CORRESPONDENCE



New England Fishery Management Council

50 WATER STREET | NEWBURYPORT, MASSACHUSETTS 01950 | PHONE 978 465 0492 | FAX 978 465 3116 John F. Quinn, J.D., Ph.D., Chairman | Thomas A. Nies, Executive Director

January 3, 2018

Dr. Cisco Werner Director of Scientific Programs & Chief Science Advisor National Marine Fisheries Service 1315 East-West Highway, Room 14659 Silver Spring, MD 20910

Dear Dr. Werner:

The Magnuson-Stevens Act requires the Councils to develop five-year research priority plans and submit them to the Secretary of Commerce. In New England, ideas for research topics have trickled up through technical teams, advisory panels, and committees prior to Council approval, resulting in a lengthy catalogue of needs. Our Scientific and Statistical Committee and Research Steering Committee have both advised that the Council and research community would benefit from efforts to create a more targeted, prioritized list. We are currently improving our process for identifying research priorities and data needs.

In developing ideas for process improvements, we learned that Councils appear to vary greatly in the effort dedicated to creating and updating research priorities. Some Councils have simple lists with no internal prioritization, while others have an on-line searchable database. No matter the degree of effort, a common theme is that there has been little feedback from the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) about what happens with research priority documents once submitted. There does not seem to be a clear understanding of how the priorities are used to set the national and regional priorities of NMFS.

Clarification from NMFS about the end use of these priority lists will help determine the degree of effort that should be devoted to the task. Please also let me know if there are any suggestions for how the Council's priorities may be better articulated. Please contact me if you have questions.

Sincerely,

Thomas A. Nies Executive Director

Thomas A. Nier

cc: RFMC Executive Directors Brian Fredieu



June 5, 2018

Caribbean

Miguel Rolon **Executive Director Carlos Farchette** Chair

Gulf of Mexico

Dr. Carrie Simmons **Executive Director** Leann Bosarge Chair

Mid Atlantic

Dr. Christopher Moore **Executive Director** Mike Luisi Chair

New England

Thomas Nies Executive Director Dr. John Quinn Chair

North Pacific

David Witherell Executive Director Dan Hull Chair

Pacific

Chuck Tracy Executive Director Phil Anderson Chair

South Atlantic Gregg Waugh

Executive Director Charles Phillips Chair

Western Pacific

Kitty Simonds **Executive Director** Edwin Ebisui Chair

Mr. Chris Oliver

Assistant Administrator for Fisheries National Marine Fisheries Service 1315 East-West Highway Silver Spring, MD 20910

Via email: CHRIS.OLIVER@NOAA.GOV

Dear Mr. Oliver,

At its recent meeting, the Council Coordination Committee (CCC) made several requests regarding the use of research priorities developed by the councils pursuant to the Magnuson-Stevens Act (MSA). Under MSA Section 302 (h), each Council shall develop, in conjunction with the scientific and statistical committee, multi-year research priorities for fisheries, fishery interactions, habitats, and other areas of research that are necessary for management purposes that shall – establish priorities for 5-year periods; be updated as necessary; and be submitted to the Secretary and the regional science centers of the National Marine Fisheries Service for their consideration in developing research priorities and budgets for the region of the Council.

The CCC recommends that Council research priorities be fully-integrated into research planning by NOAA Fisheries. In regions where this does not occur, Regional Offices, Science Centers, and Councils should improve this coordination.

The CCC also recommends that the review of applications to national-level grant programs administered by NOAA Fisheries should consider whether the proposal addresses a specific Council research priority. RFPs should encourage applicants to review Council's R&D needs documents for relevant topics and objectives for research proposals.

The CCC further requests that NOAA Fisheries clarify how the Council's five-year research priority reports are used. NOAA Fisheries is asked to provide an update on these recommendations at the next CCC meeting.

Thank you, and I look forward to continued communication on this important issue.

Sincerely,

Dan Hull

NPFMC Chairman, on behalf of the Council Coordination Committee

cc: Regional Fishery Management Councils

Dan Hue



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

NATIONAL MARINE FISHERIES SERVICE 1315 East-West Highway Silver Spring, MD 20910

THE DIRECTOR

JUN 29 2018

Mr. Dan Hull Chairman North Pacific Fishery Management Council Council Coordination Committee 1007 W 3rd Avenue, Suite 100 Anchorage, AK 99501

DAN



Dear Mr. Hull:

Thank you for your letter regarding council research priorities and integration of those priorities in the National Marine Fisheries Service's (NMFS) science planning.

As discussed during the most recent Council Coordination Committee (CCC) meeting, NMFS does recognize council research priorities, and they are integrated into and affect our planning processes in a variety of ways. In all regions, staff serve on council committees, such as the Scientific and Statistical Committees. In this capacity, staff discuss and review council priorities, which increases awareness of these research priorities in NMFS. Then, as part of our priority-based science planning process, one of the criteria we use to prioritize certain activities and investments is whether the activity/investment is a priority to the councils. In addition, despite these regional connections to council priorities, there may be opportunity for more explicit consolidation, synthesis, and use of council research priorities at the national level, to inform planning and funding decisions, including decisions regarding requests for proposals.

We will review this issue, both nationally and regionally, through discussion with the six science center directors, with an aim that these priorities can be more formally included in annual and long-term science planning for the centers, and also inform priorities in grant funding decisions. The discussion will also touch on the best methods for the science centers to respond to the documents after the councils submit them. The regional fishery management councils are important partners, and we recognize that producing science that is responsive to management needs is critical.

I appreciate your interest in this issue and look forward to further discussion at the next CCC meeting.

Sincerely,

Chris Oliver



