DECISION DOCUMENT

for

Framework Adjustment 2

to the Northeast Skate Compex

Fishery Management Plan (FMP)



Skate Committee Meeting January 15, 2014

The following decision tables in this document appear in the same order as the sections in the Draft Framework 2 document; page numbers are provided for reference.

Section 4.1 – Updates to Annual Catch Limits (p. 20)

Skate Committee Motions:

Alternatives/Options	Description		
Under Consideration	Three Alternatives (Option 3 included for NEPA analysis)		
Option 1	No Action		
Option 2	Revised Annual Catch Limit Specifications		
	Updates Survey Data		
	Updates Discard Mortality rate estimates		
Option 3	Revised Annual Catch Limit Specifications using old catch/biomass medians		
(included for NEPA	Updates Survey Data.		
analysis)			
Decisions/Questions to	Consider		
• .			
Skate Committee Recor	nmendations		
•			
Skate AP Comments/Red	commendations		
•			
Other Important Conside	erations/DEIS References		
• The SSC approved th	e specifications outlined in Option 2 and supported using the final discard mortality rate estimates for winter,		
little, smooth and thor	ny skate for trawl gear only.		
	or NEPA analysis; it does not utilize the best available science and the PDT does not support setting		
specifications outlined	•		
•	Biological impacts: p. 94		
	Habitat impacts: p. 100		
Endangered and other	r protected species impacts: p. 103		
 Economic impocto: n 	Economic impacte: p. 105		

- Economic impacts: p. 105
- Social impacts: p. 111

Section 4.2 – Skate Wing Possession Limit Alternatives (p. 23)

Skate Committee Motions:

• .

Alternatives/Options Under Consideration	Description Three Alternatives (Option 3 included for NEPA analysis)	
Option 1	No Action	
Option 2	Revised Skate Wing Possession Limit	
option 2	May 1 to Aug 31: 1,500 lbs	
	 Sep 1 to Apr 30: 2,400 	
Option 3		
-	Revised Skate Wing Possession Limit	
(included for NEPA analysis)	• 5,000 lbs.	
Decisions/Questions to	Consider	
•		
Skate Committee Recom	Imendations	
•		
Skate AP Comments/Red	commendations	
•		
Other Important Conside	erations/DEIS References	
	previous fishing year, the TAL is unlikely to be exceeded under the No Action wing possession limit. PDT on to allow the fishery to achieve it's TAL.	
• Option 3 is included for limit before the end of	or NEPA analysis and is not recommended as a viable option as it is projected to trigger the incidental trip i the fishing year.	
• Biological impacts: p.	96	
Habitat impacts: p. 10		
	r protected species impacts: p. 103	
-		

- Economic impacts: p. 106
- Social impacts: p. 111

Section 4.3 – Bait Possession Limit Alternatives (p. 23)

Skate Committee Motions:

• .

Alternatives/Options Under Consideration	Description Two Alternatives	
Option 1	No Action	
Option 2	Revised Skate Bait Possession Limit	
Additional Decisions/Questions to Consider		
•		
Skate Committee Recommendations		
•		
Skate AP Recommendation		
•		
Other Important Considerations		
This alternative is included to meet M-SA requirements.		
PDT recommends the No Action alternative.		
Biological impacts: p. 98		
Habitat impacts: p. 101		
 Endangered and other protected species impacts: p. 104 		
Economic impacts: p. 110		
Social impacts: p. 113	Social impacts: p. 113	

Section 4.4 – Skate VTR and Dealer Reporting Codes (p. 24)

Skate Committee Motions:

• .

Alternatives/Options	Description	
Under Consideration	Two Alternatives	
Option 1	No Action	
Option 2	Removes unclassified code for bait fishery	
	Removes unclassified, little, little/winter, smooth, and rosette skate codes for wing fishery	
Decisions/Questions to Consider		
•		
Skate Committee Recommendations		
•		
Skate AP Comments/Recommendations		
•		
Other Important Considerations/DEIS References		
Biological impacts: p. 98		
Habitat impacts: p. 102		
Endangered and other protected species impacts: p. 104		
Economic impacts: p. 111		
Social impacts: p. 113		