

NEFMC Groundfish Committee
January 23, 2014 meeting

DRAFT Motions and Consensus Statements

1. Motion (Goethel/Alexander):

To recommend to the Council that an alternative be added to the next available Groundfish action to remove the requirement that vessels operating with a handgear permit carry a standard fish tote on-board.

The motion **carried** on a show of hands (9/0/0).

2. Motion (Pierce/Goethel):

To request the PDT analyze the following in Amendment 18:

- In Section 5.1.1.3 (Alternative 3: Limit the holdings of MRIs with associated PSC by entities other than permit banks), that A be 3% and B be 5%; and
- In Section 5.1.1.4 (Alternative 4: Limit the holdings of stock-specific PSC by entities other than permit banks), that A be 4%, B be 12.5%, and add C at 20%.

The motion **failed** on a show of hands (4/5/1).

3. Motion (McKenzie/Goethel):

That the PDT identify a range of potential cap values on MRIs and PSCs developed from Social Science Branch and Compass Lexecon reports, and other pertinent studies.

The motion **failed** on a show of hands (4/5/1).

4. Consensus Statement:

To task the PDT to finalize these reports (Social Science Branch reports on fleet diversity and ownership) and continue to work on these numbers and improve them with the eye towards presenting them back to the Committee at the next appropriate time.

5. Motion (Dempsey/Alexander):

For Amendment 18, Section 4.2.2 (Alternative 2: Defining a non-profit permit bank), remove Criteria 2 and 5 from this list for defining a non-profit permit bank.

The motion **carried** on a show of hands (8/0/1).

6. Consensus Statement:

To task the PDT with examining the regulations and process to become a non-profit entity established under the laws of the U.S.

After motion #7 carried, this consensus statement was **withdrawn**.

7. Motion (Pierce/McKenzie):

For Amendment 18, Section 4.2.2 (Alternative 2: Defining a non-profit permit bank), that the other conditions A, B and C on page 4 of the January 16, 2014 PDT memo to the OSC be included in the alternative.

The motion **carried** on a show of hands (9/0/0).

8. Consensus Statement:

To task the PDT to expand the information in Table 1 of the January 16, 2014 PDT memo (multispecies permits held by permit banks) from number of permits held to include the amount of PSC held in by these permit banks.

9. Motion (Pierce):

That sectors provide, by the February Council meeting:

1. Approaches being considered to deal with fleet diversity in the interest of the inshore fleet; and
2. The schedule for sector adoption of those approaches.

The motion was not seconded.

10. Motion (McKenzie/Goethel):

To request that the PDT develop an alternative to address the development of inshore and offshore fishing areas.

10A. Motion to substitute (Alexander/Ramsden):

To task the PDT to analyze the effort by vessel classes in statistical area 514 and adjacent areas, as appropriate, between FY2004 and FY2012.

The motion to substitute **carried** on a show of hands (6/3/0).

10B. Motion to amend (McKenzie/Goethel):

To task the PDT to analyze the effort by vessel classes in statistical area 514 and adjacent areas, as appropriate, between FY2004 and FY2012; adjacent areas to include areas south of Cape Cod as the PDT deems appropriate.

The motion to amend **carried** on a show of hands (8/0/1).

The main motion as amended **carried** on a show of hands (9/0/0).

11. Motion (T. Alexander):

To inform the industry that they are free to design a buyout and redistribution of PSC that would not be constrained by accumulation limits

The motion was ruled **out of order**.