

**NEFMC Research Steering Committee management review worksheet**

**Project title:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Leader:** \_\_\_\_\_

**RSC Reviewer:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Review date:** \_\_\_\_\_

*1) Has there been a sufficient technical review of the project results and, if so, is that information available to the Research Steering Committee?*

*2) Did the project accomplish all of its stated goals and objectives?*

*3) Are project deliverables available and formatted for use by the Council and its technical committees?*

*4) Does the project address an immediate management need or contribute to a long-term strategy to rebuild and sustain stocks?*

*5) Does the project support past work and/or provide new information?*

*6) Does it point to a management action not in place now, or offer an innovative solution to a problem?*

*7) Did the project elucidate other information not specifically stated in the goals and objectives?*

*8) Is there a need for further work or follow-on research such as wider field-testing?*

*9) Who is the appropriate end-user and are there recommendations/caveats about how this information should be used?*

*10) Overall rating based on the above criteria: excellent, very good, good, fair, or poor.*

*11) Additional comments.*