# Questions from the PDT to OLE regarding dockside monitoring<sup>1</sup>

### 1. What percentage of trips are currently monitored dockside by OLE?

- In 2017 OLE performed approximately 300 inspections of groundfish/NE Multispecies inspections.
- Currently, OLE does not differentiate between dockside and at-sea inspections.
- OLE's enforcement priorities for ground fish has focused away from dockside inspections due to the self-policing construct of the sector management system.

### 2. What percentages of those trips are in violation?

• Based on an initial review, approximately 2% may face enforcement actions

## 3. Is the OLE monitoring sufficient to ensure landings are reported accurately?

- No. We have limited resources to cover the amount of trips landed, even with the JEA program
  as a force multiplier. Our focus is based on egregious violations or cases initiated by actionable
  intelligence.
- To ensure accurate reporting, a dockside inspection accompanied with confirmation of dealer reporting is necessary.

### 4. Does OLE inspect fish holds?

- Yes. Inspection of fish holds is a fundamental component of any fishing vessel inspection.
- Officer discretion to inspect or not can be used in cases of poor weather, poor material condition of the vessel or other relevant circumstances.

# 5. Can DSM replace OLE dockside enforcement to avoid duplicative efforts?

YES, but fish holds should be inspected.

# 6. Does anyone compare the landings estimates from NEFOP to the dealer reports as an independent check of accuracy?

- OLE does not perform this type of check but believes data sharing of this type would extend our enforcement capacity.
- Due to current OLE staff levels, this type of comparison would best be between NEFSC / APSD.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Excerpt from PDT memo: <a href="https://s3.amazonaws.com/nefmc.org/7">https://s3.amazonaws.com/nefmc.org/7</a> 190503-PDT-memo-to-GF-Committee-reanalyses-for-A23-with-attachments.pdf