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## SARC-65 Sea Scallop Benchmark Assessment

## Key Innovations

Found substantial temporal variability in growth and shell height to meat weight relationships. Growth and meat weights have been generally increasing since the early 1990s.

Incorporated and estimated variable natural mortality in the CASA models.

## Temporal variation in growth
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## Temporal variation in SH/MW
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## CASA (Catch At Size Analysis) Model (TOR-5)

- Based loosely on Sullivan et al. 1990
- Size-based model
- Appropriate for sea scallops (abundant commercial and population shell height data, and growth increment data)
- Parameters are estimated using modern likelihood methods
- Used since 2007 assessment, but with some refinements for each assessment
- As in 2014 assessment (SARC-59), three CASA models were ran for sea scallops: Georges Bank Open, Georges Bank Closed, and Mid-Atlantic


## Estimate Size-specific Natural Mortality in CASA

For the first time in this assessment, natural mortality varied with year. In two models, juvenile $M$ was variable, whereas in GB Closed, $M$ for all sizes was variable.

| Natural Mortality | Georges Bank <br> Closed | Georges Bank <br> Open | Mid-Atlantic |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Parameters | - | Fixed at 0.2 | Fixed at 0.25 |
| Mean Juv. $\mathrm{M}(J)$ | - | Estimated | Estimated |
| Annual Dev for Juv. M $\left(u_{y}\right)$ | - | Fixed at 0.2 | Fixed at 0.25 |
| Mean Adu. $\mathrm{M}(A)$ | - | - | - |
| Annual Dev Adu. $\left(v_{y}\right)$ | - | Fixed | Fixed |
| Logistic curve $\left(\alpha_{L}\right)$ | - |  |  |
| Mean Total $\mathrm{M}(\bar{M})$ | Estimated |  |  |
| Annual Dev for Total M $\left(D e v_{y}\right)$ | Estimated |  |  |

## Georges Bank Closed Area Natural mortality for all sizes by year

Natural mortality was assumed constant by size, but variable by year. Estimation of $M$ was facilitated by the low or zero fishing mortalities in many years. Natural mortality varied little except for a large spike in 2010-12, coinciding with observed die-offs in Closed Area I and the northern portion of Closed Area II.


## Georges Bank Closed Area <br> Observed and estimated abundance and biomass




Estimated abundance (left) and biomass (right) with expanded estimates from the lined dredge (red), SMAST large camera (blue), Habcam (green), and SMAST digital camera (light green) surveys.

## Georges Bank Closed Area

## Abundance from current and SARC-59 model configurations and dredge survey

Estimation in $M$ resulted in better fit to survey data than using the SARC-59 model with fixed $M$.


## Georges Bank Closed Area <br> Estimated abundances at shell height by year



Symbol area is proportional to abundance

## Georges Bank Open Area

Logistic curve and natural mortality by size and year


## Georges Bank Open Area <br> Observed and estimated abundance and biomass



Estimated abundance (left) and biomass (right) with expanded estimates from the lined dredge (red), SMAST large camera (blue), Habcam (green), and SMAST digital camera (light green) surveys.

## Georges Bank Open Area

Abundance from current and SARC-59 model configurations and dredge survey


## Georges Bank Open Estimated abundances at shell height by year



Symbol area is proportional to abundance

## Mid-Atlantic

## Logistic curve and natural mortality by size and year




## Mid-Atlantic

## Observed and estimated abundance and biomass



Estimated abundance (left) and biomass (right) with expanded estimates from the lined dredge (red), SMAST large camera (blue), Habcam (green), and SMAST digital camera (light green) surveys.

## Mid-Atlantic

## Abundance from current and SARC-59 model configurations and dredge survey



## Mid-Atlantic Area

## Estimated abundances at shell height by year



Symbol area is proportional to abundance

## All Three Stocks Combined

## Fully recruited fishing mortality and biomass




Estimated fully recruited fishing mortality (left), and biomass (right) including Habcam biomass estimates of Peter Pan scallops (pp) for Georges Bank (open and closed combined) and Mid-Atlantic sea scallops.

## All Three Stocks Combined 2017 biomass and fishing mortality estimates

| Stock | Biomass <br> (mt meats) | CV | F | CV |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Georges Bank Closed | 150,951 | 0.08 | 0.05 | 2.06 |
| Georges Bank Open | 21,118 | 0.06 | 0.13 | 0.55 |
| Mid-Atlantic | 145,265 | 0.05 | 0.17 | 0.42 |
| Total | 317,334 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.07 |

Peter Pan scallops are excluded

## Fishery Selectivity

Comparison of 4 in . ring selectivity with fishery selectivity estimated by the SARC-65 CASA models.


## Reference Points (TOR-6)

## SYM model

- Takes into account parameter uncertain by Monte-Carlo simulation each simulation draws parameters from estimated distributions, including covariance with other parameters
- Estimates yield $Y$ using the equations $Y=y R$ and $R=s(b R)$, where $y$ is yield per recruit, $R$ is recruitment, $s$ is the stock-recruit relationship, and $b$ is biomass per recruit (Beverton \& Holt 1957).
- Per recruit calculations use the parameters from the most recent period (with uncertainty). Yield and biomass per recruit in meat weight. Natural mortality was set at 0.2 for Georges Bank and 0.25 for the Mid-Atlantic. The stock-recruit curves were estimated using recruitment and biomass/SSB estimated from the CASA model runs.


## Modifications since SARC-59

CASA now estimates one year old recruitment $R_{1}$, and variable natural mortality for juvenile scallops. This does not fit well into a per recruit framework, especially when there appears to be density-dependent mortality. For this reason, recruitment for the purposes of the reference point models is at three years old (previous assessments used two years old). This was estimated by reducing $R_{1}$ by the natural and incidental mortality suffered by those recruits when they were two and three years old, as estimated by the CASA model.

Parameters and their uncertainties, including those for growth, sh/mw, selectivity and incidental mortality were also re-estimated

## Use of gonad weights

Reference points were calculated with SSB alternatively in terms of gonad weights and meat weights

In principle, gonad weights are a better measure of spawning than meat weights, but there are some technical problems using gonad weights.
The SARC panel recommended further development of gonad-based reference points, but meat weights were used as the principal measure of SSB for this assessment.

## Georges Bank Stock-Recruit Relationship

Beverton-Holt function fairly well estimated. It is probably saturated during the present high biomass period, but there was likely some recruitment limitation when biomass was lower.


## Georges Bank Natural Mortality

Simulated as $1 /$ gamma with $\mu=0.2$ and $\sigma=0.082$


## Georges Bank MSY, FMSY and BMSY

Uncertainty in MSY, $F_{M S Y}$, and $B_{M S Y}$




## Mid-Atlantic Stock-Recruit Relationship

Strong evidence of higher recruitment in Mid-Atlantic at higher biomass. Beverton-Holt function more uncertain in Mid-Atlantic than Georges Bank. It is also unclear whether it is saturated during the high biomass period (since 2000).


## MSY, FMSY and BMSY - Mid-Atlantic

Uncertainty in MSY, $F_{M S Y}$, and $B_{M S Y}$




## MSY, FMSY and BMSY - Combined



Uncertainty in MSY, $F_{\text {MSY }}$, and $B_{M S Y}$ for combined Georges Bank and Mid-Atlantic




## Status determination using 2018 reference points (TOR-7)

The new reference points are: $B_{\mathrm{MSY}}=B_{\text {TARGET }}=116,766 \mathrm{mt}$ meats, $B_{\text {THRESOLD }}=58,383 \mathrm{mt}$ meats and $F_{\mathrm{MSY}}=0.64$.

The estimated biomass in 2017 was 380,389 mt meats ( $317,335 \mathrm{mt}$ meats excluding Peter Pan scallops), just under three times $B_{\text {MSY }}$ and six times $B_{\text {THRESOLD }}$.

Estimated fishing mortality in 2017 was 0.12 , less than a fifth of $F_{\mathrm{MSY}}=0.64$.
Therefore, according to the updated reference points, the stock is neither overfished nor is overfishing occurring. The probability that the stock is overfished or overfishing is occurring is very low.

## Stock status and reference points

Biomass compared to its reference points. Stock was overfished through 1997, was rebuilt in 2000, and is currently the highest of the time series.


## Final(?) biomass estimates for 2018, with forecasts of 2019

## Revised survey estimates, using modified sh/mw, growth and GB Open selectivity for NLS West and South. South Deep



| Habcam |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Num | Bmsmt | SE | MeanWt |
| 31.0 | 796 | 8 | 25.5 |
| 353.0 | 14843 | 2089 | 42.1 |
| 154.0 | 5400 | 341 | 35.1 |
| 260.0 | 7125 | 907 | 27.4 |
| 332.0 | 7956 | 1131 | 24.0 |
| 112.0 | 3585 | 17 | 32.0 |
| 374.0 | 4964 | 36 | 13.3 |
| 3686.0 | 31790 | 1681 | 8.6 |
| 2262.0 | 41155 | 2568 | 18.2 |
| 13.0 | 321 | 20 | 24.7 |
| 57.0 | 1466 | 200 | 25.8 |
| 351.0 | 9130 | 254 | 25.6 |
| 3.0 | 96 | 0 | 34.0 |
| 297.0 | 7048 | 887 | 23.7 |
| 8285.0 | 135675 | 4110 | 16.4 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 61.0 | 942 | 36 | 15.4 |
| 827.0 | 20597 | 3383 | 24.9 |
| 354.0 | 5779 | 148 | 16.3 |
| 86.0 | 766 | 3 | 8.9 |
| 583.0 | 13109 | 923 | 22.5 |
| 776.0 | 17936 | 716 | 23.1 |
| 1013.0 | 27486 | 1682 | 27.1 |
| 50.0 | 1168 | 70 | 23.2 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 3750.0 | 87783 | 3958 | 23.4 |
| 12035 | 223458 | 5706 | 18.6 |


| Mean <br> Num |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bmsmt | SE | MeanWt |  |
| 46.6 | 1544 | 189 | 33.1 |
| 345.4 | 11527 | 1107 | 33.4 |
| 267.1 | 6431 | 1473 | 24.1 |
| 302.2 | 8000 | 569 | 26.5 |
| 353.6 | 7593 | 662 | 21.5 |
| 115.6 | 3682 | 211 | 31.9 |
| 300.1 | 3732 | 722 | 12.4 |
| 4290.6 | 34487 | 2612 | 8.0 |
| 2713.1 | 48148 | 4312 | 17.7 |
| 36.7 | 882 | 612 | 24.0 |
| 51.7 | 984 | 185 | 19.0 |
| 484.2 | 8244 | 746 | 17.0 |
| 1.6 | 52 |  | 32.2 |
| 285.7 | 5726 | 512 | 20.0 |
| 9594.2 | 141032 | 5604 | 14.7 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 139.4 | 1757 | 123 | 12.6 |
| 627.6 | 14705 | 1708 | 23.4 |
| 433.4 | 6223 | 392 | 14.4 |
| 68.2 | 849 | 85 | 12.4 |
| 684.8 | 13319 | 628 | 19.4 |
| 745.4 | 16531 | 504 | 22.2 |
| 950.3 | 22752 | 1032 | 23.9 |
| 56.5 | 1159 | 88 | 20.5 |
| 65.7 | 86 | 19 | 1.3 |
| 3771.3 | 77380 | 2194 | 20.5 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 13366 | 218412 | 6018 | 16.3 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |


| 2019 |  | Projections |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bmsmt | \%Change | ExpBmsmt |
| 1681 | $8.8 \%$ | 1182 |
| 7149 | $-38.0 \%$ | 6413 |
| 7333 | $14.0 \%$ | 5289 |
| 10129 | $26.6 \%$ | 6222 |
| 6016 | $-20.8 \%$ | 4864 |
| 4096 | $11.2 \%$ | 2995 |
| 2747 | $-26.4 \%$ | 1137 |
| 38036 | $10.3 \%$ | 10435 |
| 41751 | $-13.3 \%$ | 31926 |
| 542 | $-38.5 \%$ | 527 |
| 1260 | $28.1 \%$ | 922 |
| 12990 | $57.6 \%$ | 8425 |
|  |  |  |
| 5697 | $-0.5 \%$ | 4202 |
| 139427 | $-1.1 \%$ | 84539 |
|  |  |  |
| 3095 | $76.1 \%$ | 1773 |
| 13569 | $-7.7 \%$ | 9440 |
| 7068 | $13.6 \%$ | 4438 |
| 1120 | $32.0 \%$ | 952 |
| 12884 | $-3.3 \%$ | 8817 |
| 17828 | $7.8 \%$ | 14386 |
| 22389 | $-1.6 \%$ | 19382 |
| 1627 | $40.4 \%$ | 985 |
| 301 | $250.9 \%$ | 19 |
| 79881 | $3.2 \%$ | 60192 |
|  |  |  |
| 219308 | $0.4 \%$ | 144731 |
|  |  |  |

## Anomalous Growth in the High Density Areas of Nantucket Lightship

There was very little observed growth in the Nantucket Lightship West and South areas between 2017 and 2018, which together currently contain a majority of the scallops on Georges Bank.

Analysis of a limited number of shells from the 2012 year class in these areas indicate abnormally slow growth: $L_{\infty}=119.1$ and $K=0.487$ for Nantucket Lightship West and $L_{\infty}=110.3$ and $K=0.423$ in the deep water southern portion of this area. This compares with $L_{\infty}=151.2$ and $K=0.397$ for the Nantucket Lightship as a whole, so these estimates are well below normal growth. Nonetheless, observed growth was less than even these reduced estimates. Growth appears to variable from year to year. Projections use estimated growth parameters, but these estimates are highly uncertain.

## ACL/OFL Calculations

The SAMS model was used with $F=0.51$ for ACL and $F=0.64$ for OFL. The 2020 OFL and ACL estimates assume all areas were fished at $F=0.51$ in 2019. These calculations now assume Georges Bank Open selectivity for Nantucket Lightship West and Deep South areas. Biomass, landings and discards are in metric tons.

| Year | Bms | ExplBms | Land | Discard | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ACL |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2019 | 218394 | 144731 | 57003 | 5986 | 62989 |
| 2020 | 175859 | 114930 | 46028 | 4915 | 50943 |
| OFL |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2019 | 218394 | 144731 | 66791 | 6630 | 73421 |
| 2020 | 175859 | 114930 | 53994 | 5453 | 59447 |

