DECISION DOCUMENT

for

Framework Adjustment 8

to the Northeast Skate Complex

Fishery Management Plan (FMP)



NEFMC Council Meeting September 24, 2019

The following decision tables in this document appear in the same order as the sections in the Draft Framework 8 document; page numbers are provided for reference.

Section 4.1 – Specifications (p. 15)

Skate Committee Motions:

• The Committee recommends the Council select as preferred alternative 2 (4.1.2) Revised Annual Catch Limit Specifications.

Alternatives/Options Under Consideration	Description Two Alternatives
Option 1	No Action
Option 2	Revised Annual Catch Limit Specifications
	Updates Survey Data
	Updates Discard Mortality rate estimates
Decisions/Questions to	o Consider
•	
Skate Committee Reco	ommendations
Committee recom	mended using the revised ACLs as specifications for FYs 2020 & 2021.
	so discussed a standardized approach to dealing with missing survey data; but recognized this d of a task to be undertaken by the skate PDT.
Skate AP Comments/R	ecommendations
• The AP provided	guidance at the Joint AP/Committee meeting that contributed to the Committee recommendation.
Other Important Consid	derations/DEIS References
• The SSC approve	d the specifications outlined in Option 2.
Biological impact	s: p. 61
• Protected species	impacts: p. 71
• Habitat impacts: p	o. 75

Section 4.2.1 – Skate Possession Limit Alternatives (p. 16)

Skate Committee Motions:

• The Skate Committee recommended the Council select as the preferred alternative 4.2.1.2 to increase season 1 and 2 wing possession limits.

Alternatives/Options	Description
Under Consideration	Two Alternatives
Option 1	No Action
Option 2	Revised Skate Wing Possession Limit
	• May 1 to Aug 31: 3,000 lbs
	• Sep 1 to Apr 30: 5,000
	Revised Barndoor skate (sub) possession limit
	• May 1 to Aug 31: 750 lb
	• Sep 1 to Apr 30: 1,250 lb
Decisions/Questions to 0	Consider
• Selection of an appr	ropriate skate wing possession limit for FY2020 and FY2021.
Skate Committee Recom	mendations
• The Committee did	pass a motion to increase skate wing possession limits.
• Some Committee m members.	embers did not vote on revised skate wing possession limits based on guidance from AP
Skate AP Comments/Rec	commendations
• The AP provided gu	idance at the Joint AP/Committee meeting that contributed to the Committee recommendation.
	were concerned that increased wing possession limits could increase the likelihood that the on limit would be implemented at some point in FYs 2020 & 2021.
• Some AP members not increased.	were concerned that the fishery would struggle to achieve the TAL if possession limits were
Other Important Conside	erations/DEIS References
• Biological impacts:	p. 66
• Protected species in	npacts: p. 73
• Habitat impacts: p.	77
Human Communitie	es impacts: p. 82

Section 4.2.2 – Bait Possession Limit Alternatives (p. 17)

Skate Committee Motions:

• The Committee recommended that the Council select alternative 4.2.2.2 to increase season 3 bait possession limit as the preferred alternative

Alternatives/Options	Description
Under Consideration	Two Alternatives
Option 1	No Action
Option 2	Increase Season 3 Bait Possession Limit to 25,000 lb
Additional Decisions/Qu	estions to Consider
•	
Skate Committee Recom	mendations
• The Committee reco	ommended increasing the season 3 possession limit.
but FW4 revised the	gher possession limits could increase the risk of the incidental possession limit being triggered e incidental possession limit to 8,000 lb so a limited fishery could continue. The fishery also
	0% of the TAL is achieved.
Skate AP Recommendat	ion
Skate AP Recommendat	ion ed guidance at the Joint AP/Committee meeting that contributed to the Committee
Skate AP Recommendat • The AP provide recommendation	ion ed guidance at the Joint AP/Committee meeting that contributed to the Committee
Skate AP Recommendat • The AP provide recommendation	tion ed guidance at the Joint AP/Committee meeting that contributed to the Committee n. ers present supported this increase.
 Skate AP Recommendat The AP provide recommendation Bait AP member 	ion ed guidance at the Joint AP/Committee meeting that contributed to the Committee n. ers present supported this increase.
 Skate AP Recommendat The AP provide recommendation Bait AP member Other Important Consider 	ion ed guidance at the Joint AP/Committee meeting that contributed to the Committee n. ers present supported this increase. erations p. 68
 Skate AP Recommendat The AP provide recommendation Bait AP member Other Important Consider Biological impacts: 	ion ed guidance at the Joint AP/Committee meeting that contributed to the Committee n. ers present supported this increase. erations p. 68 npacts: p. 74