# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration NATIONAL MARINE FISHERIES SERVICE

NATIONAL MARINE FISHERIES SERVICE GREATER ATLANTIC REGIONAL FISHERIES OFFICE 55 Great Republic Drive Gloucester. MA 01930

April 24, 2025

Kristen Hamilton Environmental Compliance Officer National Science Foundation 2415 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22314

Re: International Ocean Drilling Programme/National Science Foundation Expedition 501 New England Shelf Hydrogeology

#### Dear Ms. Hamilton:

We have reviewed the Draft Environmental Assessment (Draft EA) for the proposed marine research of the New England shelf hydrogeology off Massachusetts (MA) in the Northwest Atlantic Ocean. Researchers from the Colorado School of Mines and University of Massachusetts (UMass) with funding from the U.S. National Science Foundation (NSF) propose to conduct the International Ocean Drilling Programme (IODP)/NSF Expedition 501 New England Shelf Hydrogeology, from the liftboat (L/B) Robert. The proposed work includes various activities to examine the continental shelf freshwater off New England to better understand the onshore-offshore hydrologic system dynamics. Specifically, the researchers propose drilling, coring, and logging operations at up to four proposed drill sites within the Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ) of the U.S., south of Nantucket, MA in water depths ranging from approximately 40 meters (m) to 55 m. Two boreholes are proposed at each drill site resulting in the potential release of approximately 43 m<sup>3</sup> of drill cuttings or solids. The solids, comprising the sand-, silt-and mud-(stone) from the sub-seabed, would be discharged from the L/B leaving the solids on the seafloor within the vicinity of the borehole. The work is proposed to occur between May and August 2025 and include approximately 96 days of drilling, 32 days at each of three sites.

As you are aware, the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (MSA) and the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act require federal agencies to consult with one another on projects such as this that may adversely affect EFH. This process is guided by the requirements of our EFH regulation at 50 CFR 600.905, which mandates the preparation of EFH Assessments and generally outlines each agency's obligations in this consultation procedure. We offer the following comments for your consideration.



## **Resources and Impacts**

The New England Fishery Management Council (NEFMC), the Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council, and NOAA Fisheries have designated the proposed project area as EFH for 53 federally-managed species including Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*), Atlantic sea scallop (*Placopecten magellanicus*), summer flounder (*Paralichthys dentatus*) and a number of highly migratory species including sharks and billfishes. The project area also overlaps with two designated Habitat Areas of Particular Concern (HAPCs) including the inshore juvenile Atlantic cod and the Southern New England HAPCs. HAPCs are a subset of EFH that are especially important ecologically, particularly susceptible to human-induced degradation, vulnerable to developmental stressors, and/or rare.

## Atlantic Cod

Recently, the NEFMC finalized the designation of the Southern New England HAPC on February 2, 2024, within and around offshore wind lease areas in southern New England. Specifically, this action was approved based on new and emerging information demonstrating the importance of this area as cod spawning habitat, and to highlight the importance and vulnerability of complex habitat in this area to offshore wind development (50 CFR 648). This HAPC designation overlaps each of the four proposed drilling sites for this project.

Historical information provided in multiple sources has documented that the Southern New England HAPC, including Cox Ledge and the surrounding areas as an important spawning ground for Atlantic cod (Deese 2005, Zemeckis 2014b, McBride and Smedbol 2022). Results of recent trawl surveys during the Vineyard Wind/New England Wind pre-construction fisheries surveys completed with UMass Dartmouth's School for Marine Science & Technology (SMAST) have identified spawning condition cod (i.e., ripe, and ripe and running) within and adjacent to the proposed drilling sites (Van Parijs et al. 2022, Van Hoeck and Frey 2024). Additionally, data collected as part of the Cox Ledge Atlantic Cod Project (Van Hoeck and Frey 2024) including passive acoustic monitoring, acoustic telemetry, and biological sampling, also indicate that cod may be spawning within the Southern New England HAPC on Cox Ledge and additional presence throughout Southern New England, including the eastern portion of the SNE WEAs (Van Hoeck and Frey 2024).

Atlantic cod are a species of extraordinary ecological, economic and cultural significance to the New England region. Spawning behaviors necessary for reproductive success make Atlantic cod vulnerable to impacts from disturbances. Atlantic cod spawning involves a complex sequence of competition and courtship behaviors that extend over long periods of time, with individual residence time within aggregations spanning several weeks to months (Rowe and Hutchings 2003; Windle and Rose 2007; Zemeckis et al. 2014a). Spawning across Southern New England, including Cox Ledge and the surrounding areas is known to occur between November and April (McBride and Smedbol 2022; Van Hoeck et al. 2023). Adult cod that spawn in southern New England are primarily residential, with high rates of site fidelity (Zemeckis 2014a & b, McBride and Smedbol 2022), and are genetically distinct from other spawning groups (Clucas et al. 2019; McBride and Smedbol 20 2022). These factors increase the vulnerability of this population to impacts from activities that reduce spawning success.

Cod spawning aggregations are easily disrupted and disturbances may result in the long term to permanent dispersion of spawning aggregations (Dean et al. 2012). Physical habitat disturbance occurring during spawning may interfere with mating behavior and egg production (Dean et al. 2014, Siceloff and Howell 2013). The same is true for acoustic effects, where peer-reviewed science has documented that elevated noise may cause cod to flee, change swim speed and direction, freeze, or seek shelter; and that this behavioral impact can persist well beyond the cessation of the generated noise (Mueller-Blenkle et al. 2010; Engas et al. 1996; Andersson et al. 2017; Stanley et al. 2017; Van der Knapp et al. 2022). Noise-induced stress has been shown to affect reproductive success, particularly for species such as cod, which are bound to specific spawning grounds and have restricted spawning seasons (de Jong et al. 2020). The best available science indicates that direct, physical disturbances of active cod spawning aggregations, or indirect disturbances (e.g. noise) are likely to hinder reproductive success and result in the dispersion of the aggregation or abandonment of the spawning site entirely.

The implementation of temporal restrictions on disruptive activities during vulnerable life stages are among the most successful measures for mitigating disturbance to and facilitating recovery of aggregation-spawning fishes during vulnerable periods (Hammer et al. 2014; Erisman et al. 2017; Chollett et al. 2020; Mooney et al. 2020). Due to the vulnerability of spawning aggregations to physical disturbance during spawning and their high-site fidelity for spawning sites, we generally recommend temporal restrictions on in-water bottom disturbing activities, during the peak spawning period (November 1 – March 31) to avoid and minimize impacts to spawning Atlantic cod. However, according to the Draft EA, the work is proposed to occur between May and August 2025. Because work is proposed outside of the months when cod are expected to spawn in the project area, we do not need to include any recommended temporal work restrictions as an EFH conservation recommendation.

### **EFH Conservation Recommendations**

We recommend, pursuant to Section 305(b)(a)(A) of the MSA, that you adopt the following EFH conservation recommendations to avoid and minimize adverse impacts to EFH:

1. The EFH consultation should be reinitiated if the project timeline for in-water work deviates in any way from what is described in the Draft EA.

Please note that Section 305(b)(4)(B) of the MSA requires you to provide us with a detailed written response to these EFH conservation recommendations, including a description of measures you have adopted that avoid, mitigate, or offset the impact of the project on EFH. In the case of a response that is inconsistent with our recommendations, Section 305(b)(4)(B) of the MSA also indicates that you must explain your reasons for not following the recommendations. Included in such reasoning would be the scientific justification for any disagreements with us over the anticipated effects of the proposed action and the measures needed to avoid, minimize, mitigate, or offset such effects pursuant to 50 CFR 600.920(k). Please also note that a distinct and further EFH consultation must be reinitiated pursuant to 50 CFR 600.920(1) if new information becomes available or the project is revised in such a manner that affects the basis for the above EFH conservation recommendations.

# Conclusion

We appreciate the opportunity to provide these EFH conservation recommendations. If you have any questions regarding our conservation recommendations or information in this letter, please contact Gabriella DiPreta at <a href="mailto:gabriella.dipreta@noaa.gov">gabriella.dipreta@noaa.gov</a>.

Sincerely,

Louis A. Chiarella Assistant Regional Administrator For Habitat and Ecosystem Services

cc: C. Boelke, NMFS HESD

C. Vaccaro, NMFS PRD

C. O'Keefe, NEFMC

T. Moore, MAFMC

R. Beal, ASFMC

#### References

- Andersson, M.H., Andersson, B.L., Pihl, J., Persson, L.K., Sigray, P., Andersson, S., Wikström, A., Ahlsén, J. and Hammar, J., 2017. A framework for regulating underwater noise during pile driving. A technical Vindval report, ISBN 978-91-620-6775-5, Swedish Environmental Protection Agency, Stockholm, Sweden.
- Chollett, I., M. Priest, S. Fulton, and W. D. Heyman. 2020. Should we protect extirpated fish spawning aggregation sites? Biological Conservation 241:108395.
- Clucas, G.V., Lou, R.N., Therkildsen, N.O., and Kovach, A.I. 2019. Novel signals of adaptive genetic variation in northwestern Atlantic cod revealed by whole-genome sequencing. Evol. Appl. DOI: 10.1111/eva.12861.
- Dean, M. J., Hoffman, W. S., and Armstrong, M. P. 2012. Disruption of an Atlantic cod spawning aggregation resulting from the opening of a directed gill-net fishery. North American Journal of Fisheries Management, 32(1), 124-134. doi: 10.1080/02755947.2012.663457.
- Dean, M.J., Hoffman, W.S., Douglas R. D.R. Zemeckis, and M. P. Armstrong. 2014. Fine-scale diel and gender-based patterns in behaviour of Atlantic cod (Gadus morhua) on a spawning ground in the Western Gulf of Maine. ICES Journal of Marine Science (2014), 71(6), 1474—1489. doi:10.1093/icesjms/fsu040.
- Deese, H. 2005. Atlantic Cod Spawning Aggregations within Southern New England, Georges Bank, and Gulf of Maine. Appendix A to "Utilizing Genetic Techniques to Discriminate Atlantic Cod Spawning Stocks in U.S. waters: a Pilot Project.
- de Jong, K., T. N. Forland, M. C. P. Amorim, G. Rieucau, H. Slabbekoorn, and L. D. Sivle. 2020. Predicting the effects of anthropogenic noise on fish reproduction. Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries 30: 245–268.
- Engås, A., Løkkeborg, S., Ona, E., and Soldal, A. V. 1996. Effects of seismic shooting on local abundance and catch rates of cod (Gadus morhua) and haddock (Melanogrammus aeglefinus). Canadian journal of fisheries and aquatic sciences, 53(10), 2238-2249.
- Erisman, B., W. Heyman, S. Kobara, T. Ezer, S. Pittman, O. Aburto-Oropeza, and R. S. Nemeth. 2017. Fish spawning aggregations: where well-placed management actions can yield big benefits for fisheries and conservation. Fish and Fisheries 18: 128–144.
- Hammar, L., Wikström, A., and Molander, S. 2014. Assessing ecological risks of offshore wind power on Kattegat cod. Renewable Energy, 66, 414-424.
- McBride R. S., R. K. Smedbol, (Editors). 2022. An Interdisciplinary Review of Atlantic Cod (Gadus morhua) Stock Structure in the Western North Atlantic Ocean. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-NE-273. Woods Hole, Massachusetts: US Department of Commerce,

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, National Marine Fisheries Service, Northeast Fisheries Science Center. i-x, 264 pp. https://repository.library.noaa.gov/view/noaa/48082

Mooney, T. A., Andersson, M. H., and Stanley, J. 2020. Acoustic impacts of offshore wind energy on fishery resources. Oceanography, 33(4), 82-95.

Mueller-Blenkle, C., McGregor, P.K., Gill, A.B., Andersson, M.H., Metcalfe, J., Bendall, V., Sigray, P., Wood, D.T. and Thomsen, F. 2010. Effects of Pile-driving Noise on the Behaviour of Marine Fish. COWRIE Ref: Fish 06-08, Technical Report 31st March 2010.

Rowe, S., and Hutchings, J.A. 2003. Mating systems and the conservation of commercially exploited marine fish. Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 18(11), 567-572.

Siceloff, L., and W.H. Howell. 2013. Fine-scale temporal and spatial distributions of Atlantic cod (Gadus morhua) on a western Gulf of Maine spawning ground. Fisheries Research, 141: 31–43.

Stanley, J. A., Van Parijs, S. M., & Hatch, L. T. 2017. Underwater sound from vessel traffic reduces the effective communication range in Atlantic cod and haddock. Scientific Reports, 7(1), 14633.

van der Knaap, I., H. Slabbekoorn, T. Moens, D. Van den Eynde, and J. Reubens. 2022. Effects of pile driving sound on local movement of free-ranging Atlantic Cod in the Belgian North Sea. Environmental Pollution 300:118913.

Van Hoeck, R. V., Rowell, T. J., Dean, M. J., Rice, A. N., and Van Parijs, S. M. 2023. Comparing Atlantic cod temporal spawning dynamics across a biogeographic boundary: insights from passive acoustic monitoring. Marine and Coastal Fisheries, 15(2), e10226.

Van Hoeck, R., and Frey, A. 2024. Cox Ledge Atlantic Cod Project Results [Presentation]. August 2024.

Van Parijs, Dean M, McGuire C, Cadrin S, Frey A. 2022. Preconstruction evaluation of Atlantic cod spawning in Southern New England offshore wind areas [Conference presentation]. NYSERDA State of the Science Workshop, Tarrytown, NY, United States. July 26-28, 2022.

Windle, M.J. and Rose, G.A. 2005. Migration route familiarity and homing of transplanted Atlantic cod (Gadus morhua). Fisheries Research, 75(1-3), 193-199.

Zemeckis, D. R., W.S. Hoffman, M.J. Dean, M.P. Armstrong, and S.X. Cadrin. 2014a. Spawning site fidelity by Atlantic cod (Gadus morhua) in the Gulf of Maine: implications for population structure and rebuilding. – ICES Journal of Marine Science, 71: 1356–1365.

Zemeckis, D.R., M.J. Dean, and S.X. Cadrin. 2014b. Spawning Dynamics and Associated Management Implications for Atlantic Cod, North American Journal of Fisheries Management, 34:2, 424-442.