CORRESPONDENCE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration National Marine Fisheries Service Northeast Fisheries Science Center Woods Hole Laboratory 166 Water Street Woods Hole, MA 02543

22 November 2019

Mr. Thomas Nies New England Fishery Management Council 50 Water Street, Mill 2 Newburyport, MA 01950 tnies@nefmc.org

Dear Mr. Nies,

Thank you for your July 3, 2019 letter requesting "...the NEFSC provide observer deployment data at the vessel level for groundfish trips to better understand concerns about differences in coverage rates between vessels." The Northeast Fisheries Science Center (NEFSC) welcomes the opportunity to present the technical and operational details of observer deployment in the Northeast Multispecies Fishery (groundfish). The observer deployment topic is complex, involving multiple monitoring programs with differing sampling designs, a multitude of regulatory exemptions from these monitoring programs, and the operational realities of managing observer programs of which ensuring observer safety is paramount. A comparison of coverage rates between vessels is informed by an understanding of these complexities.

Background

The groundfish fishery uses a combination of observer programs to achieve ASM target coverage levels mandated by the groundfish FMP, which presents unique observer deployment challenges. There are currently four separate at-sea observer sampling programs (or tiers) covering the groundfish fishery in support of Fishery Management Plan (FMP) sector coverage objectives: 1) Standardized Bycatch Reporting Methodology (SBRM) which is fulfilled using Northeast Fisheries Observer Program (NEFOP) coverage; 2) Marine Mammal Protection Act (MMPA) which is fulfilled using Limited NEFOP (NEFOP Limited) coverage; and Sector At-Sea Monitoring (ASM) which can be fulfilled using either 3) industry-funded ASMs or 4) Electronic Monitoring (EM) in lieu of human ASMs. The three types of coverage that count towards the groundfish ASM coverage levels are NEFOP, ASM, and EM. NEFOP Limited coverage is only deployed on groundfish vessels fishing with gillnet gear during certain periods of the year (there were 87 NEFOP Limited trips in FY 2018 and there have been 72 trips to date in 2019). EM can be used as both a surrogate of ASM coverage (Partial EM Program), and as a means of exempting a vessel from ASM coverage (Audit Model EM Program). Trips that are exempt from

ASM coverage are not included when evaluating ASM coverage levels - these include trips eligible for the Framework 55 (FW55) extra-large mesh gillnet exemption, as well as fishing trips conducted under certain Exempted Fishing Permits, or EFPs (e.g., Audit Model EM Program, Maximized Retention). Some EFPs may also exempt trips from SBRM coverage (e.g., Maximized Retention).

All observer selections in the groundfish fishery are accomplished using the NEFSC's Pre-Trip Notification System (PTNS). The PTNS employs a stratified random sampling approach for the deployment of observers in each of the three sampling programs, or tiers, currently deployed on the groundfish fishery (NEFOP, ASM, EM). Each of the selection tiers is broken up into sampling strata, with strata defined by certain trip characteristics (gear, port region, fishing region, sector, etc.). The sampling design varies across sampling programs (i.e., the stratification design used in SBRM is different than the one used for ASM).

Fishery observers are deployed based on the characteristics of the fishing trip, not on the identity of the vessel (i.e., do the trip characteristics meet the criteria of an observer program and a defined sampling stratum within that program?). A vessel's trips may be subjected to different observer sampling programs and sampling strata depending on the variability in a vessel's fishing practices (gear types fished, fishing area, etc.). The realized coverage a vessel experiences is a product of the aggregate coverage across all trips taken by a vessel over the course of a fishing year. The observer selection/deployment experience of each vessel is specific to that vessel's operations and thus, comparing vessel-level coverage rates should be done considering the variety of observer sampling programs and sampling strata.

We have identified several explanations for varying coverage rates between vessels, including:

- Trips were subjected to a different combinations of sampling programs (e.g., some trips were eligible for only SBRM selection, while other trips were eligible for both SBRM and ASM selection).
- Trips were subjected to the same sampling program(s), but occurred in different sampling strata and coverage levels (e.g., two trips were both eligible for SBRM selection but were fishing different gear types, and therefore associated with different SBRM fleets with different sea day allocations and coverage levels). Using the example of the 2019 SBRM sampling program, Figure 1 demonstrates how the distribution of vessel-level coverage rates within a sampling program can vary widely due to differences in the strata-specific coverage targets.

 Differences in coverage rates arising from several factors including random variation, observer unavailability, vessel non-compliance and/or observer avoidance behavior, and observer provider preference for certain vessels or avoidance of others (i.e., deployment effects).

The interaction of the ASM and SBRM sampling programs leads to increased complexity in calculating ASM coverage. To date, in the 2019 fishing year there have been 51 unique combinations of SBRM and ASM selection outcomes, with combinations having differing coverage levels, including exemptions from one or both programs (Fig. 2). Only those combinations subjected to the ASM sampling program would count towards the monitoring of the ASM coverage targets (i.e., 31% in FY 2019). Figure 2 highlights that some collection of trips within a sector will receive more than the mandated 31% combined SBRM and ASM coverage, while others will receive less. This variability in coverage stems from the interaction of the SBRM and ASM sampling programs. The groundfish FMP specifies that the ASM coverage targets are evaluated at a sector-level, and not at the strata and vessel-levels that better reflects the individual vessel experience. The sector coverage rate average reflects an average across all SBRM/ASM trip combinations occurring within a sector (Fig. 2).

Analysis

To provide observer deployment data at the vessel level for groundfish trips we have analyzed the observer deployment data available within our Pre-Trip Notification System (PTNS). A major upgrade was made to our PTNS system at the start of FY 2018, which allows for improved tracking of the trip selection process and coverage metrics. For this reason, our analysis is restricted to FYs 2018 and 2019. Our analysis includes non-canceled trips eligible for either the SBRM or ASM sampling programs. Trips that are exempt from an observer sampling program have been excluded from the appropriate coverage rate calculations (i.e., trips that are exempt from ASM, but not SBRM would be included in our calculation of SBRM coverage rates, but excluded from the calculation of ASM coverage rates). In FY 2018 the PTNS notification compliance rate was 95.1% (4.9% of the trips or 379/7809 failed to notify) compared to 98.2% in FY 2019 (1.8% of the trips or 86/4782 failed to notify).

To facilitate comparisons across the observer sampling programs and sampling strata, we have normalized vessel-level coverage using a z-score approach. The z-score approach is helpful for placing coverage estimates from different observer sampling programs and sampling strata on a similar scale. A z-score is a measure of the number of standard deviations an individual observation is from the group mean. For example, a z-score of 0 would indicate that a vessel's realized coverage was identical to the stratum mean, and a z-score of 1 would indicate that a

vessel's realized coverage was +1 standard deviation from the mean. A z-score can only be calculated when 3 or more vessels were active within a stratum. The z-score approach can obscure large differences, or accentuate small differences (e.g., a vessel whose coverage rate is at 1% relative to a stratum mean coverage rate of 2% may appear similar to a vessel whose coverage rate is at 10% relative to a stratum mean of 20%).

The results of our analysis are shown in Figure 3. An important feature of the coverage distribution is that vessel-level coverage converges on the stratum mean as a vessel takes more fishing trips. This is a design feature of the PTNS that has been previously documented (Palmer et al. 2013). The revised PTNS also includes a 2nd stage selection process to further reduce inter-vessel variability which further reduces inter-vessel variability beyond what is documented in Palmer et al. (2013). Of those vessels with 20 or more trips, 91.3% of the vessel-level coverage rates were within \pm 1 standard deviation of the stratum mean, with the percentage increasing to 94.3% for vessels with 50 or more trips. The \pm 1 standard deviation measure is arbitrary – there is currently no defined metric to objectively categorize coverage as 'equitable' or 'inequitable'.

This analysis does provide a description of the relative variation of vessel-level coverage within individual sampling strata, and how the variability changes as a function of vessel activity. We have integrated these types of analyses into a dashboard utility contained in the revised PTNS — these tools allows to us to monitor observer deployment patterns in real-time, and then work with vessels, sector managers, and service providers to better understand and address any identified issues.

Efforts to promote equitability

The NEFSC takes the issue of potentially inequitable coverage seriously and monitors coverage rates closely. Factors that can contribute to varying coverage rates include vessel safety deficiencies, vessel non-compliance (i.e., failure to notify), and observer provider preference or avoidance. Of the non-cancelled PTNS trips, 1.5% of trips (115/7809) and 0.6% (29/4782) of the trips were waived of coverage for safety deficiencies in FY 2018 and 2019, respectively.

We actively work with all our program participants (vessel owners, captains, sector managers, observer service providers, and observers) to limit the influence of deployment effects on vessel-level coverage. To proactively prevent this, the provider cannot see the vessel name at trip offering, only after accepting the trip. To address any possible issues that arise in a timely fashion, sector managers, providers and Agency staff communicate no less than monthly about realized coverage, trip offerings and acceptance, cancellation rates and individual vessel level

coverage. Individual vessel challenges are discussed and if an issue is identified, we work together to resolve it.

The NEFSC would welcome the opportunity to discuss this topic in more depth with your Groundfish Plan Development Team, or other relevant Council committee. If you would like to further discuss observer deployment issues, please contact Amanda McCarty, Fishery Monitoring and Research Division Chief, at 508-495-2341, or Amanda.McCarty@noaa.gov.

References

Palmer MC, Hersey P, Marotta H, Shield GR, Cierpich SB. 2013. The design, implementation and performance of an observer pre-trip notification system (PTNS) for the northeast United States groundfish fishery. US Dept Commer, Northeast Fish Sci Cent Ref Doc. 13-21; 82 p. Available from: National Marine Fisheries Service, 166 Water Street, Woods Hole, MA 02543-1026, or online at http://nefsc.noaa.gov/publications/

Figures




Figure 1. Vessel-level realized coverage rates as a function of fishing activity (number of trips) for the 2019 Standardized Bycatch Reporting Methodology (SBRM) sampling program. There are currently 7 active strata (i.e., SBRM 'fleets') in the 2019 SBRM sampling program operating in the groundfish fishery. Each dot represents a single vessel's activity within a sampling stratum (i.e., if a vessel fished in multiple sampling strata it would be represented by multiple dots on the plot). Target coverage rates can vary widely across sampling strata - the PTNS target coverage rate settings for the SBRM sampling program currently range from 2% (1001) to 50% (1002). Data are current as of November 14, 2019.




Figure 2. Summary of the SBRM and ASM selection outcome combinations experienced by groundfish trips in the 2019 fishing year, through November 14, 2019. The x-axis displays the unique combinations of SBRM and ASM selection strata groundfish trips were subjected to. The values represent the SBRM stratum identifier followed by the ASM stratum identifier. Values of '[NULL]' indicate an exemption from that sampling program. Data are summarized by combination group to provide the mean realized coverage of each combination, and the number of trips and vessels within each. Only combinations where the ASM identifiers are not null count toward the monitoring of ASM coverage targets.




Figure 3. Normalized (z-score) vessel-level realized coverage rates as a function of fishing activity (number of trips) across all 2018 and 2019 Standardized Bycatch Reporting Methodology (SBRM) and At-Sea Monitoring (ASM) sampling strata. The data reflect the distribution of vessel-level coverage across 115 distinct sampling strata. Dots near the solid red line at 0 represent vessels with coverage near the stratum mean. The dashed red line represents ± 1 standard deviation from the stratum mean. Data are current as of November 14, 2019.


Sustainable Harvest Sector

PO Box 356, So. Berwick ME 03908 | 207-956-8497 | www.groundfish.org

November 19, 2019

Dr. John Quinn, Chair New England Fishery Management Council 50 Water Street, Mill 2 Newburyport, MA 01950


Dear Dr. Quinn,



NEW ENGLAND FISHERY

MANAGEMENT COUNCIL

Our sectors' trawlers are historically reliant on availability of plaice in the Gulf of Maine; the membership holds about a third of the sector PSC for this stock. It is the #1 stock landed by our small-boat fleet, comprising nearly 25% of all groundfish landed by them:



This fleet has had some recent success targeting the abundant GOM haddock resource (as well as redfish, though the figure shown above warrants a caveat -80% of the redfish catch shown came from one vessel). However, two of the top four stocks (GOM cod and white hake) are slated for quota reductions, so our small trawlers must seek alternatives. The healthy plaice stock represents one of those, and if there is no biological reason to constrain the catch, we request the Council provide access to that fish.

Sincerely,

Hank Soule Sector Manager

10 11/20/19

ASSOCIATED FISHERIES OF MAINE

PO Box 287, South Berwick, ME 03908

November 18, 2019

Dr. John Quinn, Chair New England Fishery Management Council

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

Dear John:

The Associated Fisheries of Maine writes to convey our concern with the process used by both the PDT and the SSC to set ABC specifications for the groundfish fishery.

NEW ENGLAND FISHERY MANAGEMENT COUNCIL

The Amendment 16 ABC control rule states (in part):

- a) ABC should be determined as the catch associated with 75% Fmsy.
- b) If fishing at 75% Fmsy does not achieve the mandated rebuilding requirements for overfished stocks, ABC should be determined as the fishing mortality that meets rebuilding requirements (Frebuild).

For every stock where there is an accepted model, the PDT offered two options to the SSC:


- 1) the 75% Fmsy projections and
- 2) an option to hold the ABC constant.

Inexplicably, the PDT alternatives to hold the ABC constant also propose using the lowest ABC value generated by the projections, which in all cases was the 2nd or 3rd year projection, even though it is well understood that the further out in time the projections extend, the less reliable or the more uncertain those projections become.

In the case of American plaice, both haddock stocks, and pollock, the PDT offered, and the SSC accepted a suggestion to hold the lowest value of the ABC constant. These stocks are rebuilt, and no overfishing is occurring, and therefore should be subject to the control rule as described in part (a) above, and not the constant ABC calculation.

The Council's Operations Handbook provides guidance on scenarios when the Council could remand a decision back to the SSC:

The Council may remand back to its Scientific and Statistical Committee the SSC's recommendations based on the following criteria: (a) failure of the committee to follow the terms of reference provided to it by the Council; (b) an error, in fact or omission, in the materials provided to the committee; (c) an error in fact in the calculations, if any, undertaken by the Committee in developing an ABC recommendation; and (d) failure of the committee to follow its standard operating procedures.



We request that the Council remand the ABC recommendations for American plaice, both haddock stocks, and pollock back to the SSC based on (b) of the remand guidance – the PDT's error in recommending a constant ABC approach for these stocks in contradiction of the control rule and part (d) of the remand guidance - the SSC's failure to follow the control rule.

Furthermore, we strongly urge the Council to develop transparent guidelines in the control rule for use of the constant ABC calculation, including misgivings about holding the least reliable projection constant (i.e. the projections associated with the 2nd or 3rd year).

As always, we appreciate your consideration of our views.

Sincerely,

M. Raymond

Maggie Raymond, Executive Director Associated Fisheries of Maine

	•		
·			



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

NATIONAL MARINE FISHERIES SERVICE GREATER ATLANTIC REGIONAL FISHERIES OFFICE 55 Great Republic Drive Gloucester, MA 01930-2276

NOV 0 4 2019

Thomas A. Nies Executive Director New England Fishery Management Council 50 Water Street Mill 2 Newburyport, MA 01950



Dear Tom:

We are nearing completion of the groundfish year-end accounting for the 2018 fishing year, and the draft report is attached to this letter. We are still working with the State of Maine to confirm the final catch data for Atlantic halibut and, therefore, that information is not included in the report. We will provide you with a final report as soon as possible. All other data included in the draft report can be considered final. For the 19 stocks included in the attached draft report, fishing year 2018 catch did not exceed any of the annual catch limits (ACL).

Windowpane Flounder Sub-Annual Catch Limits

The sub-ACLs and catch for the groundfish and scallop fisheries allocations of windowpane flounder are shown in Table 1. The scallop fishery exceeded its sub-ACL for northern windowpane flounder, and the groundfish fishery exceeded its sub-ACL for southern windowpane flounder. The total ACL was not exceeded for either stock. For the scallop fishery, the sub-ACL for northern windowpane flounder was exceeded by less than 50 percent. Therefore, accountability measures are not triggered for either stock of windowpane flounder.

Table 1: Fishing year 2018 windowpane flounder catch limits and catch (mt) for the groundfish and scallop fisheries.

Stock	Overall ACL	Groundfish	Scallop
Northern Windowpane Flounder			
Catch Limit	86	63	18
Catch	56.7	33.3	22.3
Catch as a Percent	65.9%	52.8%	123.7%
of the Catch Limit	03.970	32.870	123.770
Southern Windowpane Flounder			
Catch Limit	457	53	158
Catch	454.7	66.5	157.1
Catch as a Percent	99.5%	125.4%	99.5%
of the Catch Limit	99.3%	123.4%	99.3%



While we are still working to finalize the 2018 year-end accounting by completing our analysis of Atlantic halibut catch, we wanted to provide the catch report for all other stocks to you as quickly as possible to support development of Framework 59. If you have any questions on the report, please contact Peter Christopher, Groundfish Team Supervisor, at (978) 281-9288.

Sincerely,

Michael Pentony

Regional Administrator

cc: Dr. Jon Hare, Science and Research Director, Northeast Fisheries Science Center

Enclosure

Northeast Multispecies Fishery

Final Year-End Results for Fishing Year 2018

- Tables 1 through 5: Total groundfish caught, landed, and discard estimates*
- Table 6: Estimated state water catch*
- Tables 7-9: Other sub-component catch detail*
- Table 10: FY 2016 through FY 2018 GOM cod and haddock recreational catch evaluation
- Table 11: Sector carryover
- Tables 12 through 17: U.S./Canada stocks catch evaluation
- 'Atlantic halibut amounts TBD

In this report: a table cell value of "0" or "0.0" indicates a non-zero value in the cell. "-" is displayed for values exactly equal to zero. Blanks are shown when there are no values. "NA" is displayed when no value is applicable.

Table 1: FY 2018 Northeast Multispecies Percent of Annual Catch Limit Caught (%)

		Compon	ents with ACI	s and sub-ACLs	3: With Account	Components with ACLs and sub-ACLs: With Accountability Measures (AMs)	AMs)		Sub-components: No AMs	its: No AMs
Stock	Total	Groundfish Fishery	Sector	Common Pool	Recreational	Midwater Trawl Herring Fishery	Scallop Fishery	Small Mesh Fisheries	State Water	Other
	A to H	A+B+C	A	В	D C	Q	E	F	Ð	Н
GB Cod	58.4	61.6	71.1	26.0					50.2	29.0
GOM Cod	75.7	75.7	86.7	48.8	8.99				80.7	51.8
GB Haddock	11.5	11.5	11.6	1.4		6.5			3.5	24.6
GOM Haddock	29.1	28.6	32.8	33.8	17.7	1			54.1	94.1
GB Yellowtail Flounder	19.7	14.7	14.9	-			87.5	2.5	NA	NA
SNE Yellowtail Flounder	22.3	19.6	19.9	18.1	×		7.67		8.6	20.5
CC/GOM Yellowtail Flounder	52.0	42.8	43.3	32.3					108.6	70.8
Plaice	9.69	68.3	9.89	49.1		8			6.99	131.7
Witch Flounder	95.6	92.6	6.76	2.96					9.99	112.7
GB Winter Flounder	59.1	57.5	57.9	1					NA	79.3
GOM Winter Flounder	54.6	25.7	26.7	6.4					200.9	189.4
SNE/MA Winter Flounder	56.9	48.4	50.1	35.6				3.0	21.8	120.5
Redfish	48.9	49.9	50.1	2.3					2.2	3.8
White Hake	75.6	7.97	77.2	8.1					1.3	54.1
Pollock	10.9	9.3	9.4	2.2					119.7	54.0
Northern Windowpane	629	52.8	NA	NA			123.7		20.3	22.9
Southern Windowpane	99.5	125.4	NA	NA			99.5		93.1	94.0
Ocean Pout	44.8	18.2	NA	NA					14.5	157.2
Halibut										
Wolffish	1.9	1.8	NA	NA					3.9	5.5

Source: NMFS Greater Atlantic Regional Fisheries Office October 25, 2019, run date of July 22, 2019

These data are the best available to NOAA's National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS). Data sources for this report include: (1) Vessels via VMS; (2) Vessels via vessel logbook reports; (3) Dealers via Dealers via Dealer Electronic reporting; (4) Observers and at-sea monitors via the Northeast Fisheries Observer Program. Differences with previous reports are due to corrections made to the database.

Page 2 of 20

Table 2: FY 2018 Northeast Multispecies Annual Catch Limits (mt)

	THE PERSON	Comp	onents with ACI	Components with ACLs and sub-ACLs:		With Accountability Measures (AMs)	AMs)		Sub-components: No AMs	nts: No AMs
Stock	Total ACL	Groundfish	Sector ¹	Common Pool ¹	Recreational	Midwater Trawl Herring Fishery	Scallop Fishery	Small Mesh Fisheries	State Water	Other
	A to H	A+B+C	A	В	ي ي	D	Ξ	F	Ð	Н
GB Cod	1,519	1,360	1,170	24					16	143
GOM Cod	999	610	357	12	220		*		47	6
GB Haddock	46,312	44,659	44,340	319		089			487	487
GOM Haddock	12,409	12,096	8,641	86	3,358	122			95	95
GB Yellowtail Flounder	206	188	185	3			15	4	NA	0.0
SNE Yellowtail Flounder	99	43	35	8			3		2	17
CC/GOM Yellowtail Flounder	490	398	381	17					51	41
Plaice	1,649	1,580	1,552	28					35	35
Witch Flounder	948	849	811	18			**		40	09
GB Winter Flounder	787	731	725	9					NA	57
GOM Winter Flounder	428	357	339	18					<i>L</i> 9	4
SNE/MA Winter Flounder	700	518	456	62	8			:	73	109
Redfish	10,986	10,755	10,705	20					116	116
White Hake	2,794	2,735	2,715	21					29	29
Pollock	38,204	37,400	37,170	230		2	-		402	402
Northern Windowpane	98	63	NA	- 63			18		2	3
Southern Windowpane	457	53	NA	53			158		28	218
Ocean Pout	120	94	NA	94				4 5 3	3	23
Halibut	100	77	NA	77					21	2
Wolffish	84	82	NA	82			a .		1	1

¹To account for overages of the 2016 ACLs for GB cod, GOM cod, and witch flounder, the following sub-ACLs were reduced midyear: GB cod (sector and common pool), GOM cod (sector only), and witch flounder (sector and common pool)

Values in metric tons of live weight

Source: NMFS Greater Atlantic Regional Fisheries Office October 25, 2019

Table 3: FY 2018 Northeast Multispecies Total Catch (mt)

Stock	Total Catch	Groundfish Fishery	Sector	Common Pool	Recreational	Midwater Trawl Herring Fishery	Scallop Fishery ¹	Small Mesh Fisheries	State Water	Other
	A to H	A+B+C	A	В	2	D	Ε	F	Ö	H
GB Cod	887.3	837.9	831.6	6.3					8.0	41.5
GOM Cod	504.5	461.9	309.2	5.8	146.9				. 37.9	4.7
GB Haddock	5,324.3	5,143.7	5,139.2	4.4		43.9		10	17.1	119.7
GOM Haddock	3,605.9	3,465.1	2,837.1	33.0	595.0	ı			51.4	89.4
GB Yellowtail Flounder	40.5	27.6	27.6	76			12.7	0.1	Ī	0.0
SNE/MA Yellowtail Flounder	14.7	8.5	7.0	1.5			2.6		0.2	3.5
CC/GOM Yellowtail Flounder	254.7	170.3	164.8	5.5		1			55.4	29.0
Plaice	1,147.9	1,078.4	1,064.7	13.7					23.4	46.1
Witch Flounder	906.1	811.8	794.1	17.7					26.6	9.29
GB Winter Flounder	465.1	419.9	419.9						ī	45.2
GOM Winter Flounder	233.9	91.7	9.06	1.1		n			134.6	7.6
SNE/MA Winter Flounder	398.0	250.7	228.7	22.0					15.9	131.3
Redfish	5,369.1	5,362.1	5,360.9	1.2					2.6	4.4
White Hake	2,113.1	2,097.1	2,095.4	1.7					0.4	15.7
Pollock	4,179.1	3,480.8	3,475.8	5.0					481.1	217.3
Northern Windowpane	56.7	33.3	33.0	0.3			22.3	40	0.4	0.7
Southern Windowpane	454.7	66.5	49.7	16.8			157.1		26.1	205.0
Ocean Pout	53.7	17.1	17.0	0.1					0.4	36.2
Halibut										
Wolffish	1.6	1.5	1.4	0.1					0.0	0.1

'Based on scallop fishing year April 2018 through March 2019

Values in metric tons of live weight

Sector and common pool include estimate of missing dealer reports

Source: NMFS Greater Atlantic Regional Fisheries Office

October 25, 2019, run date of July 22, 2019

and/or stock area. These are northern windowpane, southern windowpane, ocean pout, halibut, and Any value for a non-allocated species may include landings of that stock or misreporting of species wolffish. These data are the best available to NOAA's National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS). Data sources for this report include: (1) Vessels via VMS; (2) Vessels via vessel logbook reports; (3) Dealers via Dealer Electronic reporting; (4) Observers and at-sea monitors via the Northeast Fisheries Observer Program. Differences with previous reports are due to corrections made to the database.

Table 4: FY 2018 Northeast Multispecies Landings (mt)

Stock	Total Landings	Groundfish Fishery	Sector	Common Pool	Recreational	Midwater Trawl Herring Fishery	Scallop Fishery	Small Mesh Fisheries	State Water	Other
	A to H	A+B+C	A	В	2	D	Е	F	Ð	Н
GB Cod	871.8	833.2	827.1	6.1					7.4	31.3
GOM Cod	352.1	310.8	302.8	3.6	4.3				37.7	3.7
GB Haddock	4,763.8	4,708.6	4,704.1	4.4		43.9			10.6	0.7
GOM Haddock	3,314.6	3,243.9	2,787.1	32.9	423.9	i	i		50.0	20.7
GB Yellowtail Flounder	27.4	27.4	27.4	•			•	Ĭ		ı
SNE/MA Yellowtail Flounder	7.5	7.3	5.8	1.5		1.0			0.1	0.1
CC/GOM Yellowtail Flounder	205.2	149.0	144.1	4.9					55.0	1.3
Plaice	1,042.8	1,019.7	1,008.1	11.7	(1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)				21.9	1.2
Witch Flounder	778.9	753.3	747.2	6.1					25.1	0.5
GB Winter Flounder	419.7	419.3	419.3						E	0.4
GOM Winter Flounder	225.5	89.3	88.2	1.1					133.6	2.6
SNE/MA Winter Flounder	269.7	247.7	226.5	21.2					14.7	7.3
Redfish	5,299.1	5,294.3	5,293.3	1.0					1.0	3.8
White Hake	2,088.5	2,086.1	2,084.6	1.6	6				0.1	2.2
Pollock	3,633.7	3,374.0	3,369.2	4.8					187.2	72.5
Northern Windowpane	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	2		-		1	1
Southern Windowpane	18.8	0.0	ř	0.0		4.		. Y	18.8	0.0
Ocean Pout	1	Ţ	ï	-					4	1
Halibut					3		a a			
Wolffish	ir	ı		1					1	1

Sector and common pool include estimate of missing dealer reports Values in metric tons of live weight

Source: NMFS Greater Atlantic Regional Fisheries Office

October 25, 2019, run date of July 22, 2019

and/or stock area. These are northern windowpane, southern windowpane, ocean pout, halibut, and Any value for a non-allocated species may include landings of that stock or misreporting of species wolffish. These data are the best available to NOAA's National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS). Data sources for this report include: (1) Vessels via VMS; (2) Vessels via vessel logbook reports; (3) Dealers via Dealers via Dealer Electronic reporting; (4) Observers and at-sea monitors via the Northeast Fisheries Observer Program. Differences with previous reports are due to corrections made to the database.

Table 5: FY 2018 Northeast Multispecies Estimated Discards (mt)

Stock	Total Discards	Groundfish Fishery	Sector	Common Pool	Recreational	Midwater Trawl Herring Fishery	Scallop Fishery	Small Mesh Fisheries	State Water	Other
	A to H	A+B+C	A	В	С	D	Е	F	Ð	Н
GB Cod	15.5	4.7	4.4	0.2					<i>L</i> .0	10.2
GOM Cod	152.4	151.1	6.4	2.2	142.6			9	0.2	1.0
GB Haddock	9.095	435.1	435.1	0.0		•			6.5	119.0
GOM Haddock	291.3	221.2	50.0	0.1	171.1	1			1.4	68.7
GB Yellowtail Flounder	13.1	0.2	0.2	1			12.7	0.1	T	0.0
SNE/MA Yellowtail Flounder	7.3	1.1	1.1	0.0			2.6		0.1	3.4
CC/GOM Yellowtail Flounder	49.5	21.4	20.8	9.0					4.0	27.7
Plaice	105.1	58.7	56.7	2.0					1.5	44.9
Witch Flounder	127.2	58.5	46.9	11.6		8			1.5	67.1
GB Winter Flounder	45.3	9.0	9.0	-						44.8
GOM Winter Flounder	8.4	2.4	2.4	0.0	•				1.0	5.0
SNE/MA Winter Flounder	128.3	3.0	2.3	8.0					1.2	124.0
Redfish '	70.0	67.8	67.7	0.2		2			1.6	9.0
White Hake	24.7	11.0	10.9	0.1					6.0	13.4
Pollock	545.5	106.8	106.6	0.2					293.9	144.8
Northern Windowpane	9.95	33.3	33.0	0.3			22.3		0.4	0.7
Southern Windowpane	435.9	66.5	49.7	16.8			157.1		7.3	205.0
Ocean Pout	53.7	17.1	17.0	0.1					0.4	36.2
Halibut										
Wolffish	1.6	1.5	1.4	0.1					0.0	0.1

Sector and common pool include estimate of missing dealer reports

Source: NMFS Greater Atlantic Regional Fisheries Office

October 25, 2019, run date of July 22, 2019

These data are the best available to NOAA's National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS). Data sources for this report include: (1) Vessels via VMS; (2) Vessels via vessel logbook reports; (3) Dealers via Dealer Service (NMFS). Data sources for this report include: (1) Vessels via VMS; (2) Vessels via vessel logbook reports; (3) Dealers via Dealer Service (1) Dealers via the Mortheast Fisheries Observer Program. Differences with previous reports are due to corrections made to the database.

Table 6: FY 2018 Northeast Multispecies Estimated State Water Sub-Component Catch Detail (mt)

		Total			Commercial	Action to the second		Recreational	
Stock	Catch	Landings	Discard	Total Catch	Landings ¹	Discard ¹	Total Catch	Landings	Discard
	A+B+C+D	A+C	B+D	A+B	A	В	C+D	2	D
GB Cod	8.0	7.4	0.7	2.5	2.4	0.1	5.5	4.9	9.0
GOM Cod	37.9	37.7	0.2	37.9	37.7	0.2	*,	*	*,
GB Haddock	17.1	10.6	6.5	17.1	10.6	6.5		9	
GOM Haddock	51.4	50.0	1.4	51.4	50.0	1.4	*.	*	*
GB Yellowtail Flounder	1	1	ī	-	-	Ī			
SNE/MA Yellowtail Flounder	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1			
CC/GOM Yellowtail Flounder	55.4	55.0	0.4	55.4	55.0	0.4			
Plaice	23.4	21.9	1.5	23.4	21.9	1.5			
Witch Flounder	26.6	25.1	1.5	26.6	25.1	1.5	8		9
GB Winter Flounder	1		-	ı	•	-			
GOM Winter Flounder	134.6	133.6	1.0	106.5	106.4	0.1	28.1	27.2	1.0
SNE/MA Winter Flounder	15.9	14.7	1.2	14.6	14.5	0.1	1.3	0.2	1.1
Redfish	2.6	1.0	1.6	2.6	1.0	1.6			
White Hake	0.4	0.1	0.3	0.4	0.1	0.3		т.	
Pollock	481.1	187.2	293.9	9.9	3.5	3.1	474.5	183.7	290.8
Northern Windowpane	0.4	1	0.4	0.4	•	0.4			
Southern Windowpane	26.1	18.8	7.3	26.1	18.8	7.3			
Ocean Pout	0.4	1	0.4	0.4		0.4	120		×
Halibut									
Wolffish	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	i	0.0			

^{*}Recreational catch of GOM cod and haddock in state waters is attributed to the recreational sub-ACL (see Tables 1 - 5), and so is not included above.

Source: NMFS Greater Atlantic Regional Fisheries Office

logbook reports; (3) Dealers via Dealer Electronic reporting; (4) Observers and at-sea monitors via the Northeast Fisheries Observer Program. Differences with previous reports These data are the best available to NOAA's National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS). Data sources for this report include: (1) Vessels via VMS; (2) Vessels via vessel are due to corrections made to the database.

¹January through April 2019 commercial catches are estimated.

State discard rate estimates based on discard rates on federal trips

Values in metric tons of live weight

October 25, 2019, run date of September 27, 2019

Table 7: FY 2018 Northeast Multispecies Other Sub-Component Catch Detail (mt)

H	0.0	S	0.5	7		0	w	2	0.5		6	0.0	3.5	2.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	Г	0.0
RESEARC	.0	3.5	0	20.7		0.0	1.	1-	0		6.0	0	3.	2.	0	0	0	0		Ö
REDCRAB RESEARCH	0.0				0.0				0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.1		
MONKFISH	0.4	0.1	0.3	0.0	i	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.1		0.0	0.5	0.0	0.1	0.3	0.0	1:1	0.0		0.0
MENHADEN MONKFISH	0.0	1	0.0	•	ī	0.0	1	0.0	0.0			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0
MACKEREL	0.0		6.0	0.2		0.0		0.2	0.3		1	9.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	ı	6.0	0.2		0.0
LOBSTER/ CRAB2	0.0	0.0		1	ı	1	3	ı			0.0	0.0		0.0			1			
HERRING	0.0	0.3	0.5*	2.8*	*0.0	0.0	1.0	0.1	0.2	6.0	6.0	0.3	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.2		0.0
HAGFISH	0.0	0.0		0.7	0.0		0.2		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	7	į
FLUKE	0.1	0.0	2.8	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	3.8	0.0	9.0	1	0.0	23.6	0.7		0.0
SCALLOP	9.7	0.3	13.4	0.0	*	*,	11.8	25.7	31.7	34.8	2.7	52.5	0.0	1.9	0.4	*,	*	4.8		0.0
Total	41.5	4.7	119.7	89.4	0.0	3.5	29.0	46.1	9.79	45.2	9.7	131.3	4.4	15.7	217.3	2.0	205.0	36.2		0.1
Stock	GB Cod	GOM Cod	GB Haddock	GOM Haddock	GB Yellowtail Flounder	SNE Yellowtail Flounder	CC/GOM Yellowtail Flounder	American Plaice	Witch Flounder	GB Winter Flounder	GOM Winter Flounder	SNE Winter Flounder	Redfish	White Hake	Pollock	Northern Windowpane	Southern Windowpane	Ocean Pout	Halibut	Wolffish

Values in metric tons of live weight

Source: NMFS Greater Atlantic Regional Fisheries Office

Oct. 25, 2019, run date of Sept. 17, 2019

Based on scallop fishing year April 2018 through March 2019

Landings only. Discard estimates not applicable. Lobster/crab discards were not attributed to the ACL, consistent with the most recent assessments for these stocks used to set the respective quotas.

*Some or all catch attributed to separate sub-ACL as shown in Tables 1 through 5, and so is not included above.

taken by vessels fishing in the Northeast. Further analysis should be completed to definitively attribute groundfish catch to an These criteria are used by the Greater Atlantic Regional Fisheries Office (GARFO) to categorize trips to attribute groundfish catch for groundfish ACL accounting. By necessity these rules cannot capture the full complexity of categorizing every trip FMP for management purposes.

These data are the best available to NOAA's National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS). Data sources for this report include: (1) Vessels via VMS; (2) Vessels via vessel logbook reports; (3) Dealers via Dealer Electronic reporting. Differences with previous reports are due to corrections made to the database.

Table 7: FY 2018 Northeast Multispecies Other Sub-Component Catch Detail (mt)

Stock	Total	SCUP	SHRIMP	SQUID	SQUID/ WHITING	SURFCLAM	WHELK/	WHITING	WHITING UNCATEGORIZED	RECREATIONAL
GB Cod	41.5	0.1	0.0	8.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.0	31.6
GOM Cod	4.7			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	. 0.3	*
GB Haddock	119.7	2.9	0.1	73.3	7.2	1.0	ı	0.2	16.8	
GOM Haddock	89.4	•	-	0.0	4.2	0.2	0.1	5.5	55.0	*
GB Yellowtail Flounder	0.0	0.0	*	*0.0	0.0	1		ı	*0.0	
SNE Yellowtail Flounder	3.5	0.4	0.0	1.3	0.1	0.0		0.0	6.0	
CC/GOM Yellowtail Flounder	29.0	1	-	6.0	7.5	0.1	0.0	2.5	3.6	
American Plaice	46.1	0.0	0.0	14.0	1.4	0.2	'	0.1	3.0	
Witch Flounder	9.29	1.0	0.0	23.9	2.4	0.3	0.0	0.2	6.1	
GB Winter Flounder	45.2	0.0	-	4.1	5.3		,	1	0.0	
GOM Winter Flounder	9.7	*		0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.3	8.0	1.8
SNE Winter Flounder	131.3	3.5	0.1	47.9	3.2	8.0	0.0	0.1	14.1	4.1
Redfish	4.4	0.0	0.0	9.0	0.1	0.0		0.0	0.2	
White Hake	15.7	9.0	0.0	6.2	0.7	. 0.1	0.0	0.0	3.3	
Pollock	217.3	1	0.0	9.0	0.1	0.0	1	0.0	0.4	214.7
Northern Windowpane	0.7	0.0		0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	
Southern Windowpane	205.0	24.8	0.1	7.86	7.2	2.5		0.2	45.2	
Ocean Pout	36.2	8.0	0.0	21.2	2.2	0.3	0.1	0.2	5.3	
Halibut										
Wolffish	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	

Source: NMFS Greater Atlantic Regional Fisheries Office Oct. 25, 2019, run date of Sept. 17, 2019

*Some or all catch attributed to separate sub-ACL as shown in Tables 1 through 5, and so is not included above.

groundfish ACL accounting. By necessity these rules cannot capture the full complexity of categorizing every trip taken by vessels fishing in the Northeast. Further analysis should be completed to definitively attribute groundfish catch to an FMP for management purposes. These criteria are used by the Greater Atlantic Regional Fisheries Office (GARFO) to categorize trips to attribute groundfish catch for

via VMS; (2) Vessels via vessel logbook reports; (3) Dealers via Dealer Electronic reporting. Differences with previous reports are due to These data are the best available to NOAA's National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS). Data sources for this report include: (1) Vessels corrections made to the database.

Table 8: FY 2018 Northeast Multispecies Other Sub-Component Landings Detail (mt)

Stock	Total	SCALLOP	FLUKE	HAGFISH	HERRING	LOBSTER/ CRAB	MACKEREL	MACKEREL MENHADEN MONKFISH REDCRAB RESEARCH	MONKFISH	REDCRAB	RESEARCH
	31.3	9.0	0.0	-		0.0	-	I	0.2		0.0
	3.7		1	,	τ	0.0	1	1	0.1	1	3.5
	0.7	0.0	0.1	1	*,	ı	1	į	0.0	12	0.5
G .	20.7	1	1	1	*,		1		1	1	20.5
GB Yellowtail Flounder	Î	*,					1		1	į	1
SNE Yellowtail Flounder	0.1	*.	0.0	ſ		ı	1	1	,	1	,
CC/GOM Yellowtail Flounder	1.3	,	1	1	1	1	1	í	,	1	1.3
American Plaice	1.2	•		-	ļ	,		Î	1	ı	1.2
8	5.0	0.0	0.0	-			1	r	1	ļ	0.5
GB Winter Flounder	0.4	0.4		-	1				1	,	,
GOM Winter Flounder	2.6	2	0.0	L	1	0.0	ı	,		j.	0.0
SNE Winter Flounder	7.3	1.2	0.6	=		0.0	E.	•	0.0		
	3.8	,	0.0	-	-			1	1.	1	3.5
	2.2		0.0	-	ı	0.0		i	0.0	1	2.0
	72.5		-	-	1	1		1	0.1	ı	6.0
Northern Windowpane	1	*,	•	1	1	1	3		1	1	1
Southern Windowpane	0.0	*-			î.	1	1	ī	1	Î	
		-	1	-	1	ı		1	Ĭ	1	ı
	1	-	-	1	•	1			Ĭ		

¹Based on scallop fishing year April 2018 through March 2019 *Some or all catch attributed to separate sub-ACL as shown in Tables 1 through 5, and so is not included above.

Source: NMFS Greater Atlantic Regional Fisheries Office Oct. 25, 2019, run date of Sept. 17, 2019

taken by vessels fishing in the Northeast. Further analysis should be completed to definitively attribute groundfish catch to an These criteria are used by the Greater Atlantic Regional Fisheries Office (GARFO) to categorize trips to attribute groundfish catch for groundfish ACL accounting. By necessity these rules cannot capture the full complexity of categorizing every trip FMP for management purposes.

These data are the best available to NOAA's National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS). Data sources for this report include: (1) Vessels via VMS; (2) Vessels via vessel logbook reports; (3) Dealers via Dealer Electronic reporting. Differences with previous reports are due to corrections made to the database.

Table 8: FY 2018 Northeast Multispecies Other Sub-Component Landings Detail (mt)

Stock	Total	SCUP	SHRIMP	SQUID	SQUID/ WHITING	SURFCLAM	WHELK/ CONCH	WHITING	WHITING UNCATEGORIZED RECREATIONAL	RECREATIONAL
GB Cod	31.3	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	-	-	-	. 0.2	30.3
GOM Cod	3.7	-		i.			•		0.0	*.
GB Haddock	0.7	0.0	1	0.0	0.0		1	1	0.1	
30M Haddock	20.7	E	T.	Ţ		•	1	•	0.2	*.
GB Yellowtail Flounder	1		•	ı				•	(s	
SNE Yellowtail Flounder	0.1	1	1	0.0	0.0		1		0.0	
CC/GOM Yellowtail Flounder	1.3	-	•	ī			-		E .	
American Plaice	1.2	×	-		0.0		I.	+	an:	
Witch Flounder	0.5	-	1,	0.0		•	-	-	-	
GB Winter Flounder	0.4)÷	-		1	E	1	91	5
GOM Winter Flounder	2.6	¥) = :	1			1	W		1.7
SNE Winter Flounder	7.3	0.2	1	0.2	0.0	1	ì	•	1.0	4.1
Redfish	3.8		-	0.3	0.0	T	ı		0.0	
White Hake	2.2	-	1	0.0	0.0	-	1	•	0.1	
Pollock	72.5		· ·	-		-	1	ı	0.1	71.5
Northern Windowpane			-			1	-		(m)	
Southern Windowpane	0.0	-	1	1	•			•	0.0	30
Ocean Pout	-	1	•	1	_	-	Ĩ	•	-	
Halibut										
Wolffish	-	-	Ĭ	1	L		ī			

*Some or all catch attributed to separate sub-ACL as shown in Tables 1 through 5, and so is not included above.

Source: NMFS Greater Atlantic Regional

Fisheries Office Oct. 25, 2019, run date of Sept. 17, 2019

groundfish ACL accounting. By necessity these rules cannot capture the full complexity of categorizing every trip taken by vessels fishing in the Northeast. Further analysis should be completed to definitively attribute groundfish catch to an FMP for management purposes. These criteria are used by the Greater Atlantic Regional Fisheries Office (GARFO) to categorize trips to attribute groundfish catch for

via VMS; (2) Vessels via vessel logbook reports; (3) Dealers via Dealer Electronic reporting. Differences with previous reports are due to These data are the best available to NOAA's National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS). Data sources for this report include: (1) Vessels corrections made to the database.

Table 9: FY 2018 Northeast Multispecies Other Sub-Component Estimated Discards Detail (mt)

Stock	Total	SCALLOP1	FLUKE	HAGFISH	HERRING	LOBSTER/ CRAB ²	MACKEREL	MENHADEN	MENHADEN MONKFISH	REDCRAB	REDCRAB RESEARCH
GB Cod	10.2	0.7	0.1	0.0	0.0	NA	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0
GOM Cod	1.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	AN	1	. 1	0.0	1	0.0
GB Haddock	119.0	13.3	2.7	-	*5*	NA	6.0	0.0	0.3	ï	0.0
GOM Haddock	68.7	0.0	0.0	0.7	2.8*	NA	0.2	1	0.0	1	0.2
GB Yellowtail Flounder	0.0	*,	0.0	0.0	*0.0	NA	1		ï	0.0	ď
SNE Yellowtail Flounder	3.4	*,	0.4	-	0.0	NA	0.0	0.0	0.3	1	0.0
CC/GOM Yellowtail Flounder	27.7	11.8	0.0	0.2	1.0	NA		1	0.0		0.0
American Plaice	44.9	25.7	0.0	-	0.1	NA	0.2	0.0	0.0	1	0.0
Witch Flounder	67.1	31.7	1.0	0.0	0.2	NA	0.3	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0
GB Winter Flounder	44.8	34.4	0.0	0.0	6.0	NA .	T		1	0.0	
GOM Winter Flounder	5.0	2.7	0:0	0.0	6.0	NA		1	0.0	1	0.0
SNE Winter Flounder	124.0	51.3	3.1	0.0	0.3	NA	9.0	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0
Redfish	9.0	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	NA	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0
White Hake	13.4	1.9	9.0	0.0	0.1	NA	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0
Pollock	144.8	0.4	1	1	0.0	NA	0.0	0.0	0.2	ľ	0.0
Northern Windowpane	0.7	*,	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA.	1	1	0.0	0.0	0.0
Southern Windowpane	205.0	*,	23.6	-	0.5	NA	6.0	0.0	1.1	1	0.0
Ocean Pout	36.2	4.8	0.7	0.0	0.2	NA	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
Halibut											
Wolffish	0.1	0.0	0.0	T	0.0	NA	0.0	0.0	0.0	ı	0.0

Source: NMFS Greater Atlantic Regional

Fisheries Office

Oct. 25, 2019, run date of Sept. 17, 2019

'Based on scallop fishing year April 2018 through March 2019

²Discard estimates not applicable. Lobster/crab discards were not attributed to the ACL, consistent with the most recent assessments for these stocks used to set the respective quotas.

*Some or all catch attributed to separate sub-ACL as shown in Tables 1 through 5, and so is not included above.

vessels fishing in the Northeast. Further analysis should be completed to definitively attribute groundfish catch to an FMP for groundfish ACL accounting. By necessity these rules cannot capture the full complexity of categorizing every trip taken by These criteria are used by the Greater Atlantic Regional Fisheries Office to categorize trips to attribute groundfish catch for management purposes.

These data are the best available to NOAA's National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS). Data sources for this report include: (1) Vessels via VMS; (2) Vessels via vessel logbook reports; (3) Dealers via Dealer Electronic reporting. Differences with previous reports are due to corrections made to the database.

Table 9: FY 2018 Northeast Multispecies Other Sub-Component Estimated Discards Detail (mt)

	Total	SCUP	SHRIMP	SQUID	SQUID/ WHITING	SURFCLAM	WHELK/ CONCH	WHITING	UNCATEGORIZED	RECREATIONAL
GB Cod	10.2	0.1	0.0	8.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	1.3
GOM Cod	1.0	ı		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	*,
GB Haddock	119.0	2.8	0.1	73.3	7.1	1.0	-	0.2	16.7	
GOM Haddock	68.7	-		0.0	4.2	0.2	0.1	5.5	54.8	*,
GB Yellowtail Flounder	0.0	0.0	,	*0.0	*0.0	-	-	i	*0.0	
SNE Yellowtail Flounder	3.4	0.4	0.0	1.2	0.1	0.0	T (1)	0.0	6.0	
CC/GOM Yellowtail Flounder	27.7	•		6.0	7.5	0.1	0.0	2.5	3.6	
American Plaice	44.9	0.0	0.0	14.0	1.4	0.2	-	0.1	3.0	
Witch Flounder	67.1	1.0	0.0	23.9	2.4	0.3	0.0	0.2	6.1	
GB Winter Flounder	44.8	0.0	1	4.1	5.3			1	0.0	
GOM Winter Flounder	5.0		1	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.3	8.0	0.1
SNE Winter Flounder	124.0	3.3	0.1	47.7	3.2	8.0	0.0	0.1	13.0	0.0
Redfish	9.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.2	7
White Hake	13.4	9.0	0.0	6.2	9.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	3.2	
Pollock	144.8	100	0.0	9.0	0.1	0.0	-	0.0	0.3	143.2
Northern Windowpane	7.0	0.0	1	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	
Southern Windowpane	205.0	24.8	0.1	7.86	7.2	2.5	-	0.2	45.2	
Ocean Pout	36.2	8.0	0.0	21.2	2.2	0.3	0.1	0.2	5.3	
Halibut										
Wolffish	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	•	0.0	0.0	

Source: NMFS Greater Atlantic Regional Fisheries Office

Oct. 25, 2019, run date of Sept. 17, 2019

*Some or all catch attributed to separate sub-ACL as shown in Tables 1 through 5, and so is not included above.

These criteria are used by the Greater Atlantic Regional Fisheries Office to categorize trips to attribute groundfish catch for groundfish ACL accounting. By necessity these rules cannot capture the full complexity of categorizing every trip taken by vessels fishing in the Northeast. Further analysis should be completed to definitively attribute groundfish catch to an FMP for management purposes.

via VMS; (2) Vessels via vessel logbook reports; (3) Dealers via Dealer Electronic reporting. Differences with previous reports are due to These data are the best available to NOAA's National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS). Data sources for this report include: (1) Vessels corrections made to the database.

Table 10: FY 2016 - 2018 GOM Cod and Haddock Recreational Catch Evaluation (mt)

		THE PERSON NAMED IN		Recreational Catch	Catch	
Stock	Fishing Year	Catch	Landings	Discard	l sub-	P
		A+B	A	В	ACL	Limit Taken
GOM Cod	2016	280.9	5.46	186.4	157	178.9
	2017	245.4	26.6	218.8	157	156.3
	2018	146.9	4.3	142.6	220	8.99
	Average	224.4	41.8	182.6	178	126.1
GOM Haddock	2016	887.0	2.095	326.8	928	95.6
	2017	795.0	533.7	261.3	1,160	68.5
	2018	595.0	423.9	171.1	3,358	17.7
	Average	759.0	6.505	253.1	1,815	41.8

Recreational estimates based on Marine Recreational Information Program (MRIP) data. Values in metric tons of live weight

Source: NMFS Greater Atlantic Regional Fisheries Office

October 25, 2019

These data are the best available to NOAA's National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS).

Table 11: FY 2018 Northeast Multispecies Sector Carryover (mt)

	FY 2018 Av	FY 2018 Available Annual Catch Entitlement (ACE)	ıl Catch Entitl	ement (ACE)	Available Ca FY 2018 t	Available Carryover from FY 2018 to FY 2019
Stock †	FY 2018 Initial ACE	FY 2017 Carryover	FY 2018 Total ACE	Total ACE as a Percent of Initial ACE	de minimis	Maximum
	A	В	C = A + B	C/A	D	E
GB Cod	1,170	38	1,208	103.3	13	83
GOM Cod	351	22	373	106.3	3	28
GB Haddock	44,338	3,840	48,178	108.7	502	2,865
GOM Haddock	8,558	265	8,823	103.1	79	<i>L</i> 89
GB Yellowtail Flounder	185.1	NA*	185.1	100.0	NA*	NA*
SNE/MA Yellowtail Flounder	35	11	46	\131.5	0	. 2
CC/GOM Yellowtail Flounder	373	18	391	104.8	4	21
Plaice	1,531	29	1,598	104.4	14	17
Witch Flounder	794	39	833	104.9	7	35
GB Winter Flounder	725	19	744	102.6	7	24
GOM Winter Flounder	332	34	366	110.2	3	19
SNE Winter Flounder	456	31	487	106.8	4	27
Redfish	10,650	558	11,208	105.2	86	577
White Hake	2,703	154	2,857	105.7	23	144
Pollock	37,081	938	38,019	102.5	344	1,968

^{*} Carryover of GB yellowtail flounder is not allowed because this stock is jointly managed with Canada.

These data are the best available to NOAA's National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS). Data sources for this report include: (1) Vessels via VMS; (2) Vessels via vessel logbook reports; (3) Dealers via Dealer Electronic reporting; (4) Observers and at-sea monitors via the Northeast Fisheries Observer Program. Differences with previous reports are due to corrections made to the database.

Source: NMFS Greater Atlantic Regional Fisheries Office

Run Date: October 18, 2019

[†] There is no carryover for non-allocated stocks: Northern windowpane flounder, southern windowpane flounder, ocean pout, halibut, and wolffish.

Table 12: FY 2018 End of Year Accounting of Transboundary U.S./Canada Stocks - Percentage of U.S. TACs Caught (%)

	0/ of 11 C			Perc	Percent of Each Fishery (ery Componen	Component U.S. TAC Caught	ıught		
Stock	TAC	Groundfish	Sector	Common Pool Recreational	Recreational	Herring Fishery	Scallop Fishery	Small Mesh Fisheries	State Water	Other
	A to H	A+B+C	Y	В	D	D	E	£	Ð	H
Eastern GB Cod	41.4	41.4	42.3	0.0					NA	NA
Eastern GB Haddock	4.0	4.0	4.0	0.0		NA			NA	NA
GB Yellowtail Flounder	19.0	14.7	14.9	0.0			87.5	2.5	NA	NA

Values in percent live weight (%)

Includes estimate of missing dealer reports

Source: NMFS Greater Atlantic Regional Fisheries Office August 27, 2019

Any value for a non-allocated species may be due to landings of that stock; misreporting of species and/or stock area; and/or estimated landings (in lieu of missing reports) based on vessel histories.

These data are the best available to NOAA's National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS). Data sources for this report include: (1) Vessels via VMS; (2) Vessels via vessel logbook reports; (3) Dealers via Dealer Electronic reporting. Differences with previous reports are due to corrections made to the database.

Table 13: FY 2018 End of Year Accounting of Transboundary U.S./Canada Stocks - U.S. TACs (mt)

					Fishery	Fishery Component TAC	AC			
Stock	U.S. TAC	Groundfish	Sector	Sector Common Pool Recreational	Recreational	Herring Fishery	Scallop Fishery	Small-Mesh Fisheries	State Water	Other
	A to H	A+B+C	A	В	Э	D	E .	F	9	H
Eastern GB Cod	257	257	252	5	7		v	s.		
Eastern GB Haddock	15,600	15,600	15,489	111						
GB Yellowtail Flounder	213.0	187.9	185.1	2.9			14.6	4.0		0.0

Values in live weight

Source: NMFS Greater Atlantic Regional Fisheries Office August 27, 2019

Any value for a non-allocated species may be due to landings of that stock; misreporting of species and/or stock area; and/or estimated landings (in lieu of missing reports) based on vessel histories.

These data are the best available to NOAA's National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS). Data sources for this report include: (1) Vessels via VMS; (2) Vessels via vessel logbook reports; (3) Dealers via Dealer Electronic reporting. Differences with previous reports are due to corrections made to the database.

Table 14: FY 2018 End of Year Accounting of Transboundary U.S./Canada Stocks - U.S. Catch (mt)

			* 111111111		U.S. Catch	U.S. Catch by Fishery Component	nent			
Stock	U.S. Catch	Groundfish		Common Pool	Recreational	Sector Common Pool Recreational Herring Fishery	Scallop Fishery	Scallop Small Mesh Fishery Fisheries	State Water	Other
	A to H	A+B+C	A	В	ລ	D	E	F	Ð	H
Eastern GB Cod	106.4	106.4	106.4	-	8			,	1	0.0
Eastern GB Haddock	631.4	623.1	623.1	-		6.7			ı	1.5
GB Yellowtail Flounder	40.5	27.6	27.6	T			12.7	0.1	-	0.0

Values in live weight

Includes estimate of missing dealer reports

August 27, 2019

Table 15: FY 2018 End of Year Transboundary U.S./Canada Vessels, Trips, DAS Used, and Observers

	Number of Vessels	f Vessels	Number of	of Trips	DAS Used	sed	Number of Observed T	bserved Trips
Area ¹	Sector	Common Pool	Sector	Common Pool	Sector	Common Pool	Sector	Common
Eastern U.S./Canada Area	25	0	145	0	921	0	28	0
Western U.S./Canada Area	39	0	407	0	2,357	0	75	0
Total	40	0	440	0	2,499	0	80	0

Area based on area fished. Totals don't sum due to multi-area trips

Data display "NA" due to data confidentiality.

August 27, 2019

Any value for a non-allocated species may be due to landings of that stock; misreporting of species and/or stock area; and/or estimated landings (in lieu of missing reports) based on vessel histories. Source: NMFS Greater Atlantic Regional Fisheries Office

These data are the best available to NOAA's National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS). Data sources for this report include: (1) Vessels via VMS; (2) Vessels via vessel logbook reports; (3) Dealers via Dealer Electronic reporting. Differences with previous reports are due to corrections made to the database.

Table 16: FY 2018 End of Year Accounting of Transboundary U.S./Canada Stocks - U.S. Landings (mt)

					U.S. Catch	U.S. Catch by Fishery Component	mponent			
Stock	U.S. Landings	Groundfish	Sector	Common Pool Recreational	Recreational	Herring Fishery*	Scallop Fishery	Small Mesh Fisheries	State Water	Other
	A to H	A+B+C	A	В	Э	D	Е	F	G	Н
Eastern GB Cod	105.0	105.0	105.0	•						,
Eastern GB Haddock	567.9	561.2	561.2	1		<i>L</i> .9				,
GB Yellowtail Flounder	27.4	27.4	27.4	í			•	1		'

Values in live weight Includes estimate of missing dealer reports

Source: NMFS Greater Atlantic Regional Fisheries Office August 27, 2019

Any value for a non-allocated species may be due to landings of that stock; misreporting of species and/or stock area; and/or estimated landings (in lieu of missing reports) based on vessel histories. These data are the best available to NOAA's National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS). Data sources for this report include: (1) Vessels via VMS; (2) Vessels via vessel logbook reports; (3) Dealers via Dealer Electronic reporting. Differences with previous reports are due to corrections made to the database.

Table 17: FY 2018 End of Year Accounting of Transboundary U.S./Canada Stocks - U.S. Discards (mt)

				Charles Age	U.S. Catch	U.S. Catch by Fishery Component	mponent			
Stock	U.S. Discards	Groundfish	Sector	Common Pool Recreational	Recreational	Herring Fishery	Scallop Fishery	Small Mesh Fisheries	State Water	Other
At	A to H	A+B+C	A	В	D	D	E	F	Ð	H
Eastern GB Cod	1.4	1.4	1.4						1	0.0
Eastern GB Haddock	63.5	61.9	61.9	ı					1	1.5
GB Yellowtail Flounder	13.0	0.2	0.2	.1.	,		12.7	0.1	_ 1	0.0

Values in live weight

Includes estimate of missing dealer reports Source: NMFS Greater Atlantic Regional Fisheries Office August 27, 2019

Any value for a non-allocated species may be due to landings of that stock; misreporting of species and/or stock area; and/or estimated landings (in lieu of missing reports) based on vessel histories. These data are the best available to NOAA's National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS). Data sources for this report include: (1) Vessels via VMS; (2) Vessels via vessel logbook reports; (3) Dealers via Dealer Electronic reporting. Differences with previous reports are due to corrections made to the database.



Greater Atlantic Region Bulletin

NOAA Fisheries, Greater Atlantic Regional Fisheries Office, 55 Great Republic Drive, Gloucester, MA 01930

For Information Contact:

http://www.greateratlantic.fisheries.noaa.gov Sustainable Fisheries Division

(978) 281-9315

NOV -6 2019

Date Issued: 11/1/2019

NEW ENGLAND FISHERY MANAGEMENT COUNCIL

Gillnet Fishing

Nantucket Lightship and Closed Area I Closure Areas

November 1, 2019

On October 28, 2019, Federal District Court Judge James E. Boasberg issued an Order and Opinion on a lawsuit challenging a portion of the New England Fishery Management Council's Omnibus Essential Fish Habitat Amendment 2.

The Order prohibits NOAA's National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) from allowing gillnet fishing in the former Nantucket Lightship Groundfish Closure Area and the Closed Area I Groundfish Closure Areas, until such time as NMFS has fully complied with requirements of the Endangered Species Act and the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act, consistent with the Opinion.

NMFS is studying the Opinion and will put regulations in place as soon as possible to comply with the Order to close the areas to gillnet fishing until further notice.

To facilitate compliance with the Order and implementation of the rule, you must remove all of your gillnet gear from these areas as soon as possible, consistent with safe vessel operations.

	Closed Area	ı I
Point	N. lat.	W. long.
CI1	41°30′	69°23′
CI2	40°45′	68°45′
CI3	40°45′	68°30′
CI4	41°30′	68°30′
CI1	41°30′	69°23′

Nantucke	Nantucket Lightship Closed Area		
Point	N. lat.	W. long.	
G10	40°50′	69°00′	
CN1	40°20′	69°00′	
CN2	40°20′	70°20′	
CN3	40°50′	70°20′	
G10	40°50′	69°00′	

