upper Mountain Avenue  
Montclair, NJ 07043



**From:** Dan Brennan <dan111658@inbox.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 9:22:41 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Dan Brennan  
147 Hackensack St.  
Apt. 3 R  
East Rutherford, NJ 07073

**From:** Lori Miller <naturemom2010@hotmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 9:22:53 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Lori Miller  
1164 Ivy Ridge Road  
1164 Ivy Ridge Road, Cleveland, VA 24225  
Cleveland, VA 24225  
276-889-3493

**From:** Katherine Lindgren <katherinelindgren@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 9:31:56 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Katherine Lindgren  
145 Chestnut Hill Ave  
Address Line 2  
Brighton, MA 02135  
5089346003

**From:** Tim Fleche <tfleche@rochester.rr.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 9:34:52 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Tim Fleche  
64 Bellehurst Dr.  
Rochester, NY 14617

**From:** Darlene Yamrose <dyamrose@twcny.rr.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 9:35:30 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Darlene Yamrose  
208 Dorothy St.  
Minoa, NY 13116  
(315) 656-9785

From: deirdre d. barton <deirdredb@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 9:37:48 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

deirdre d. barton  
111spinney mill road  
arrowsic, ME 04530  
207 4428559

**From:** Jason Halbert <jasonhalbert@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 9:45:00 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Jason Halbert  
803 Stonehenge Ave  
Charlottesville, VA 22902

From: therese wilson <theresew2003@yahoo.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 9:45:26 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

therese wilson  
2066 Mecklenburg Road  
Ithaca, NY 14850



**From:** m jones <shellyhanleyjones@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 9:46:30 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

m jones  
142 high st  
Address Line 2  
perth amboy, NJ 08861  
unlisted

**From:** John Iavarone <jiavarol@nycap.rr.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 9:46:30 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

John Iavarone  
114 Grand st  
aa  
albany, NY 12208  
518-482-4794

From: Rick Cordo <Powersourceav@aol.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 9:46:35 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Rick Cordo  
261 perrineville rd  
Jackson, NJ 08527

From: Nereida Ferran <nereidaluz53@hotmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 9:52:54 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Nereida Ferran  
60 Franklin st  
Englewood, NJ 07631  
2015693572

**From:** Derek Southard <dksoutha@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 9:50:38 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Derek Southard  
58 East 8th  
Apartment 912  
Oswego, NY 13126  
315 3430173

From: Caleb Cliff <cwc200@yahoo.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 9:48:56 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Caleb Cliff  
62 Ibbetson Street  
62 Ibbetson Street  
Somerville, MA 02143

**From:** Amy Biggs <abiggs66219@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 9:53:15 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Amy Biggs  
920 Southmoor Dr. Unit 205  
Virginia Beach, VA 23455

From: Neva Allen <NevaBythesea@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 9:53:18 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Neva Allen  
43 Union St Apt 1  
43 Union St Apt 1  
Belfast, ME 04915



From: David Perkins <davyperkins@yahoo.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 9:58:09 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

David Perkins  
643 Resthome Rd  
Wilkesboro, NC 28697  
336-973-3313

From: Art Margulies <Quartertonner@Verizon.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 9:58:10 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Art Margulies  
604 Thomas Ave  
Little Egg Harbor, NJ 08087

**From:** Cindy Robinson <cinrobinson@bellsouth.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 9:58:13 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Cindy Robinson  
9 Silver Dollar Lane  
asheville, NC 28804  
828-545-0672

**From:** Michael Ares <www.aquino.com@juno.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 10:01:52 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Michael Ares  
387 Barleau Street  
Brentwood, NY 11717

**From:** Kristine Kelsey <kelseyk@email.unc.edu>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 10:03:59 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Kristine Kelsey  
4371 Russell Road  
Graham, NC 27253

From: Beverly Quint <bevq@verizon.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 10:06:39 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Beverly Quint  
14 Drumlin Road  
Rockport, MA 01966

**From:** Jennifer Schultz <jelloogg@juno.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 10:07:12 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Jennifer Schultz  
56 Edgebrook Estates #7  
Cheektowaga, NY 14227  
(716) 683-2628

From: Diane Lovine <dlovine@yahoo.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 10:09:28 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Diane Lovine  
9 Lawrence St.  
wilmington, MA 01887



**From:** Melony-Ann Jones <jones.melonyann@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 10:13:18 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions. Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares. Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such. If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource. Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Melony-Ann Jones  
27 West Rd  
Waterboro, ME 04087

**From:** medard spencer <cheapestjoe@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 10:18:19 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

medard spencer  
769 tyler st apt12  
pittsfield, MA 01201  
413-629 3202

**From:** claudia mcnulty <claudiamcnulty@me.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 10:14:02 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

claudia mcnulty  
1614 rt 26  
climax, NY 12042  
518-966-0103

From: chris vey <horborg@hotmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 10:20:48 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

chris vey  
113 Pickerel Rd  
monroe, NY 10950  
(845) 782-0829

**From:** Omar Ott <omar.ott@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 10:24:27 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Omar Ott  
37 Denver Ave  
37 Denver Ave, Lynchburg VA 24503  
Lynchburg, VA 24503  
434-942-2604

**From:** Lynn Lazar <lyslazar@earthlink.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 10:23:27 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Lynn Lazar  
4 Gorham Street  
Cambridge MA 02138  
CAMBRIDGE, MA 02138

**From:** Eve Sokol <evesokol@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 10:24:55 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Eve Sokol  
10 Academy Lane  
Bellport, NY 11713  
631-286-5879

**From:** Sandra Cartica <sandim99@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 10:27:09 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Sandra Cartica  
225 Hillside Lane  
Ellenville, NY 12428  
845-309-5361



**From:** Debby Ham <dham\_99@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 10:27:16 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Debby Ham  
10007 Renfrew Rd.  
Silver Spring, MD 20901

**From:** Joan Seaman <sylvan339@aol.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 10:31:40 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Joan Seaman  
29 Felton St  
Peabody, MA 01960  
508-395-0879

From: amy chamberlain <chamberlainedit@aol.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 10:30:47 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

amy chamberlain  
462 high st  
bath, ME 04530

From: Lawrence Roberts <lroberts@binghamton.edu>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 10:31:48 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Lawrence Roberts  
134 Powderhouse Rd.  
Vestal, NY 13850  
6077857540

**From:** Barbara Duncan <barbaraduncan@hotmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 10:39:26 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Barbara Duncan  
7 Frog Pond Rd.  
Huntington Station, NY 11746

**From:** Christina Elsayed <redviolet108@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 10:32:26 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Christina Elsayed  
191 Seminole Rd.  
191 Seminole Road  
Lafayette, NJ 07848  
201 452-8265

**From:** Barbara Britten <gramof16@metrocast.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 10:42:35 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Barbara Britten  
129 Granny Kent Pond Rd  
Shapleigh, ME 04076  
207-636-7477

**From:** Janice Dollive <janadoll@frontier.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 10:48:59 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Janice Dollive  
12024 Kingsbridge Road  
Fort Wayne, IN 46814  
260-432-9790



From: JP Sarr <dillsburg@verizon.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 10:49:11 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

JP Sarr  
7401 Forrester Ln  
Manassas, VA 20109  
7033930897

From: Carl Martin <carlgmartin@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 10:56:18 AM

We must stop corporations' growing control our food systems. I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Carl Martin  
10 Downing St.  
#1  
Montpelier, VT 05602  
802-225-6387

**From:** Sarah Moore <whimperingpines@hughes.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 10:55:29 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Sarah Moore  
672 Redfield Rd  
Camden, NY 13316  
315-599-7348

From: Tove Stigum <t\_stigum@yahoo.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/03/2011 9:35:36 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Tove Stigum  
20 Timber Lane  
Barrington, NH 03825  
603 833 1437

**From:** Theresa Cohen <theresaecohen@aol.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/03/2011 9:46:47 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Theresa Cohen  
125 Chestnut Street  
125 Chestnut Street  
Concord, MA 01742  
978-371-9623

From: valerie meyers <valerievanharlingen@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/03/2011 9:49:24 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

valerie meyers  
19 grant street  
Liberty, NY 12754  
8452928673

From: Judy Standifer <kstand42@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/03/2011 9:51:11 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Judy Standifer  
146 Outer Drive  
Dingmans Ferry, PA 18328  
507 828 4286

**From:** William Perritt <wjperitt@nycap.rr.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/03/2011 9:53:46 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

William Perritt  
2 Robin Hill Road  
Halfmoon, NY 12118  
518-810-6003



**From:** Natalia Falcone <nataliamarie88@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/03/2011 10:04:32 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Natalia Falcone  
18 jenna ct  
north scituate, RI 02857  
4017436282

From: George Hasapidis <ghasapidis@hotmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/03/2011 10:11:56 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

George Hasapidis  
1 Nomail St  
Woonsocket, RI 02895

**From:** Adrienne Gardner <a\_icequeen@excite.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/03/2011 10:15:32 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Adrienne Gardner  
351 S Franklin Road  
335 Bunker Road  
Mount Airy, NC 27030  
3367897279

From: Janet S. Matthews <jisabellam@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/03/2011 10:37:18 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Janet S. Matthews  
274 No. Centre Ave  
Apt 43B  
Rockville Centre, NY 11570  
5167646164

**From:** Emily Weil <weil@sunycgcc.edu>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/03/2011 10:29:51 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Emily Weil  
1117 Woods Rd.  
Germantown, NY 12526  
518-537-2489

**From:** Diane Dauten <dtroubled@aol.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 11:51:34 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Diane Dauten  
118 Parker Street  
East Longmeadow, MA 01028-3064

**From:** Gigi Goin <gaylgirl@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/03/2011 3:34:44 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Gigi Goin  
PO Box 4463  
Silver Spring, MD 20903

**From:** ryan master <ryanmaster2002@aim.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/03/2011 3:19:34 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

ryan master  
1424 tunbridge rd # 10  
lynchburg, VA 24501



**From:** A.Glenn Trupp <BroknFurniture@aol.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/03/2011 3:56:10 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

A.Glenn Trupp  
221 Treetop Circle  
Nanuet, NY 10954-1021

**From:** W.Vanessa Pomales <vanessa.pomales@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/03/2011 6:43:10 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

W.Vanessa Pomales  
92 10th Street  
Buffalo, NY 14201-1919  
716-854-3196

From: Ann Lamb <AREBECCALAMB@hotmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/03/2011 7:05:48 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Ann Lamb  
2101 Broadway Apt 47  
Watervliet, NY 12189

**From:** Bert Morris <bert1011@earthtones.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/03/2011 7:36:29 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Bert Morris  
76 Mountain Avenue  
Millburn, NJ 07041-2115  
Phone

**From:** maryke petruzzi <pnkrvr@aol.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/03/2011 7:28:54 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

maryke petruzzi  
208 radcliff dr  
nyack, NY 10960

**From:** Kiel Grosch <nj727pilot@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/03/2011 7:26:23 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Kiel Grosch  
134 RT.645  
Sandyston, NJ 07826

**From:** Matt Candelora <mattcandelora@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/03/2011 7:37:02 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Matt Candelora  
69 Rutland Street  
Malden, MA 02148  
781 2236263

**From:** Laura Frame <framelc@hotmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/03/2011 7:41:37 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Laura Frame  
75 Central Pkwy  
Huntington, NY 11743  
631-912-6691



**From:** Hannah N Dring <hndring@skassen.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/03/2011 7:38:21 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Hannah N Dring  
17 Skassen Lane  
17 Skassen Lane  
Harpwell, ME 04079

**From:** david Paquette <davidpaquettejr@comcast.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/03/2011 8:44:12 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

david Paquette  
41 methuen st  
apt 531  
lowell, MA 01854

From: Sue Osborn <ozvorn@hotmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/03/2011 9:28:52 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Sue Osborn  
120 Brook st.  
120 Brook st.  
Hilton, NY 14468

**From:** Harry Aceto <haceto@earthlink.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/03/2011 9:31:22 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Harry Aceto  
411 willow ave.  
411 willow ave.  
Ithaca, NY 14850  
607-273-9788

**From:** Edmond Malone <edmalone1215@aol.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/03/2011 9:45:26 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Edmond Malone  
4 Locust Ave. E.  
West Harrison, NY 10604

**From:** Doug Morris <dfreshmorris@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/03/2011 10:14:15 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Doug Morris  
324 Tern Ct  
Kill Devil Hills, NC 27948

**From:** Miriam Devlin, M.D. <crowmother@earthlink.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/03/2011 11:15:19 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Miriam Devlin, M.D.  
46 Charlie Star lane  
Orland, ME 04472

From: Mark Barta <mrbarta@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/03/2011 10:27:25 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Mark Barta  
844 Garden Ave  
Olean, NY 14760  
7169945498



**From:** brian fallon <briantfallon@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/03/2011 11:27:01 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

brian fallon  
643 west commodore blvd  
jackson, NJ 08527  
732 961-7098

From: Mary Heaton <meheatie@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/04/2011 9:40:13 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Mary Heaton  
6 Northrup Ave  
Norwich, NY 13815  
607 3344876

**From:** Christopher Camaione-Lind <c\_lind198@hotmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/04/2011 11:04:19 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Christopher Camaione-Lind  
108 Asbury Street  
Rochester, NY 14620

From: Tarek Pertew <tpertew@yahoo.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/04/2011 11:17:54 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Tarek Pertew  
Joralemon Street  
Brooklyn, NY 11201

**From:** Charles Daly <ckdstrider@aol.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 8:41:32 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities, like those here in New Jersey, reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot meet the high cost of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the American public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Charles Daly  
517 Newtons Corner Rd  
Howell, NJ 07731  
732 938-2179

**From:** Ana Ortega <aiortega2000@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 8:43:36 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Ana Ortega  
28 Chestnut Hills  
High Falls, NY 12440

**From:** Dorothy Wheeler <bonniew345@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 8:46:58 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Dorothy Wheeler  
301 Academy Dr  
Vestal, NY 13850  
607-748-8728

From: Henry Harriss <hhbuzz@embarqmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 8:49:44 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Henry Harriss  
135 Swan Lane  
Angier, NC 27501



**From:** victoria boucher <victoria.boucher@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 8:54:32 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

victoria boucher  
4101 gallatin st.  
hyattsville, MD 20781

**From:** John Bryn <vertac@aol.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 9:07:18 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

John Bryn  
po Box 368  
Olney, MD 20830

**From:** Danielle Richardet <DanielleLR55@aol.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 9:07:19 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions,

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Danielle Richardet  
9100 Salem Ct.  
Wilmington NC, NC 28411  
910-465-0270

From: Lynda Sudah <lynsudah@yahoo.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 9:30:57 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Lynda Sudah  
2219 Vetter Drive  
Franklin Park, NJ 08823-2629

**From:** Bill Creighton <toda@gmn-usa.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 9:30:31 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Bill Creighton  
74 Bow St  
Freeport, ME 04032

From: lynne azar <zarkey@aol.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 9:33:06 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

lynne azar  
31 lorrie lane  
west windsor, NJ 08550

**From:** Jacquelyn Lasher <riyikes@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 9:35:06 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Jacquelyn Lasher  
196 West View Rd  
middletown, RI 02842

From: Erma Gluck <egbgcats@optonline.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 9:39:48 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Erma Gluck  
236 Birchwood Rd.  
11727  
Coram, NY 11727



**From:** paul garjian <pggarjian@aol.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 9:49:28 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

paul garjian  
2 university plaza  
hackensack, NJ 07620  
2013435400

From: Thomas Coffren <thomascoffren@yahoo.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 9:50:14 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Thomas Coffren  
3225 Lloyd Bowen Road  
Saint Leonard, MD 20685-2411  
4434048536

**From:** Peter Kahn <citizen.kahn@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 10:23:52 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Peter Kahn  
43 Highland Street  
Southborough  
Southborough, MA 01772-1915  
5087099838

**From:** Georgianna Honeycutt <tarheelgirl110@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 10:29:25 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Georgianna Honeycutt  
2160 Wrench Road  
Godwin, NC 28344  
910-990-9690

From: Linda Falcone <howdyhey@comcast.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 10:29:40 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Linda Falcone  
507 Adams Lane  
Southampton, NJ 08088

**From:** Carolyn Deibel <crdcommercial@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 10:31:53 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Carolyn Deibel  
12709 Brushwood Terrace  
12709 Brushwood Terrace  
Potomac, MD 20854-1003  
301 869-6745

**From:** Milly Chang <mpca007@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 11:00:28 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Milly Chang  
17 Dehart Pl  
Elizabeth, NJ 07202  
908 289 0544

**From:** tracy mclarnon <tjroman@optonline.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 11:04:17 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

tracy mclarnon  
177 walnut ave  
bogota, NJ 07603



**From:** Benjamin Allen <ballen777@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 11:22:45 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Benjamin Allen  
10 Sandstone Ct., Apt K.  
Apt K.  
Annapolis, MD 21403

From: Heather Burch <heatherab87@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 11:30:41 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Heather Burch  
PO Box 35  
Stetson, ME 04488  
2072529727

**From:** Anthony Martin Dambrosi <anambrose@netscape.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/03/2011 12:02:32 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Anthony Martin Dambrosi  
19 Broad St  
Middletown, NY 10940-4003  
845 341-0178

**From:** Greg Shortway <gshortway@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 11:44:58 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Greg Shortway  
750 Squaw Brook Road  
North Haledon, NJ 07508-3059

**From:** Michael Rozsics <msrozsics@comcast.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/03/2011 12:03:13 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Michael Rozsics  
216 Hillsboro Drive  
Silver Spring, MD 20902  
301--68-1-12 x13

From: Ava Coleman <adragonam@yahoo.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/03/2011 2:23:39 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Ava Coleman  
276 Avondale Rd  
Rochester, NY 14622  
585-338-3004

**From:** Margaret Rodriguez <usedtothink@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/03/2011 12:10:28 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Margaret Rodriguez  
3831 Milan Dr #4  
Alexandria, VA 22305

From: Sharon Hawks <montycarlo54@comcast.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/03/2011 12:29:56 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Sharon Hawks  
3206 Poplar Knob Rd.  
Galax, VA 24333  
276-236-5570



**From:** Dianne phillips <grandeedi@aol.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/03/2011 4:31:10 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Dianne phillips  
16 cape av  
wareham, MA 02571  
5082955870

From: Max Bustos <Marty1015@aol.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/03/2011 6:40:15 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Max Bustos  
3762 Stage Road  
Concord, VA 24538

**From:** Keith Loomis <kjlloomis@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/03/2011 5:46:05 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Keith Loomis  
5022 West Bluff  
Keuka Park, NY 14478

From: J.C. Frieswijk <Jfrieswyk@earthlink.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/03/2011 7:23:12 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

J.C. Frieswijk  
409 East Lincoln Street  
Ithaca, NY 14850

**From:** lars andersen <lars\_r\_andersen@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/03/2011 7:49:45 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

lars andersen  
6591 route 23  
acra, NY 12405

**From:** kathy pertew <kpertew@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/03/2011 7:07:23 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

kathy pertew  
pob 195  
east norwich, NY 11732

**From:** Rosemarie Chrismon <hering2447@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/03/2011 8:12:29 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Rosemarie Chrismon  
28 brandy lane  
Wappingers Falls, NY 32571

**From:** carly carlin <tootsiepup581@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/03/2011 8:14:32 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

carly carlin  
85 secor road  
scarsdale, NY 10583



From: Jann Cobb <junkbox8@comcast.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/03/2011 8:30:20 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Jann Cobb  
1 Blueberry Hill Rd  
Raymond, NH 03077

**From:** Shereen Gillette <rgillette@nc.rr.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/03/2011 8:41:36 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Shereen Gillette  
4308 Johnston Busbee Wynd  
Raleigh, NC 27612-5335  
9197898634

**From:** Joe Powell <Shonabby9395@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/03/2011 8:35:07 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Joe Powell  
7 Rockridge Road  
Larchmont, NY 10538  
516-967-7487

**From:** Linda Centorrrinio <lmc2va@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/03/2011 9:01:12 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Linda Centorrrinio  
10002 TERRY ST  
FAIRFAX, VA 22031  
7033594891

**From:** Kate Kosek <katrose@frontiernet.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/03/2011 9:01:17 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Kate Kosek  
420 Route 82  
Hopewell Jct., NY 12533

From: Marilyn Fuller <organmf@cs.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/03/2011 9:02:43 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Marilynn Fuller  
248 Blackstone Street  
Bellingham, MA 02019

From: Don Angelini <bonuscup13@yahoo.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/03/2011 9:05:53 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Don Angelini  
413 E. Summit Ave.  
Apt. 2  
Haddonfield, NJ 08033  
(856)-685-7216

**From:** Ted Neumann <theoman@nycap.rr.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/03/2011 9:17:14 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Ted Neumann  
PO BOX 465  
PO BOX 465  
Altamont, NY 12009  
518-861-7148



**From:** Sarah Hill <nightwater16@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/03/2011 9:06:26 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions,

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Sarah Hill  
59 Lowell St  
Somerville, MA 02143

**From:** Don Barth <donna.don@comcast.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/03/2011 9:19:25 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Don Barth  
1202 Stokes Road  
m, NJ 0

From: Heidi Isreal <vegmaster@hotmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/03/2011 9:38:12 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Heidi Isreal  
35 Gregory Lane  
Millwood, NY 10546  
914-941-2077

**From:** Arlene Patoray <arpl205@aol.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 5:26:52 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Arlene Patoray  
55 Azalea Street  
Paramus, NJ 07652

**From:** Susan Wrightsman <suesotheraccount@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 5:24:52 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Susan Wrightsman  
152 N Wolfeboro Rd  
Wolfeboro, NH 03894

**From:** Robert Sala <drummer@eclipse.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 5:26:09 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Robert Sala  
96 Summerfield Rd  
Belvidere, NJ 07823

**From:** loren devito <lorendevito@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 5:29:46 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

loren devito  
262 pearl st  
cambridge, MA 02139

**From:** MaryEllen McKeen <memckeen@hotmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 5:52:38 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

MaryEllen McKeen  
36 Canal Street, Apt. 405  
Somersworth, NH 03878  
603-841-3546



From: Terri Black <blackcat00@juno.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 6:04:02 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Terri Black  
10 Charlotte Dr  
Bridgewater, NJ 08807

From: doug klein <dlgskln@aol.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 6:13:06 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

doug klein  
100 burgoyne rd  
schuylerville, NY 12871

**From:** Milton Butterworth <mbutterworth3@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 6:19:23 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Milton Butterworth  
1525 Dawnview Dr.  
Hendersonville, NC 28791

**From:** Angela Sinclair <angiegassst1@hotmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 6:22:13 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Angela Sinclair  
Catonsville  
Catonsville, MD 21228

**From:** Paul and Miriam Ezust <ezust@mcs.suffolk.edu>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 6:22:03 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Paul and Miriam Ezust  
70 Wendell Street  
Cambridge, MA 02138-1965  
0000000000

From: f.b. sendker <contessagems@yahoo.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 6:24:13 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

f.b. sendker  
6 Cannon Forge Dr  
foxboro, MA 02035  
5085430971

From: Kathryn Culley <kathzoks@yahoo.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 6:28:00 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Kathryn Culley  
165 Pearl  
Pearl St  
Kingston, NY 12401  
845 853 8227

**From:** noreen delsanno <crabmusic@comcast.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 6:23:34 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

noreen delsanno  
7 apple lane  
whiting, NJ 08759  
7323502892



**From:** Ann Brochhagen <ann.brochhagen@henryschein.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 6:33:01 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Ann Brochhagen  
11 Van Buren St  
Massapequa Park, NY 11762

**From:** Sean Sullivan <seanzs@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 6:37:13 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such,

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Sean Sullivan  
17-3B Winding Wood Drive  
Sayreville, NJ 08872  
7322664531

**From:** LAUREN BELANGER <ABELANGER1@COX.NET>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 6:30:16 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

LAUREN BELANGER  
2 NEWALL TERRACE  
2 NEWALL TERRACE  
WESTERLY, RI 02891  
401 348-9548

**From:** Collin Thomas <fancymon@hotmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 6:57:23 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Collin Thomas  
6 South Lake Ave.  
Apt. 21-N  
Albany, NY 12203  
5182106192

**From:** Joseph DeNatale <cat.5@verizon.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 6:51:33 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Joseph DeNatale  
61 Crescent Ave  
Arlington, MA 02474  
781 643.1292

**From:** Wendy Fast <ttouch22@me.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 6:57:46 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Wendy Fast  
8406 Green Rd.  
none  
Dansville, NY 14437

**From:** M. Sharon Gambocorto <msharong@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 7:01:55 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

M. Sharon Gambocorto  
8435 Quadrant Ln  
8435 Quadrant Ln  
Baldwinsville, NY 13027  
315.622.5209

**From:** louise bikoff <lr bikoff@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 7:00:48 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

louise bikoff  
14 Algonquin Drive  
Huntington Station, NY 11746



**From:** Amanda Huber <hubera06@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 7:04:44 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Amanda Huber  
501 Washington St  
Apt 206  
Buffalo, NY 14203  
716-491-0988

**From:** Judith Bertonneau <jandgbertonneau@hotmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 7:28:14 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Judith Bertonneau  
PO Box 146  
3 Chippewa Loop  
Brant Lake, NY 12815

**From:** john coyne <john.w.coyne@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 7:21:20 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

john coyne  
447 scruton pond rd  
Barrington, NH 03825

**From:** Chelsey Brown <lfs3000@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 7:32:42 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Chelsey Brown  
4529 Kimberly Way  
Wilmington, NC 28403  
9102703

**From:** Therese Wilson <theresew2003@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 7:35:45 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Therese Wilson  
2066 Mecklenburg Rd.  
Ithaca, NY 14850

**From:** Tina Lynch <tclynx@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 7:45:59 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Tina Lynch  
10078 Green Clover Dr  
Ellicott City, MD 21042

**From:** M Byzz <mobuzz3@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 7:51:14 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

M Byzz  
PO Box  
Rock Hill, NY 12775

From: Kent Lupton <redbuckeye@juno.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 8:10:09 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Kent Lupton  
613 may court  
Gastonia, NC 28054



**From:** Janice Dlugosz <gjjak52@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 8:12:42 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Janice Dlugosz  
409 Compass Ave  
Beachwood, NJ 08722

**From:** adelaida ravinsky <adelya13@aol.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 8:20:29 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

adelaida ravinsky  
217 colby place  
Morganville, NJ 07751

**From:** Cynthia Eisner <cynthie2@hotmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 8:34:40 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Cynthia Eisner  
9 Gover Rd.  
Millbury, MA 01527

**From:** Karim Pertew <karimpertew@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 8:41:24 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Karim Pertew  
3 Clifford Place  
East Norwich, NY 11732  
5163175384

**From:** suzanne dolwick <jsmccoll131@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 10:58:11 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

suzanne dolwick  
3225 camden circle  
wilmington, NC 28403  
843-607-3356

**From:** WILLIAM SEESER <aplainoleboy1@aol.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 11:01:03 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

WILLIAM SEESER  
201 Rawlins Dr.  
Summerville, SC 29485  
843-270-1851

From: William Hull <billhull@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 11:01:17 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

William Hull  
1340 Tullo Road  
Martinsville, NJ 08836

From: Linda Griggs <griggsquaker@hotmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 11:02:38 AM

I am alarmed about the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions. This threatens small fisherman the way it is written.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Linda Griggs  
147 Mosley Drive, Apt 2  
Syracuse, NY 13206  
315-479-8157



From: L DiLorenzo <time\_wise@hotmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 11:03:57 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

L DiLorenzo  
4031 Songbird Lane  
4031 Songbird Lane  
Hillsborough, NC 27278  
919-967-4142

**From:** Debbie Barr <deb01742@comcast.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 11:03:10 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Debbie Barr  
606 Strawberry Hill  
true  
Concord, MA 01742-5422  
9782870627

From: bill kelly <bkelly1@optonline.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 11:06:37 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

bill kelly  
pob 784  
Sag Harbor, NY 1963

**From:** Dawn MacMillan <dawnmacmillan@msn.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 11:07:15 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Dawn MacMillan  
266 River Oak Dr.  
Danville, VA 24541

**From:** Mallika Henry <mallikahenry@mac.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 11:12:37 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Mallika Henry  
18 Broad St.  
Cambridge, NY 12816  
067-146-6149

From: Greg Shortway <gshortway@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 11:07:52 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Greg Shortway  
750 Squaw Brook Rd  
North Haledon, NJ 07508-3059

**From:** Deirdre Reilly <djureilly@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 11:13:13 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Deirdre Reilly  
Fremont St  
Malden, MA 02148

From: Ingrid Yogaratnam <iyoga@aol.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 11:13:37 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Ingrid Yogaratnam  
21 Gardenia Lane  
21 Gardenia Lane  
Narragansett, RI 02882-1454  
401 782 8000



**From:** Carol Walker <carwalker@comcast.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 11:14:15 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions. Fishermen who work independently are pushed out of business through this method!!

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living, introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Carol Walker  
29 Jefferson Street  
29 Jefferson Street  
Winthrop, MA 02152  
(617) 846-3608

From: KT Snyder <cathiesue48@hotmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 11:17:38 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

KT Snyder  
430 South Street  
Gorham, ME 04038

From: Dawn Nelson <dawnnelson@mac.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 11:17:30 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Dawn Nelson  
PO Box 855  
Nyack, NY 10960

**From:** Joanne Gura <Jgura@rocketmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 11:29:46 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Joanne Gura  
158 Forest Road  
158 Forest Road  
Wallkill, NY 12589  
845-564-7997

**From:** Barry Moore <blmoore618@aol.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 11:30:41 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Barry Moore  
65 No. Fullerton Ave. #22  
Montclair, NJ 07042-3834  
973-746-6196

**From:** Howard McCoy <hwmccoy@hughes.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 11:32:41 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Howard McCoy  
400 Indiantown Farm Lane  
Centreville, MD 21617-2358  
410-758-2199

From: D Hall <shadow\_mystique@hotmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 11:32:10 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

D Hall  
105 Logan Street  
Mocksville, NC 27028

**From:** Bradley Eardley <nephewbradley@hotmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 11:32:50 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Bradley Eardley  
44 Belvedere Road  
Boxford, MA 01921  
513-886-6856



**From:** Karen Rubin;o <rubin0113@aol.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 11:34:28 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Karen Rubin;o  
113 Iceland Dr.  
S. Huntington, NY 11746

**From:** marilyn weltman <marilyn.weltman@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 11:35:01 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

marilyn weltman  
3161 brighton 6th st  
brooklyn, NY 11235  
718 646 1574

From: Karla Huard <thewitchskitchen@happyhippie.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 11:37:11 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Karla Huard  
30 Trafalgar Square  
PO Box 591  
South Barre, MA 01074-0591  
9783554043

**From:** Robert Niederhoff <niederhoffr@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 11:37:14 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Robert Niederhoff  
1000 Tulip Street  
Liverpool, NY 13088

From: kristin masters <kristinrmasters@hotmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 11:39:57 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

We know that catch=and-trade doesn't work: it drives small-scale fishermen out of work and gives control to corporate fisheries, who as we have seen, have no commitment to sustainability, as this interferes with profit.

The seas and the fish in them are one of the commons and access to them should never be privatized.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

kristin masters  
1490 Betty Brook Rd.  
South Kortright, NY 13842-2102  
6075389423

**From:** ellen guzzi <ellenguzzi@live.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 11:48:07 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

ellen guzzi  
590 warfield rd.  
no. plainfield, NJ 07063  
908 756 4989

**From:** Colleen Forrester <colleen.forrester@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 11:47:52 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Colleen Forrester  
5512 ivor street  
Springfield, VA 22151  
7038631325

**From:** Jessica Lueders-Dumont <talkstodiatoms@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 11:48:44 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Jessica Lueders-Dumont  
1008 Monroe St  
pocatello, VT 05443



**From:** Marie-Louise Jackson-Miller <marieljm1961@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 11:51:04 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Marie-Louise Jackson-Miller  
63 Gay Street  
Quincy, MA 02169-6602

**From:** Gunta Alexander <guntale@comcast.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 11:49:18 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Please don't do to small fishermen what has been done to small farmers by agribusiness.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Gunta Alexander  
199 Midland Ave.  
Midland Ave.  
Glen Ridge, NJ 07028  
973 748-8220

**From:** Michaela Redden <michaela@computerguru.org>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 11:51:36 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Michaela Redden  
8 Fraesco Lane  
Norwood, NJ 07648

From: Linda Brebner <lbbreb@aol.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 11:59:09 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Linda Brebner  
254 Highland Parkway  
254 Highland Parkway  
Rochester, NY 14620  
585-473-1414

**From:** Arthur Firth <AFIRTH@carolina.rr.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 12:00:59 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Arthur Firth  
1011 Emerald Bay Drive  
Salisbury, NC 28146-1586  
7046333069

From: Stephen Spaulding <sjs@rascils.mv.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 12:04:08 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Stephen Spaulding  
32 Fletcher Lane  
Hollis, NH 03049

From: Maribeth Ortega <nicacenit@rcn.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 12:08:52 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Maribeth Ortega  
76 denny rd  
76 Denny Rd Chestnut Hill 02467  
Chestnut Hill, MA 02467

**From:** Meagan Benz <meaganebenz@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 12:15:31 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Meagan Benz  
5211 Autumn Woods Drive  
Greensboro, NC 27407  
336 8348591



From: richard altice <jaltice54@yahoo.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 12:28:48 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

richard altice  
8459 abilene rd  
farmville, VA 23901  
434 2234204

**From:** Gretchen Gillung-Fontanez <gretchengillung@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 12:35:50 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Gretchen Gillung-Fontanez  
56 Beverly Hill Dr.  
Shrewsbury, MA 01545

**From:** mye Figueroa Wilson <miabyss13@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 12:38:26 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

mye Figueroa Wilson  
2045 w windgate ct  
Jacksonville  
Jacksonville, NC 28546  
2522677527

From: trina Bassoff <catbass@midtel.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 12:39:58 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

trina Bassoff  
P.O. Box 156  
N. Blenheim, NY 12131  
5188275922

**From:** Josha Nathan <joshanathan@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 12:46:17 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Josha Nathan  
PO Box 601  
Blue Hill, ME 04614

From: merry mcloryd <merry@aspiritledlife.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 12:49:45 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

merry mcloryd  
308 orchard dr.  
monroe, NY 10950

**From:** Carlos Oropeza <carlos\_oropezal@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/02/2011 12:50:35 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Carlos Oropeza  
106 Ava Ave  
Somerdale, NJ 08083

From: Judith Lunario <inkydinkydo@att.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 1:00:41 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Judith Lunario  
154 Pearsall Dr  
2C  
Mount Vernon, NY 10552  
914-668-1422



From: Carlos Oropeza <carlos\_oropezal@yahoo.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/02/2011 12:50:35 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Carlos Oropeza  
106 Ava Ave  
Somerdale, NJ 08083

From: georgia johnson <gdt.johnson@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/07/2011 7:41:07 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

georgia johnson  
11 country club lane  
brockton, MA 02301  
5085876343

From: Morgan Earp <maearp@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/07/2011 2:46:51 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Morgan Earp  
10715 Bedford town Drive  
Raleigh, NC 27614  
(919)-605-5428

From: Diane Dauten <dtroubled@aol.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 11:51:34 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Diane Dauten  
118 Parker Street  
East Longmeadow, MA 01028-3064

**From:** Angelica Falcinelli <aafalcine@smith.edu>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/04/2011 12:34:36 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Angelica Falcinelli  
302 Broadway  
1 Chapin Way  
Ulster Park, NY 12487  
8453090606

**From:** Stephen Evans <outhouse161@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/04/2011 5:49:57 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Stephen Evans  
161 Spring Lane  
Paramus, NJ 07652

**From:** Elaine Ruocco <e.ruocco@kennedyhealth.org>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/04/2011 12:38:47 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Elaine Ruocco  
201 Laurel Oak Rd  
Voorhees, NJ 08043

From: Scott Simontacchi <scott.j.simontacchi@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/04/2011 12:49:25 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Scott Simontacchi  
25 Granada Drive  
Morris Plains, NJ 07950



**From:** Susan Lubianez <lubianes@ummhc.org>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/04/2011 12:42:12 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Susan Lubianez  
16 Wakefield st  
Worcester, MA 01605

From: Capt. Leslie davis sr <info@captstacy.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/04/2011 1:08:36 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response,  
Capt. Leslie M. Davis Sr.

Capt. Leslie davis sr  
p.o. box 3013  
atlantic beach, NC 28512

**From:** laura spickett <lauramicaell@hotmail.co>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/04/2011 1:19:44 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

laura spickett  
5022-12 hunt club road  
wilmington, NC 28405  
2527254373

**From:** Linda Morey <lmorey52@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/04/2011 2:06:13 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Linda Morey  
16 delta  
oxford, NJ 07933  
908 647 4194

**From:** Catherine Ramirez <ChibiK629@hotmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/04/2011 2:25:51 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Catherine Ramirez  
754 Union St  
Rahway, NJ 07065

**From:** Marianne Mukai <mmukai@stny.rr.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/04/2011 4:07:07 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for your attention and for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Marianne Mukai  
20 Elm St  
Delhi, NY 13753

From: patty campbell <patty.treespirit@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/04/2011 2:37:46 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

patty campbell  
378 Broadway  
Apt A  
Saratoga Springs, NY 12866  
518-583-4104

**From:** Micheline Cipoletti <mirocip@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/04/2011 4:12:46 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Micheline Cipoletti  
65RevereDrive  
65 Revere Drive Sayville N.Y.  
Sayville, NY 117821354



**From:** Oswald Sykes <stingray53@aol.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/04/2011 5:00:16 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Oswald Sykes  
P.O. BOX 189  
P.O. Box 189, Delmar, New York 12054  
DELMAR, NY 12054  
5184394121

**From:** Emily Doutre <edoutre@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/04/2011 4:47:08 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Emily Doutre  
5 Irving Street, #3  
#3  
Somerville, MA 02144  
4844672506

**From:** Barbara Williams <Barbwilliams44@suddenlink.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/04/2011 5:49:14 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Barbara Williams  
203 Nyon Court  
203 NYON COURT  
New Bern, NC 28562  
252 634-9733

**From:** Helga Spector <helspec@comcast.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/04/2011 12:10:41 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Helga Spector  
28 Spring St.  
Somerset, NJ 08873  
(732) 828-6471

**From:** lea mac leod <jumeau5341@AOL.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish -  
**Date:** 02/04/2011 1:15:56 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

lea mac leod  
239 princeton ave.  
#3  
amherst, NY 14226-3064  
716-832-0958

**From:** Laura Stringer <theavonchica@aol.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/04/2011 1:57:12 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Laura Stringer  
166 mike ct  
n/a  
Elkton, MD 21921  
4439451263

**From:** Benjamin Lascelle <benlascelle@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/04/2011 6:41:42 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Benjamin Lascelle  
59 W River Dr. Apt. 39  
Manchester, NH 03104-1991  
8573666578

**From:** Ann Marie Dunn <amd57432@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/04/2011 5:15:49 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Ann Marie Dunn  
1186 Thornhill Dr  
Sylva, NC 28779  
7571234567



**From:** Elaine Annunziata <magicmaker500@optonline.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/04/2011 7:38:40 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Elaine Annunziata  
20 Jessup Ln  
Stony Point, NY 10980  
845-942-2391

From: deborah eisenberg <deb929@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/04/2011 7:51:47 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

deborah eisenberg  
929 N sanford Rd  
929 N Sanford Rd  
afton, NY 13730  
607-467-5472

**From:** Bonnie Wise <bonwise@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/04/2011 8:05:11 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Bonnie Wise  
7607 boulevard east  
Second Floor  
north bergen, NJ 07047  
201-987-0987

**From:** Eileen Decker1 <eileendecker@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/04/2011 7:58:00 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Eileen Decker1  
159 S. 2nd St.  
Lindenhurst, NY 11757  
6318880179

**From:** Thomas Laco <alaco423@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/03/2011 11:28:29 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Thomas Laco  
603 Sasser St.  
Raleigh, NC, NC 27604  
828.719.0814

**From:** David Stewart <lildstew@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/03/2011 7:51:18 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

David Stewart  
22 Shaw Drive  
Rochester, NH 03868  
603-978-3306

**From:** Stefanie Royal <ladyroyal@hotmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/03/2011 11:50:30 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Stefanie Royal  
3221 Clifftmont Ave  
Baltimore, MD 21213

From: Betty Ford <bfordx2@verizon.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/04/2011 8:32:53 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Betty Ford  
12603 Dawnridge Ct.  
Midlothian, VA 23114



**From:** Matt Stedman <matt@nativeplants.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/04/2011 9:22:26 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Matt Stedman  
23 Gloucester Avenue  
Montauk, NY 11954-5237  
6316686124

From: Sharon Boxley <shar881@comcast.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/04/2011 9:00:36 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Sharon Boxley  
PO Box 1301  
Marlton, NJ 08053

**From:** Jacqueline Birnbaum <jacqueline.birnbaum@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/04/2011 9:37:13 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Jacqueline Birnbaum  
311 Bronxville Road  
Bronxville, NY 10708  
914-961-6953

**From:** Tony Buontempo <tbuontempo@peoplepc.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/03/2011 5:02:04 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Tony Buontempo  
1800 Grier Ave  
1800 grier ave  
Linden, NJ 07036  
9084860688

From: Melissa Bishop <mmorgal0@yahoo.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/03/2011 7:46:00 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Melissa Bishop  
24 Church St.  
Deposit, NY 13754  
(607) 217-9145

**From:** Denise Bence <denise818@care2.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/03/2011 7:42:55 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Denise Bence  
P.O.Box 274  
W.Hyannisport, MA 02672

**From:** Evelyn Griffith <jancole49@verizon.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/03/2011 8:15:42 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Evelyn Griffith  
5044 Tara Dr  
Fredericksburg, VA 22407  
540 786 4246

**From:** Edward Herbst <edwardherbst7@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/03/2011 8:16:21 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Edward Herbst  
621 Algerie Rd  
621 Algerie Rd., E. Otis, MA  
East Otis, MA 01029  
413-269-4201



**From:** Donald Harland <dharland1@hughes.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/03/2011 8:38:15 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Donald Harland  
PO Box 2080  
Candler, NC 28715  
828-665-9247

From: June Perez <Juneperez@comcast.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/03/2011 8:43:38 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

June Perez  
328 Elm Ave  
Nj, 08016  
Burlington, NJ 08016  
732-226-3643

**From:** MINDY LAMANTIA <TKINKADEOFPJ@AOL.COM>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/03/2011 10:40:07 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

MINDY LAMANTIA  
79 NORWOOD AVENUE  
NORTHPORT, NY 11768  
631-239-5699

From: a.k. & anka jhangiani <karesjhangiani@yahoo.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/03/2011 5:00:34 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

a.k. & anka jhangiani  
2071 golf course drive  
reston, VA 20191-3840

**From:** Mary Pettengill <mkpetteng@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/03/2011 4:55:32 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Mary Pettengill  
402 Ascot Lane  
402 Ascot Lane  
Blacksburg, VA 24060  
517-614-2674

**From:** C. Krimmelbein <ckrimmelbein@hotmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/03/2011 5:05:03 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

C. Krimmelbein  
421 French Partridge Lane  
421 French Partridge Lane  
Biltmore Lake, NC 28715  
8286651230

**From:** Joy Labudzinski <jateneyck@verizon.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/03/2011 5:47:43 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Joy Labudzinski  
110 Sleight Plass Rd  
Poughkeepsie, NY 12603

**From:** Vasilios Kovoros <NYCVasilis@optonline.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/03/2011 6:03:14 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Vasilios Kovoros  
1207 Whitehall Lane  
Wantagh, NY 11793



**From:** Mary Anne McCormack <mamc1500@optonline.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/03/2011 6:08:13 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Mary Anne McCormack  
1500 Route 9G  
Hyde Park, NY 12538  
845-233-4703

From: Loy Lauden <greenriver1@hughes.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/03/2011 10:41:35 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Loy Lauden  
688 Blueberry Farm Rd  
Zirconia, NC 28790  
828-693-7027

**From:** Sue Poppe <leia176@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/03/2011 11:01:14 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Sue Poppe  
176 Orient Way  
176 Orient Way  
Rutherford, NJ 07070

**From:** William G. Gonzalez <Figaro1209@univision.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/03/2011 11:15:11 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

William G. Gonzalez  
200 Dashew Dr.,  
Apt.A-15  
Airmont, NY 10901-4201  
845-300-6522

**From:** Joshua Van Deventer <italiandutchman@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/03/2011 11:14:04 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Joshua Van Deventer  
5334 Cartwright Ave.  
Goodview, VA 24095

From: jane edsall <jedsall2003@yahoo.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/03/2011 11:15:26 AM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

jane edsall  
box 144  
mt. sinai, NC 11766

**From:** Athena Batsios <guns6n6roses6@hotmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/03/2011 12:42:28 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Athena Batsios  
25 Lake Ave  
25 Lake Ave  
Nassau, NY 12123  
518-248-8618

**From:** Susan Moriarty <smoriarty@frontier.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/03/2011 12:11:16 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Susan Moriarty  
531 Cedarwood Terr  
Rochester, NY 14609



**From:** Cassandra Chen <cassiechen98@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/03/2011 12:44:01 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Cassandra Chen  
32 Briarhurst Dr  
Tonawanda, NY 14150  
585-278-6532

**From:** J. Adam Bailey <bajadam2002@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/03/2011 12:55:30 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

J. Adam Bailey  
8 South Cross Rd.  
Gill, MA 01354

From: meryl dror <meryljoy@aol.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/03/2011 1:05:47 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

meryl dror  
351 richard ct  
pomona, NY 10970  
9144190750

**From:** Therese Wilson <theresew2003@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/03/2011 1:24:05 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Therese Wilson  
2066 Mecklenburg Rd.  
Ithaca, NY 14850-9357

From: julie phalon <juliephalon@msn.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/03/2011 1:45:56 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

julie phalon  
13031 brookmead dr  
manassas, VA 20112  
703-791-2788

**From:** Jordan Lahanas <jkl3092@rit.edu>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/03/2011 1:43:19 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Jordan Lahanas  
1395 Genesee St  
Rochester, NY 14611

**From:** david j. lafond <djlafond@verizon.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/03/2011 3:14:10 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

david j. lafond  
70 Brown Avenue  
Holyoke, MA 01040-3502  
413-534-1736

**From:** Andrejs jansons <jansonsa@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 7:57:48 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Andrejs jansons  
73 Glenwood Ae  
73 Glenwood Ave  
Leonia, NJ 07605  
201 944-1273



**From:** elvin stewart <budward@windstream.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 9:43:24 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

elvin stewart  
120 West Third St.#605  
Jamestown, NY 14701  
716 665 6143

**From:** Martha Scott <zakiyyams@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 12:54:40 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Martha Scott  
13 Tiburon Court  
13 Tiburon Court  
Annapolis, MD 21403-1527  
4102957550

**From:** Edward Bielaus <ljbar@msn.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 1:00:27 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Edward Bielaus  
6912 Breezewood ter  
Rockville, MD 20852

**From:** Alexa Ogno <adogno@alum.mit.edu>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 1:02:20 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Alexa Ogno  
182 Federal St  
Salem, MA 01970

**From:** Wade Glenn II <wade.glenn@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 1:03:24 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Wade Glenn II  
9535 Kings Grant Rd.  
Laurel, MD 20723  
3013658543

**From:** christopher carbone <ccarbone@abrconsultants.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 1:15:46 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

christopher carbone  
129 n. broadway  
camden, NJ 08102  
Phone

**From:** Erin Sinisgalli <erinwis@msn.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 1:34:37 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Erin Sinisgalli  
19 Anna Ct  
Selkirk, NY 12158  
518 330-4412

From: Angie Guevara <astridjulv@gmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 1:36:31 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Angie Guevara  
26 Willis St. Apt. #5  
Framingham, MA 01702  
774-279-1087



**From:** Merelyn Dolins <merelyndolins@verizon.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 12:48:40 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Merelyn Dolins  
10 Brookside Rd  
Maplewood, NJ 07040-1202

**From:** Tara Escudero <taraescudero@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 12:48:42 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Tara Escudero  
72 Day St  
Fredonia, NY 14063  
6314286794

From: Ann Hoag <annhoag@yahoo.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 12:48:56 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Ann Hoag  
8 Rachael Ave.  
Madison, NJ 07940  
973-822-0068

**From:** Carol Casey <caseyc@cua.edu>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 12:48:59 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Carol Casey  
2213 Canary Court  
Baltimore, MD 21231  
202-316-9633

**From:** Jennifer Sigler <njgap@hotmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 12:48:59 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Jennifer Sigler  
723 County Road 625  
Hampton, NJ 08827  
9087139304

From: Danielle Benz <Danib60@hotmail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 12:49:01 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Danielle Benz  
361 Forest Lane  
Smithtown, NY 11787

**From:** Ghazale Jamsheed <gjamsheed@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 12:49:15 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Ghazale Jamsheed  
143 Parsons Street  
Brighton, MA 02135  
07979064966

**From:** John Downing <jp99down@hotmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 12:49:07 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

John Downing  
300 W Franklin St  
Apt 906W  
Richmond, VA 23220  
540-327-5535



**From:** Deborah Dobski <ddobski@earthlink.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 12:49:16 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Deborah Dobski  
PO Box 7  
Haines Falls, NY 12436

**From:** David Turner <psalter103@aol.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 12:49:17 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

David Turner  
45 Rocco Street, Apt. C6  
Belleville, NJ 07109

**From:** Kerry Sullivan <kerrybsullivan@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 12:49:18 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Kerry Sullivan  
72 Gilford Ave.  
72 Gilford Ave  
Laconia, NH 03246  
603-524-1285

**From:** Susan McLoughlin <smcloughlin@hueber-breuer.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 12:49:18 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Susan McLoughlin  
9614 Black Creek RD  
Brewerton, NY 13029  
3152832969

**From:** Susan Wambsganss <swambsganss@earthlink.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 12:49:27 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Susan Wambsganss  
1660 Whisperhill Dr.  
Reston, VA 20194

From: Mary Hall-Fisk <HallstonManor@windstream.net>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 12:49:24 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Mary Hall-Fisk  
3721 Ver Plank Road  
Clay, NY 13041  
315-436-1038

**From:** Gamaliel Lodge <gamaliel\_lodge@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 12:49:30 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Gamaliel Lodge  
Bolling Ave  
Charlottesville, VA 22902

From: Thomas Blanton <tebmtn@embargo@mail.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 12:49:31 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Thomas Blanton  
P. O. Box 1152  
Granite Falls, NC 28630  
8283130960



**From:** Suzanne Maresca <smaresca@hotmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 12:49:35 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Suzanne Maresca  
29 Northwood Rd.  
Lake Hopatcong, NJ 07849

**From:** Rebeca Torres-Rose <rebecato@hotmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 12:49:43 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Rebeca Torres-Rose  
221 10th St.  
Troy, NY 12180

**From:** Vickey Tsai <vickey.tsai@gmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 12:49:48 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Vickey Tsai  
24 Windsor Place  
24 Windsor Place  
Old Tappan, NJ 07675  
201-281-0046

**From:** Thea DuBow <trdl@westchestergov.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 12:49:51 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Thea DuBow  
260 Garth Road  
Scarsdale, NY 10583  
914 472-1110

**From:** rachel schumacher <rachelzschumacher@comcast.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 12:50:01 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

rachel schumacher  
179 Belle Marsh Rd.  
south Berwick, ME 03908

From: Myrna Sak <jasmine@nycap.rr.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 12:50:04 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Myrna Sak  
29 Luther Road  
Saratoga Springs, NY 12866  
518-584-6242

**From:** Jeffery Meyer <gratefulredhead2@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 12:50:08 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Jeffery Meyer  
210 Cleveland Ave  
Ithaca, NY 14850

**From:** Dennis M. Goldstein <dennisgoldstein2003@yahoo.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 12:50:14 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Dennis M. Goldstein  
455 Diamond Spring Road  
Denville, NJ 07834  
862-209-1071



**From:** Jamie Greer <myinnerstrength@mac.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 12:50:15 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Jamie Greer  
10 Highland Place  
West Orange, NJ 07052

From: John Krumrein <ann-john@artisansart.com>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 12:50:16 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

John Krumrein  
p.o.box 261  
Lincolntonville, ME 04849  
207789

**From:** Ismet Kipchak <Ismet@nyblackcar.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 12:50:31 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Ismet Kipchak  
7 Fifth Street  
Nesconset, NY 11767  
6313603820

**From:** Jamie Strait <erthmthr@optonline.net>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 12:50:36 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Jamie Strait  
16 Hillside Dr  
Bloomington, NJ 07403  
973-492-8915

**From:** Sheila Kilpatrick <sheil-ak@hotmail.com>  
**To:** monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
**Date:** 02/01/2011 12:50:53 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

Sheila Kilpatrick  
1860 wolfsnare  
va. beach, VA 23454

From: marilyn anderson <manderson@igc.org>  
To: monkfisha6@noaa.gov  
Subject: Opposition to catch shares for monkfish  
Date: 02/01/2011 12:50:56 PM

I am writing to express my opposition to the development of a catch share program to regulate monkfish in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions.

Catch shares threaten the ability of small-scale fishermen to make a living. Introducing a plan for monkfish could have disastrous consequences by reducing jobs in coastal communities reliant on a diverse fishing fleet and active ports. Catch shares result in the consolidation of the fishery, driving out those who cannot pay the high price of shares.

Catch shares essentially privatize access to fishing, facilitating the control of our fisheries by private interests. But our fisheries are a public trust resource and should be managed as such.

If the councils move forward with a catch share program, they should adopt a Fair Fish approach that does not privatize the resource. Shares should not be transferable, prices for quota should create incentives to maintain the participation of small-scale fishermen and the public should be ensured a fair return for the use of their resource.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your response.

marilyn anderson  
34 nicholson St.  
rochester, NY 14620